ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of High Energy Astrophysics





G.C. Xiao ^{a,b}, L. Ji^c, R. Staubert^c, M.Y. Ge^{a,*}, S. Zhang^{a,b}, S.N. Zhang^{a,b}, A. Santangelo^c, L. Ducci^c, J.Y. Liao^a, C.C. Guo^{a,b}, X.B. Li^a, W. Zhang^{a,b}, J.L. Qu^{a,b}, F.J. Lu^a, T.P. Li^{a,b,d}, L.M. Song^{a,b}, Y.P. Xu^a, Q.C. Bu^a, C. Cai^a, X.L. Cao^a, Z. Chang^a, G. Chen^a, L. Chen^e, T.X. Chen^a, Y.B. Chen^d, Y. Chen^a, Y.P. Chen^a, W. Cui^{a,d}, W.W. Cui^a, J.K. Deng^d, Y.W. Dong^a, Y.Y. Du^a, M.X. Fu^d, G.H. Gao^{a,b}, H. Gao^{a,b}, M. Gao^a, Y.D. Gu^a, J. Guan^a, C. Gungor^{a,h}, C.C. Guo^{a,b}, D.W. Han^a, Y. Huang^a, J. Huo^a, S.M. Jia^a, L.H. Jiang^a, W.C. Jiang^a, J. Jin^a, L.D. Kong^{a,b}, B. Li^a, C.K. Li^a, G. Li^a, M.S. Li^a, W. Li^a, X. Li^a, X.F. Li^a, Y.G. Li^a, Z.W. Li^a, X.H. Liang^a, C.Z. Liu^a, G.Q. Liu^d, H.W. Liu^a, X.J. Liu^a, Y.N. Liu^f, B. Lu^a, X.F. Lu^a, Q. Luo^a, T. Luo^a, X. Ma^a, B. Meng^a, Y. Nang^{a,b}, J.Y. Nie^a, G. Ou^a, N. Sai^{a,b}, S.X. Song^a, L. Sun^a, Y. Tan^a, L. Tao^a, Y.L. Tuo^{a,b}, C. Wang^{b,g}, G.F. Wang^a, J. Wang^a, W.S. Wang^a, S. Yang^a, Y.J. Yang^a, Y.J. Yang^a, Q.B. Yin^{a,b}, Q.Q. Yin^a, A.M. Zhang^a, J.W. Yang^a, S. Yang^a, H.M. Zhang^a, J. Zhang^a, T. Zhang^a, W. Zhang^{a,b}, W.C. Zhang^a, W.Z. Zhang^e, Y. Zhang^a, Y. Zhang^{a,b}, S.J. Zheng^a, Y.J. Zhang^a, Z. Zhang^d, Z. Zhang^e, Z.L. Zhang^a, H.S. Zhao^a, X.F. Zhao^{a,b}, S.J. Zheng^a, J.F. Zhou^f, Y. Zhu^a, Y.X. Zhu^a

^a Key Laboratory of Particle Astrophysics, Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

^b University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

^c Institut für Astronomie und Astrophysik, Sand 1, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

^d Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

^e Department of Astronomy, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100088, China

^f Department of Engineering Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

g Key Laboratory of Space Astronomy and Technology, National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100012, China

^h Sabancı University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Science, İstanbul 34956, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 4 August 2019 Received in revised form 29 September 2019 Accepted 30 September 2019 Available online xxxx

Keywords: Stars: neutron star Pulsars: binary(Her X–1) X-rays: stars

ABSTRACT

The long-term evolution of the centroid energy of the CRSF in Her X-1 is still a mystery. We report a new measurement from a campaign between *Insight*-HXMT and *NuSTAR* performed in February 2018. Generally, the two satellites show well consistent results of timing and spectral properties. The joint spectral analysis confirms that the previously observed long decay phase has ended, and that the line energy instead keeps constant around 37.5 keV after flux correction.

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Her X-1 is a bright persistent X-ray binary (XRB) pulsar system, which was discovered in 1972 by Uhuru (Tananbaum et al., 1972).

