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Abstract

A classical result on value sets of non-permutation polynomials over finite fields is due to Wan (1993). Denoting the cardinality of the value set of $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ by $|V_f|$, Wan’s result gives the upper bound $|V_f| \leq q - \lceil \frac{q-1}{d} \rceil$, where $d$ is the degree of $f$. A proof of this bound due to Turnwald, which was obtained by the use of symmetric polynomials is given in Chapter 2. A generalization of this result was obtained by Aitken that we also describe here. The work of Aitken focuses on value sets of pairs of polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$, in particular, he studies the size of the intersection of their value sets. We present pairs of particular polynomials whose value sets do not only have the same size but are actually identical.

Clearly, a permutation polynomial $f$ of $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ satisfies $|V_f| = q$. In Chapter 3, we discuss permutation behaviour of pairs of polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. 
SONLU CİSİMLER ÜZERİNDEKİ ÖZEL DEĞER KÜMELERİNE SAHİP
POLİNOMLAR HAKKINDA

Tuğba Yesin

Matematik, Yüksek Lisans Tezi, Ocak 2017

Tez Danışmanı: Prof. Dr. Alev Topuzoğlu

Anahtar Kelimeler: sonlu cisimler, polinomların görüntü kümesi, permütasyon polinomu, Carlitz mertebesi,

Özet

Sonlu cisimler üzerinde permütasyon olmayan polinomların değer kümeleri hakkındaki klasik sonuçlardan birisi Wan’a aittir (1993). Derecesi $d > 0$ olan bir $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ polinomunun değer kümesinin kardinalitesini, $|V_f|$ ile gösterirsek, Wan’ın sonucu $|V_f| \leq q - \lceil \frac{q-1}{d} \rceil$, üst sınırını verir. Bu sonucun Turnwald tarafından simetrik polinomlar kullanarak elde edilen kanıtı Bölüm 2’de verilmiştir. Wan’ın üst sınırının Aitken tarafından elde edilen genellemesini de burada anladık. Aitken’in çalışması $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ içindeki polinom çiftlerinin değer kümeleri üzerine odaklanır, özel olarak, onların değer kümelerinin kesişimlerinin büyüklüğü üzerinde. Biz bu çalışmada değer kümeleri aynı olan bazı polinom çiftlerini sunduk.

Bir permütasyon polinomu olan $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x], |V_f| = q$ eşitliğini sağlar. Bölüm 3’de, polinom çiftlerinin permütasyon olma yönündeki davranışlarını inceledik.
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CHAPTER 1

Introduction

1.1. Introductory remarks

Throughout this thesis, $\mathbb{F}_q$ denotes the finite field with $q$ elements, where $q = p^r$, and $p$ is a prime number. We denote the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{F}_q$ by $\mathbb{F}_q^\ast$.

We shall be studying value sets of polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Recall that the value set $V_f$ of a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ is defined as $V_f = \{ f(c) : c \in \mathbb{F}_q \}$. We denote the cardinality of $V_f$ by $|V_f|$.

Value sets of polynomials over finite fields attracted significant interest since early 1950s. A wide range of results have been obtained, particularly on the size of $|V_f|$, where $f$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of degree $d$. We refer the reader to Section 8.2 of [21], Section 8.3 of [18], to the papers [17], [19] and the references therein for many interesting results.

In this thesis we shall be concerned with some of the classical bounds for $|V_f|$ as well as a generalization of Wan’s bound. We shall also study pairs of polynomials in relation to their value sets.

In section 1.2 we introduce basic definitions, concepts and the notation that we use.