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* gemy@ihep.ac.cn (M.Y. Ge). The system hosts a highly magnetized neutron star with a spin period of ~ 1.24 s, and a companion star with a mass of 2.2- $M_{\frac{1}{2}}$. The orbit is near circular with a high inclination angle of ~ 85°) causing a six hour long eclipse within the 1.7-day orbital period. Her X-1 is the first source in which a cyclotron resonant scattering feature (CRSF) was detected (Trümper et al., 1978). The CRSF energy is around 40 keV, varying with pulse phase, X-ray luminosity, with

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jheap.2019.09.002

2214-4048/© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).







Fig. 1. The light-curve of Her X-1 as measured by *Swift*-BAT. The vertical dashed line represents the time of the observations by *Insight*-HXMT and *NuSTAR*.

Exposure times of the pointed observations of Her X-1.

Table 1

Instrument	Obs ID	Date	Exposure (ks)
Insight-HXMT	P010130801006	2018/2/26	10
NuSTAR	30302012004	2018/2/26	62

35-d precession phase and with time (Voges et al., 1982; Vasco et al., 2013; Staubert et al., 2007, 2014; Klochkov et al., 2011). Based on observations of *RXTE, Suzaku, Integral* and *NuSTAR*, an almost 20 year long decay of the CRSF energy was observed since about 1996, ending somewhere between 2012 and 2015 (Staubert et al., 2014, 2016, 2017; Klochkov et al., 2015; Ji et al., 2019). Since then the CRSF energy appears to be constant around 37.5 keV.

Insight-HXMT, launched on June 15, 2017, was originally proposed in the 1990s, based on the Direct Demodulation Method (Li and Wu, 1993, 1994). As the first X-ray astronomical satellite of China, Insight-HXMT carries three main instruments (Zhang et al., 2014): the High Energy X-ray telescope (HE, 20-250 keV, 5100 cm²), the Medium Energy X-ray telescope (ME, 5-30 keV, 952 cm²), and the Low Energy X-ray telescope (LE, 1-15 keV, 384 cm²). A series of observations for in-orbit calibrations were performed. For instance, the instrument response was calibrated with observations of the Crab pulsar and the Crab nebular. Campaigns with other orbiting telescopes are part of the calibration strategy. One of such a campaign observing Her X-1 was carried out with NuSTAR eight months after the launch of Insight-HXMT. The aim of this campaign was to test the calibration of Insight-HXMT in both, the timing and the energy domains, with a focus on the energy channel relationship at energies above 10 keV by using the CRSF of Her X-1. In this work, we study the timing and spectral properties of Her X-1, and compare the results between Insight-HXMT and NuSTAR.

2. Observations and results

The campaign of Her X–1 observations with *Insight*-HXMT and *NuSTAR* was carried out on February 26th, 2018, around the peak flux of the Main-On of this 35 d cycle (\sim 200 mCrab detected with *Swift*/BAT, see Fig. 1 and Table 1).



Fig. 2. The timing residuals in seconds of *Insight*-HXMT/HE and *NuSTAR* by using the parameters listed in Table 2. The square and circle points represent the data from *Insight*-HXMT/HE and *NuSTAR*, respectively.

We performed the data reduction by using the *lnsight*-HXMT data analysis software package HXMTDas(v2.01) and followed the recommended procedures.¹

We calibrated the raw events by using routines hepical, mepical and lepical for HE, ME and LE instruments, and estimated the corresponding Good Time Intervals (GTIs), based on the following criteria: the earth elevation angle $>15^{\circ}$ (10°) for LE (HE and ME); the cutoff rigidity (COR) $> 8^{\circ}$; the offset angle is smaller than 0.04; discarding the data near the South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA) passage; the Bright Earth Angle is $> 40^{\circ}$ for LE. In our analysis of Her X-1 the background model of *Insight*-HXMT is generated with a large amount of blank sky observations (Liao et al., 2019). The background was calculated by using standalone PYTHON scripts hebkgmap, mebkgmap and lebkgmap (for details, see Li et al., 2018). The response files for the spectral analysis were constructed according to the CALDB version 2.01.