Chapter 2 starts with Wan’s bound on value sets of non-permutation polynomials. This theorem is interesting since it shows that, among the polynomials of the same degree $d$, there are no polynomials $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ such that $|V_f|$ lies between $q$ and $q - \lceil \frac{q-1}{d} \rceil$, where $\lceil s \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer $\geq s$. We note that when $d$ is small, permutations and non-permutation polynomials are for apart in terms of the size of their value
sets. A proof, given later by Turnwald, uses symmetric polynomials, which we describe in detail. A result by Cusick and Müller determining polynomials that attain Wan’s upper bound is also given in Chapter 2. Lower bounds for $|V_f|$ in terms of the degree $d$ of $f$ is known, see for example Theorem 2.1.7 below, which is due to Wan, Shiue and Chen. Wan’s bound was generalized by Aitken in [1]. Aitken uses multivariable polynomials to study the size of the intersection of value sets of pairs of polynomials. An extension of this idea to images of subsets of $\mathbb{F}_q$ is also considered in [1], which we outline in Section 2.2.

Polynomials of the form $f + x$, where $f$ is a permutation polynomial of Carlitz rank $n$ were studied in [13]. The aim was to give conditions on $q, n$ to ensure $f + x$ to be also a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_q$, in other words to guarantee $f$ to be a complete mapping of $\mathbb{F}_q$. We also consider particular polynomials $f + x$ and $g + x$, which are not permutations and we show that $V_{f+x} = V_{g+x}$.

Chapter 3 deals with permutation behaviour of pairs of polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x) = f(x) + h(x)$. We present the interesting result of Cohen, Mullen, Shiue [7] on the minimum possible degree of $h$ when $f, g$ are permutations of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $p$ is sufficiently large with respect to the $\deg(f(x)) = \deg(g(x)) = d \geq 3$. The proof extensively uses Dickson polynomials of the first kind. A corollary of this result is the Chowla-Zassenhaus conjecture which was first proven by Cohen in [8]. The work in [13], which was mentioned above, can be regarded as a variant of Cohen’s Theorem [8]. We end this thesis by giving details of the proof of a result in [13], about $V_{f+x}$, where $f$ is a permutation polynomial of Carlitz rank 2.
1.2. Preliminaries

We recall that the value set $V_f$ of $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ is $V_f = \{ f(c) : c \in \mathbb{F}_q \}$. Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_q$. For a subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$, we put $f(S) = \{ f(c) : c \in S \}$. Hence $V_f = f(\mathbb{F}_q)$. A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ is a permutation polynomial if it induces a bijection from $\mathbb{F}_q$ to $\mathbb{F}_q$. Clearly $|V_f|$ takes its maximum value when $f$ is a permutation polynomial, i.e., $|V_f| = q$ in this case.

The next result is well-known, see for instance [15], and shows that any self-mapping of $\mathbb{F}_q$ can be expressed as a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of degree $< q$.

**Lemma 1.2.1** For any function $\phi : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$, there exists a unique polynomial $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree $\leq q - 1$, such that the associated polynomial function $f : c \mapsto f(c)$ satisfies $\phi(c) = f(c)$ for every $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Dickson polynomials play an important role in the study of finite fields. We shall also be using them in Chapter 3. Dickson polynomials may be defined over a ring.

**Definition 1.2.1** Let $R$ be a ring. For $a \in R$ we define the Dickson polynomial $D_m(a, x)$ of the first kind of degree $m$ over $R$ by,

$$D_m(a, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} \frac{m}{m-j} \binom{m-j}{j} (-a)^j x^{m-2j}. \quad (1.1)$$

The Dickson polynomial $E_m(x, a)$ of the second kind of degree $m$ is defined as

$$E_m(x, a) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} \binom{m-j}{j} (-a)^j x^{m-2j},$$

for $a \in R$. 
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A Dickson polynomial of the first kind also satisfies, see [14],

\[ x_1^m + x_2^m = \sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor} \binom{m}{j} \left( \frac{m-j}{j} \right) (-x_1x_2)^j (x_1 + x_2)^{m-2j}, \]

and thus

\[ x_1^m + x_2^m = D_m(x_1 + x_2, x_1x_2), \]

where \(x_1, x_2\) are indeterminates.

If we let \(x_1 = x\), and \(x_2 = \frac{a}{x}\), then we obtain the so-called functional equation,

\[ D_m(x + \frac{a}{x}, a) = x^m + \frac{a^m}{x^m}. \quad (1.2) \]

The following theorems are from [14] which give conditions for \(D_m(a, x)\) to be a permutation polynomial.

**Theorem 1.2.2** The monomial \(D_m(x, 0) = x^m\) is a permutation polynomial of \(\mathbb{F}_q\) if and only if \(\gcd(m, q - 1) = 1\).

**Theorem 1.2.3** Let \(a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\ast\). The Dickson polynomial \(D_m(x, a)\) is a permutation polynomial of \(\mathbb{F}_q\) if and only if \(\gcd(n, q^2 - 1) = 1\).

When a Dickson polynomial \(D_m(x, a)\) is not a permutation polynomial, it is possible to determine the value set of \(D_m(x, a)\), as we state in the theorem below, which we take from [9].

**Theorem 1.2.4** Let \(D_m(x, a)\) be a Dickson polynomial of \(\mathbb{F}_q\). Suppose \(q\) is odd with \(2^r | (q^2 - 1)\) but \(2^{r+1} \nmid (q^2 - 1)\). Then for each \(m \geq 1\) and each \(a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\ast\) we have

\[ |V_{D_m(x, a)}| = \frac{q-1}{2 \gcd(m, q-1)} + \frac{q+1}{2 \gcd(m, q+1)} + \alpha, \]

where

\[ \alpha = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } 2^{-1} | d \text{ but } 2^r \nmid d \text{ and } a \text{ is a non-square}, \\
\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } 2^t | d \text{ but } 2^{t+1} \nmid d \text{ and } 1 \leq t \leq r - 2, \\
0 & \text{if otherwise.} 
\end{cases} \]
Corollary 1.2.5 If $\gcd(m_1, q^2 - 1) = \gcd(m_2, q^2 - 1)$, then $|V_{D_{m_1}(x,a)}| = |V_{D_{m_2}(x,a)}|$.

Theorem 1.2.6 Suppose $q$ is even. Then for each $n \geq 1$, and each $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ we have

$$|V_{D_m(x,a)}| = \frac{q - 1}{2\gcd(m, q - 1)} + \frac{q + 1}{2\gcd(m, q + 1)}.$$

Polynomials over finite fields are often studied in relation to their degrees. A rather recent concept, concerning permutation polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_q$ was introduced in [2]. We first recall that the set of all permutation polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of degree $< q$ forms a group under the operation of composition and subsequent reduction mod $x^q - x$. Clearly this group is isomorphic to $S_q$.

We also recall the following well-known result of Carlitz [4].

Theorem 1.2.7 The group of permutation polynomials can be generated by the monomial $x^{q-2}$ and linear polynomials $ax + b$, $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $a \neq 0$.

Proof of this result immediately follows from the equation

$$P_3(x) = (((-x)^{q-2} + 1)^{q-2} + 1)^{q-2} + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_q[x],$$

showing that the transposition $(0, 1)$, and hence any transposition $(0, a)$, $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ can be expressed as a composition of the monomials $x^{q-2}$ and linear polynomials.

Consequently, as pointed out in [10], with $P_0(x) = a_0x + a_1$, any permutation polynomial $f(x)$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$ can be represented by a polynomial of the form

$$P_n(x) = P_n(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1}; x) = (...((a_0x + a_1)^{q-2} + a_2)^{q-2}...a_n)^{q-2} + a_{n+1}, \quad (1.3)$$

$n \geq 0$, where $a_1, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ for $i = 0, 2, \ldots, n$.

We note that $f$ can have several representations of the form (1.3), i.e., the coefficients and the number $n$ may vary. This fact motivates the following concept, introduced in [2].

Definition 1.2.2 Let $f$ be a permutation polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$. The Carlitz rank of $f$ is the smallest integer $n > 0$ satisfying $f = P_n$ for a permutation $P_n$ of the form (1.3).
The Carlitz rank of $f$ is denoted by $Crk(f)$.