NuSTAR is the first space-based directly imaging X-ray telescope at energies above 10 keV (Harrison et al., 2013). It consists of two focal plane module telescopes (FPMA and FPMB). We utilised the standard software NUSTARDAS included in HEASOFT (version 6.24) to perform the data reduction. During the spectral analysis, we extracted the source spectrum within a circular region, i.e., 180" centred on the pulsar, and the background spectrum within a radius of 150" which does not enclose (but close to) the source.

In the timing analysis, we made the barycentric correction with official tools, i.e., hxbary and barycorr developed for *Insight*-HXMT and *NuSTAR*, respectively. The binary correction was performed by using the orbital ephemeris reported by (Staubert et al., 2009). The spin period and its derivatives were obtained by using the phase-connection technique (Deeter et al., 1981). In practice, we divided the observations into several segments (200 s each), and searched for corresponding spin frequencies using the epoch folding method. We then folded the events to obtain pulse profiles for each segment, and derived an averaged pulse profile by co-aligning and combining all. The time-of-arrivals (TOAs) of pulsations were calculated by cross-correlating the pulse profile in each segment with the averaged pulse profile. The errors of TOAs were estimated by using Monte-Carlo method (Ge et al., 2012). Fi-

¹ For details, see http://www.hxmt.org/index.php/enhome/analysis/199-hxmt-data-anslysis-software.



Fig. 3. The observed pulse profiles. Blue, green and red lines show pulse profiles observed with LE (1-10 keV), ME (10-30 keV) and HE (30-70 keV) of *Insight*-*HXMT*, respectively. The cyan line represents the pulse profile observed with *NuSTAR* (3-70 keV). (For interpretation of the colours in the figure(s), the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

nally, according to TOAs, we fitted the frequency and its derivative of Her X-1 jointly using the software TEMPO2 (Hobbs et al., 2006).

We show the timing residuals after fitting *Insight*-HXMT/HE's and NuSTAR's TOAs in Fig. 2. The resulting spin frequency is shown in Table 2. The timing system of *Insight*-HXMT is well within the precision level of 15 ms, which is consistent with those of the timing residuals derived from timing analysis of the Crab pulsar (Li et al., 2018). We folded the events observed with different instruments to obtain pulse profiles at different energies (Fig. 3). The pulse profiles consist of a main pulse with several smaller structures, which are similar to those of previous *NuSTAR* observations (Fürst et al., 2013). In addition, pulse profiles are clearly energy-dependent, which will be extensively investigated in a separated paper.

In the spectral analysis, we only considered the observational intervals outside of the eclipse. During fittings, we employed a canonical "highecut" model, i.e., a power law model with a high energy cutoff, which is widely used for Her X-1 (e.g., Staubert et al., 2017). To smooth the phenomenological model around the cut-off energy, we multiplied the model with a Gaussian optical-depth profile ("gabs" in XSPEC) with its energy tied to the cutoff energy (Fürst et al., 2013). A Gaussian model was added for the iron K_{α} emission line around 6.4 keV. To investigate the absorption feature of the cyclotron line, another "gabs" component was considered. In the spectral analysis, we used *XSPEC* (Version 12.10.0c) (Arnaud, 1996). A systematic error of 2% was included for spectral fitting of Insight-HXMT. All uncertainties quoted in this paper are given at the 1σ (68.3%) confidence level.

We firstly fitted the spectra observed by *Insight*-HXMT and *NuS*-*TAR* independently, using the model described above. We show the best-fitting parameters in Table 3. Clearly, the parameters obtained from the two satellites are generally well in agreement with



Fig. 4. The observed spectra of Her X-1. Panel (a): The black dashed and thin lines represent the spectral model. The blue, green and red points represent the observations of LE, ME and HE **of** *Insight-HXMT*, respectively. The cyan and purple points are results of *NuSTAR*/FPMA and FPMB, respectively. For clarity, the *NuSTAR* observations are multiplied by a factor of 0.5. Panel (b): The spectral residuals of LE, ME and HE **of** *Insight-HXMT*, respectively. Panel (c): The spectral residuals of *NuSTAR*/FPMA and FPMB, respectively.