Let $f$ be a permutation polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$, which is represented by a polynomial in [1.3]. The $n$th convergent $R_n(x)$, associated to $f$, is defined as

$$R_n(x) = \frac{\alpha_{n-1} x + \beta_{n-1}}{\alpha_n x + \beta_n},$$

where

$$\alpha_k = a_k \alpha_{k-1} + \alpha_{k-2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_k = a_k \beta_{k-1} + \beta_{k-2}, \quad (1.4)$$

for $k \geq 2$, and $\alpha_0 = 0$, $\alpha_1 = a_0$, $\beta_0 = 1$, $\beta_1 = 0$. Note that $R_n(x)$ is a linear polynomial when $\alpha_n = 0$.

The set of poles of $f$ is defined as

$$O_n = \{x_i : x_i = \frac{-\beta_i}{\alpha_i}, i = 1, \ldots, n\} \subset \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$$

where $\alpha_i, \beta_i$ are as in [1,4]. Note that the elements of $O_n$ are not necessarily distinct.

It can be shown, see [2], that the values of $f$ outside $O_n$ are determined by $R_n(x)$. That is,

$$f(c) = P_n(c) = R_n(c) \quad \text{for} \ c \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus O_n.$$ 

Therefore when $\alpha_n = 0$, then $f(x)$ is a linear outside the poles. We remark that the behaviour of polynomials $P_n(x)$ depend heavily on $\alpha_n$ being zero or not. In this thesis we only consider the case $\alpha_n \neq 0$.

The set $f(O_n)$ can also be expressed in terms of $R_n(x)$ as follows,

$$f(c) = P_n(c) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} & \text{if } c = x_1, \\ R_n(x_{i-1}) & \text{if } c = x_i, \ 2 \leq i \leq n, \end{cases}$$

if the poles are distinct and in $\mathbb{F}_q$. 
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CHAPTER 2

On Value Sets of non-permutation polynomials

2.1. Wan’s upper bound

Before giving the proof of the main theorem of this section, we need the following lemmas and some observations.

Lemma 2.1.1 Let \( f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) be an arbitrary polynomial. If \( \prod_{i=1}^{q}(x - f(c_i)) = \sum_{i=0}^{q} a_i x^{q-i} \) where \( \{c_1, \ldots, c_q\} = \mathbb{F}_q \), then \( \deg(\prod_{i=1}^{q}(x - f(c_i))) = q - u \) where \( u \) is the least positive integer such that \( a_u \neq 0 \).

Proof: Assume
\[
\prod_{i=1}^{q}(x - f(c_i)) = \sum_{i=0}^{q} a_i x^{q-i} = a_0 x^q + a_1 x^{q-1} + \cdots + a_q x^0.
\]

Trivially, if \( u \) is the least positive integer such that \( a_u \neq 0 \), then we obtain
\[
\prod_{i=1}^{q}(x - f(c_i)) = a_u x^{q-u} + a_{u+1} x^{q-u-1} + \cdots + a_q x^0.
\]

Hence \( \deg(\prod_{i=1}^{q}(x - f(c_i))) = q - u \).

\[\square\]

Lemma 2.1.2 Let \( h \) be a non-zero polynomial over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) and let \( f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) be such that \( h \circ f \equiv 0 \). Then \( |V_f| \leq \deg(h) \).
**Proof:** Our assumption \( h \circ f \equiv 0 \) implies that \( h(f(x)) = 0 \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{F}_q \). Hence we can say that roots of \( h(x) \) are the values of \( f(x) \), \( x \in \mathbb{F}_q \). On the other hand we know that the number of distinct roots of \( h(x) \) is at most \( \text{deg}(h(x)) \). Thus we get,

\[
|V_f| \leq \text{deg}(h(x)).
\]

\[\square\]

A polynomial \( f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n] \) is called symmetric if it satisfies \( f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}) \) for any permutation \( \sigma : \{1, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, \ldots, n\} \). The \( k \)-th elementary symmetric polynomial \( S_k \) is defined as

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{n}(t-x_i) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k S_k t^{n-k},
\]

where \( t \) is an indeterminate over \( \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \).

In other words, \( S_0 = 1 \) and

\[
S_1 = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n, \\
S_2 = x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3 + \cdots + x_1 x_n + x_2 x_3 + \cdots + x_2 x_n + \cdots + x_{n-1} x_n, \\
\vdots \\
S_n = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n.
\]

We now recall the following well-known result, see for instance [15].

**Lemma 2.1.3 (The fundamental theorem on symmetric polynomials)**

Let \( f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) be a symmetric polynomial. Then there exists a uniquely determined polynomial \( h \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n] \) such that \( f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = h(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \), where \( S_1, \ldots, S_n \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) are elementary symmetric polynomials.

Since \( \prod_{c \in \mathbb{F}_q}(x-c) = x^q - x \), we get \( S_k(c_1, \ldots, c_q) = 0 \) for all \( 1 \leq k \leq q-2 \), when \( \{c_1, \ldots, c_q\} = \mathbb{F}_q \).

The following theorem is proven by Wan in [24]. The proof below uses the method of the proof of Turnwald, [23]. We follow [20].

**Theorem 2.1.4** Let \( f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \), with \( \text{deg}(f) = d > 0 \). Suppose \( f(x) \) is not a permutation polynomial of \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Then

\[
|V_f| \leq q - \left\lceil \frac{q-1}{d} \right\rceil. \tag{2.1}
\]
Proof: (Turnwald, 1995)

First we consider the case $d \geq q$. Then we have $\lceil \frac{q-1}{d} \rceil = 1$ and we are done. Hence we can assume that $1 \leq d \leq q - 1$. Then $|V_f| \geq 2$, since $|V_f| < 2$ implies that $f$ is a constant on $\mathbb{F}_q$ but this gives a contradiction to Lemma 1.2.1. We put $F_q = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_q\}$, and use Lemma 2.1.3 to write

$$\prod_{i=1}^{q} (x - f(c_i)) = \sum_{k=0}^{q} (-1)^k S_k x^{q-k}.\$$

Let $k$ be the least positive integer such that $S_k \neq 0$, if such $k$ exists. Otherwise we put $k = \infty$. We assume first that $k$ satisfies $0 < kd < q - 1$. The polynomial $S_k(f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_q))$ has degree at most $kd < q - 1$. So by Lemma 2.1.3, it is a polynomial in $S_1(x_1, \ldots, x_q), \ldots, S_{q-2}(x_1, \ldots, x_q)$. This implies that the degree of $S_k(f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_q))$ is at most $q - 2$. Hence, we have that $S_k(f(c_1), \ldots, f(c_q))$ is a polynomial in $S_1(c_1, \ldots, c_q), \ldots, S_{q-2}(c_1, \ldots, c_q)$, all of which are zero. This implies that $S_k = 0$, which contradicts our assumption that $S_k \neq 0$. Thus we obtain

$$k \geq \frac{q-1}{d}.\$$

Now, consider the polynomial $h(x) = x^q - x - \prod_{i=1}^{q} (x - f(c_i))$. By Lemma 2.1.1 $\deg(x^q - \prod_{i=1}^{q} (x - f(c_i))) = q - k$ and we have $\deg(h) \leq q - k$. On the other hand, we have $h(x) = 0$ if and only if $\prod_{i=1}^{q} (x - c_i) = x^q - x$, which is equivalent to $f$ being a permutation polynomial. Hence if $f(x)$ is not a permutation polynomial then $h(x) \neq 0$. But it is easy to see that $f(c_i)$ is a root of $g$ for all $1 \leq i \leq q$. Then from Lemma 2.1.2 we have $|V_f| \leq \deg(h)$. So,

$$|V_f| \leq \deg(h) \leq q - k.\$$

Thus, we have $|V_f| \leq q - \lceil \frac{q-1}{d} \rceil$. \hfill \Box

Example 2.1.1 Let $q = 11$ and $f(x) = ((4x)^9 + 1)^9 + x$ be the non-permutation polynomial satisfying $f(x) = P_2(4, 1, 0; x) + x$, where $P_2(x)$ is defined as in (1.3). Then the polynomial $f$ takes the following values over $\mathbb{F}_{11}$.

$$f(0) = 1, f(1) = 4, f(2) = 9, f(3) = 9, f(4) = 3, f(5) = 7, f(6) = 3, f(7) = 0, f(8) = 8, f(9) = 7, f(10) = 4.$$

Thus, $V_f = \{0, 1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9\}$, so $|V_f| = 7 \leq 11 - \lceil \frac{10}{81} \rceil$. 
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**Corollary 2.1.5** Let \( f(x) = (x+1)x^{q-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \). Then \( V_f = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{1\} \) i.e., \( |V_f| = q - 1 \).