each other, which verifies the performance of the in-orbital calibration of *Insight*-HXMT. However, the resulting "norm" parameter shows a ~ 10% discrepancy, which might be due to the influence of soft X-rays (\leq 3 keV) of *Insight*-HXMT that could influence the spectral shape significantly. Thus, for comparison, we ignored the energy range below 3 keV for *Insight*-HXMT data, and found that in this case this discrepancy disappeared. We then jointly fitted *Insight*-HXMT and *NuSTAR* spectra, and obtained an acceptable goodness-of-fit (shown in Fig. 4), demonstrating again the consistency of the cross-calibration between *Insight*-HXMT and *NuSTAR*. We find that the spectral parameters in our observations are quite similar to previous reports (see, e.g., Fürst et al., 2013). We also performed a spectral analysis of the *NuSTAR* data.

We update the long-term evolution of the CRSF energy in Fig. 5. We use the originally measured values from Staubert et al. (2014, 2016, 2017), corrected for the dependence on flux using a correction factor of 0.68 keV per ASM-cts/sec (this is the best currently available value, determined by Staubert) (for details, see, e.g., Staubert et al., 2016, 2017; Ji et al., 2019). Clearly, the decay trend is stopped and afterwards the line energy stays constant. We used a piecewise function to describe the evolution, and found that the averaged flux corrected line energy is 37.58 ± 0.07 keV for the time after MJD 55400. We note that a F-test suggests that this function is significantly better than a simple power-law function with a p-value of 2.3×10^{-7} , and therefore the ending of the decreasing trend of the CRSF energy at a significance level of $>5\sigma$ for the first time. This result, including our new observations, is well consistent with previous reports (Staubert et al., 2016, 2017; [i et al., 2019).

3. Summary

We have performed the joint timing and spectral analyses of data on Her X-1 by using the contemporary observations by *Insight*-HXMT and *NuSTAR* of February 2018. The results show that the pulse profiles of *Insight*-HXMT are well consistent with those from *NuSTAR*. The spectral parameters as derived from *Insight*-HXMT are also consistent with those from *NuSTAR*. We find

Parameters	NuSTAR	Insight-HXMT(^a)	Both	Insight-HXMT(^b)
E _{CRSF} (keV)	35.8 ± 0.2	35.7 ± 0.5	$\textbf{35.8} \pm \textbf{0.2}$	$35.7^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$
σ_{CRSF} (keV)	4.8 ± 0.2	3.7 ± 0.8	4.7 ± 0.2	$3.6^{+1.}_{-0.8}$
Strength CRSF	6.0 ± 0.4	6 ± 1	6.2 ± 0.4	$5.5^{+1.9}_{-1.1}$
E _{smooth} (keV)	19.8	21.2	19.9	21.4
$\sigma_{ m smooth}(m keV)$	2.0 ± 0.1	$2.9^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$	2.0 ± 0.1	2.5 ± 0.6
Strength smooth	0.62 ± 0.05	$1.1^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$	0.64 ± 0.05	$0.8^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$
E _{cut} (keV)	19.8 ± 0.1	$21.2_{-0.6}^{+0.9}$	19.9 ± 0.1	$21.4^{+1.4}_{-0.6}$
E _{fold} (keV)	9.6 ± 0.1	9.6 ± 0.4	9.65 ± 0.08	9.8 ± 0.4
Г	0.955 ± 0.003	0.89 ± 0.01	0.951 ± 0.002	0.94 ± 0.03
Norm (10 ⁻³)	102.0 ± 0.6	88 ± 1	96.3 ± 0.5	97 ± 4
E _{Fe} (keV)	6.46 ± 0.01	6.61 ± 0.08	6.45 ± 0.01	6.62 ± 0.08
$\sigma_{\rm Fe}$ (keV)	0.48 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.1	0.52 ± 0.02	0.7 ± 0.2
$Norm_{Fe}(10^{-3})$	4.1 ± 0.1	$4.1^{+0.9}_{-0.8}$	4.2 ± 0.1	$5.5^{+1.6}_{-1.3}$
$\chi^2/\mathrm{d.o.}f(d.o.f)$	1.14(1671)	0.76(1468)	1.03(3155)	0.75(1261)

Table 3 Spectral parameters of Her X-1.

represents the spectrum fitting from 1.2 keV.

represents the spectrum fitting from 3 keV.