**Proof:** From the proof of Theorem 2.1.4, it immediately follows that \( |V_f| = q - 1 \). Moreover, \( f(c) = c + 1 \forall c \in \mathbb{F}_p \setminus \{0\} \) and \( f(0) = 0 \).

\[ \square \]

**Example 2.1.2** Let \( q = 13 \). Consider the non-permutation polynomial \( g(x) = x^{13} + x^{12} \) on \( \mathbb{F}_{13} \). Then \( g \) takes the following values over \( \mathbb{F}_{13} \).

\[
\begin{align*}
g(0) &= 0, \quad g(1) = 2, \quad g(2) = 3, \quad g(3) = 4, \quad g(4) = 5, \quad g(5) = 6, \quad g(6) = 7, \\
g(7) &= 8, \quad g(8) = 9, \quad g(9) = 10, \quad g(11) = 12, \quad g(12) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, \( V_g = \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12\} \), so \( |V_g| = 12 \) and we obtain that \( |V_g| = q - \lceil \frac{q - 1}{2} \rceil = q - 1 \).

The following theorem, which is given in [9], generalizes the Corollary 2.1.5.

**Theorem 2.1.6** Let \( \mathbb{F}_q \) be a finite field and \( K \) be a finite extension of \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Take \( f(x) = (x+1)x^{q-1} \) in \( \mathbb{F}_q[x] \). Then

\[
|f(K)| = (1 - \frac{1}{q})|K|.
\]

Let \( f(x) \) be a polynomial of degree \( d < q \) over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Because \( f(x) \) cannot attain any element of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) more than \( d \) times, one can give a trivial lower bound of \( |V_f| \) as

\[
\left\lfloor \frac{q - 1}{d} \right\rfloor + 1 \leq |V_f|.
\] (2.2)

where \( \left\lfloor m \right\rfloor \) denotes the greatest integer \( \leq m \).

Let \( f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) with \( q = p^r \). Define \( u_p(f) \) to be the smallest positive integer \( z \) such that \( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} f(x)^z \neq 0 \), if such \( z \) exists. Otherwise, define \( u_p(f) = \infty \).

The following theorem, Theorem 2.1 in [25], gives a lower bound of \( |V_f| \).

**Theorem 2.1.7** If \( u_p(f) < \infty \), then \( u_p(f) + 1 \leq |V_f| \).
Proof: Let $N_a$ be the number of solutions of the equation $f(x) = a$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then

$$N_a = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} (1 - (f(x) - a)^{q-1}) = -\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} (f(x) - a)^{q-1} = -\sum_{k=1}^{q} \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \binom{q-1}{k} f(x)^k (-a)^{q-1-k} \pmod{p} \right).$$

Since $\binom{q-1}{k} \neq 0 \pmod{p}$ for $1 \leq k \leq q - 1$, we conclude that the polynomial $N_a$ (as a polynomial of $a$) has degree $q - 1 - u_p(f)$. Moreover we have $N_a = 0$ for all $a \notin V_f$. Then there are at least $q - |V_f|$ elements $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $N_a = 0 \pmod{p}$. Hence, $q - 1 - u_p(f) \geq q - |V_f|$. This proves $|V_f| \geq u_p(f) + 1$.

From the theorem above, we have two corollaries. For the proofs, see [25].

**Corollary 2.1.8** Let $\deg(f) = d$ and $u_p(f) < \infty$. Then

$$|V_f| \geq \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{q-1}{d} \right\rfloor + 2 & \text{if } d | q - 1, \\ \left\lfloor \frac{q-1}{d} \right\rfloor + 1 & \text{if } d \nmid q - 1. \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 2.1.9** Let $3 \leq d < p$. Suppose that $d \nmid q - 1$. Then

$$|V_f| \geq \left\lfloor \frac{q-1}{d} \right\rfloor + \frac{2(q-1)}{d^2}.$$  

A polynomial $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with degree $d$ for which equality is obtained in (2.2) is called a minimal value set polynomial. These polynomials have been widely studied. We may refer the reader to [5], [16], [11] and [3].

The following corollary, which is taken from [12], gives the condition for a polynomial to be minimal value set polynomial.

**Corollary 2.1.10** Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial of degree $d$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, $q = p^r$. Assume $2 < d < p$ and

$$|V_f| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{q-1}{d} \right\rfloor + \frac{2(q-1)}{d^2 - 1}.$$  

Then $f(x)$ is a minimal value set polynomial, i.e.,

$$|V_f| = \left\lfloor \frac{q-1}{d} \right\rfloor + 1.$$
2.2. A generalization by Aitken

Definition 2.2.1 Let \( f \) be a polynomial over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). The value polynomial associated to \( f \) is defined by the formula

\[
\Phi_f(T) = \prod_{c \in \mathbb{F}_q} (T - f(c)).
\]

Obviously, \( \Phi_f \) is an element of \( \mathbb{F}_q[T] \) of degree \( q \). In addition, we can generalize this definition for any subset of \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Let \( S \) be a subset of \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Then the value polynomial associated to \( f \) and \( S \), is defined as

\[
\Phi_{f,S}(T) = \prod_{s \in S} (T - f(s)).
\]

The following lemmas and theorems are from [1].

Lemma 2.2.11 Let \( f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) be a polynomial of degree \( d \). Then \( \Phi_f(T) - T^q \) has degree at most \( q - \frac{q - 1}{d} \), or it is the zero polynomial.

Proof: Let \( \mathbb{F}_q = \{c_1, \ldots, c_q\} \). From the definition of the \( k \)-th elementary symmetric polynomial \( S_k \), we get

\[
\Phi_f(T) - T^q = \sum_{k=1}^{q} (-1)^k S_k(f(c_1), \ldots, f(c_q))T^{q-k}.
\]

From the proof of Theorem 2.1.4, we know that if \( k < \frac{q - 1}{d} \), then \( S_k(f(c_1), \ldots, f(c)) = 0 \). Thus the largest possible value of \( q - k \) is \( q - \frac{q - 1}{d} \). \( \square \)

Let \( \sigma \) be a permutation of \( \{1, 2, \ldots, q\} \), and \( F \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_q] \). The polynomial \( F_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_q] \) is defined by the equation

\[
F_{\sigma}(x_1, \ldots, x_q) = F(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(q)}).
\]

Let \( b \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \), and let \( \sigma_b \) be the unique permutation of \( \{1, 2, \ldots, q\} \) satisfying \( ba_i = a_{\sigma_b(i)} \).

Theorem 2.2.12 Suppose \( F \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \ldots, x_q] \) is a polynomial of degree \( D \), and \( G \) is a subgroup of \( \mathbb{F}_q^* \) of order \( g \) which satisfies \( F_{\sigma_b} = F \), \( \forall b \in G \). If \( f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) is a polynomial of degree \( d \) and if \( dD < g \), then

\[
F(f(c_1), f(c_2), \ldots, f(c_q)) = F(f(0), f(0), \ldots, f(0))
\]

where \( c_i \in \mathbb{F}_q \).
Proof: Let $h \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ be given as

$$h(t) = F(f(c_1 t), \ldots, f(c_q t)).$$

So, $h$ has degree $< g$. Note that if $b \in G$, then

$$h(b) = F(f(c_1 b), \ldots, f(c_q b)) = F(f(a_{\sigma_1(1)}), \ldots, f(a_{\sigma_q(q)})) = F_{ab}(f(a_1), \ldots, f(a_q)) = F(f(a_1), \ldots, f(a_q)) = h(1).$$

Hence, $h(t) - h(1)$ has at least $g$ zeroes, but its degree $< g$. Thus $h(t) - h(1) = 0$. In particular $h(1) = h(0)$.