Fig. 5. Evolution of the flux corrected CRSF centroid energy of Her X-1. The blue points are original measurements reported in Staubert et al. (2007, 2014, 2016, 2017), flux corrected by 0.68 keV per ASM-cts/s. The green and yellow points are the results of this work from Insight-HXMT and NuSTAR, respectively. The evolution is described as a piecewise function, i.e., the red line. (See also Ji et al. (2019).)

that the centroid energy of the CRSF keeps the same trend as reported in the literature (Staubert et al., 2016, 2017; Ji et al., 2019), i.e., being stable at \sim 37.6 keV after the long-term decay phase.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2016YFA0400800) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grants 11673023, U1838201, U1838202 and U1838104. This work made use of the data from the HXMT mission, a project funded by China National Space Administration (CNSA) and the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) and from NuS-TAR, an X-ray satellite operated by NASA.

References

- Arnaud, K.A., 1996. In: Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V, vol. 101, p. 17.
- Deeter, J.E., Boynton, P.E., Pravdo, S.H., 1981, Astrophys. J. 247, 1003.
- Fürst, F., Grefenstette, B.W., Staubert, R., et al., 2013. Astrophys. J. 779, 69.
- Ge, M.Y., Lu, F.J., Qu, J.L., Zheng, S.J., Chen, Y., Han, D.W., 2012. Astrophys. J. 199, 32. Harrison, F.A., Craig, W.W., Christensen, F.E., Hailey, C.J., Zhang, W.W., et al., 2013. Astrophys. J. 770, 103.
- Hobbs, G.B., Edwards, R.T., Manchester, R.N., 2006. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 369, 655.
- Ji, L., Staubert, R., Ducci, L., Santangelo, A., Zhang, S., Chang, Z., 2019. Mon. Not. R. Astron, Soc. 484, 3797.

Klochkov, D., Staubert, R., Santangelo, A., et al., 2011. Astron. Astrophys. 532, A126.

Klochkov, D., Staubert, R., Postnov, K., et al., 2015. Astron. Astrophys. 578, A88.

- Li, T.-P., Wu, M., 1993. Astrophys. Space Sci. 206, 91. C102.
- Li, T.-P., Wu, M., 1994. Astrophys. Space Sci. 215, 213. C227.
- Li, X.B., Song, L.M., Li, X.F., Tan, Y., Yang, Y.J., Ge, M.Y., 2018. SPIE, 10699. Liao, J.Y., et al., Insight-HXMT collaboration, 2019. In preparation.
- Staubert, R., Shakura, N.I., Postnov, K., et al., 2007. Astron. Astrophys. 465, L25.
- Staubert, R., Klochkov, D., Wilms, J., 2009. Astron. Astrophys. 500, 883.
- Staubert, R., Klochkov, D., Wilms, J., et al., 2014. Astron. Astrophys. 572, A119.
- Staubert, R., Klochkov, D., Vybornov, V., et al., 2016. Astron. Astrophys. 590, A91.
- Staubert, R., Klochkov, D., Fürst, F., et al., 2017. Astron. Astrophys. 606, L13.
- Tananbaum, H., Gursy, H., et al., 1972. Astrophys. J. 174, L143.
- Trümper, J., Pietsch, W., Reppin, C., et al., 1978. Astrophys. J. Lett. 219, L105.
- Vasco, D., Staubert, R., Klochkov, D., et al., 2013. Astron. Astrophys. 550, A111.
- Voges, W., Pietsch, W., Reppin, C., et al., 1982. Astrophys. J. 263, 803.
- Zhang, S., Lu, F.J., Zhang, S.N., 2014. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 9144