Lemma 2.2.13 Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ be a polynomial with degree $d$, satisfying $f(0) = 0$. Suppose that $S$ is a subset of $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $s$ elements, and $G$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ of order $g$ that acts on $S$. Then $\Phi_{f,S}(T) - T^s$ has degree at most $s - g/d$ or it is the zero polynomial.

Proof: Let $S = \{c_1, \ldots, c_s\}$. Then

$$\Phi_{f,S}(T) - T^s = \sum_{k=1}^{s} (-1)^k S_k(f(c_1), \ldots, f(c_s)) T^{s-k}.$$ 

Put $F_k(x_1, \ldots, x_q) = S_k(x_1, \ldots, x_{c_i})$. Clearly, $F_k$ is invariant under $G$. By Theorem 2.2.12 if $k < g/d$, then we have

$$S_k(f(c_1), \ldots, f(c_s)) = F_k(f(c_1), \ldots, f(c_q)) = F_k(f(0), \ldots, f(0)).$$

However,

$$F_k(f(0), \ldots, f(0)) = S_k(0, \ldots, 0) = 0.$$

Hence we obtain that the term $T^{s-k}$ of $\Phi_{f,S}(T) - T^s$ is the zero for $0 < k < g/d$. So this implies that $\Phi_{f,S}(T) - T^s$ has degree at most $s - g/d$.

Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_r\}$ be a subset of $\mathbb{F}_q$, and let $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. We define $f[S]$ to be the set of all values $f(s)$, $s \in S$, with multiplicities. Note that $f[\mathbb{F}_q] = V_f$ if $f$ is a permutation polynomial.
**Theorem 2.2.14** Let \( f_1, f_2 \) be non-constant polynomials over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) with degrees at most \( d \). Then the size of the intersection of \( f_1[\mathbb{F}_q] \) and \( f_2[\mathbb{F}_q] \) is either \( q \) or is at most \( q - \frac{q-1}{d} \).

**Proof:**

Let consider polynomials \( \Phi_{f_1}(T) = \prod_{c \in \mathbb{F}_q} (T - f_1(c)) \) and \( \Phi_{f_2}(T) = \prod_{d \in \mathbb{F}_q} (T - f_2(d)) \). Then any element of the intersection of \( f_1[\mathbb{F}_q] \) and \( f_2[\mathbb{F}_q] \) is the root of the polynomial \( \Phi_{f_1} - \Phi_{f_2} \). By Lemma 2.2.11, \( \Phi_{f_1} - \Phi_{f_2} \) has degree at most \( q - \frac{q-1}{d} \) or it is the zero polynomial. So this gives that the size of intersection is either \( q \) or is at most \( q - \frac{q-1}{d} \).

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 2.2.15** Let \( f(x) \) be a polynomial over a finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \) with positive degree \( d \). If \( f(x) \) is not a permutation polynomial of \( \mathbb{F}_q \), then

\[ |V_f| \leq q - \lceil \frac{q-1}{d} \rceil, \]

where \( \lceil s \rceil \) denotes the smallest integer \( \geq s \).

**Proof:** Take \( f_1(x) = f(x) \) and \( f_2(x) = x \) in Theorem 2.2.14. \( \square \)

**Theorem 2.2.16** Let \( f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) be non-constant polynomials of degree \( d \) such that \( f_1(0) = f_2(0) \). Suppose that \( G \) is a subgroup of \( \mathbb{F}_q^* \) with \( g \) elements, and \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) are subsets of \( \mathbb{F}_q \), both with size \( s \) and invariant under multiplication by elements of \( G \). Then the size of the intersection of \( f_1[S_1] \) and \( f_2[S_2] \) is either \( s \) or is at most \( s - g/d \).

**Proof:** W.L.O.G, we can assume that \( f_1(0) = f_2(0) = 0 \). Let consider the polynomial \( \Phi_{f_1,S_1} - \Phi_{f_2,S_2} \). Then any element of the intersection of \( f_1[S_1] \) and \( f_2[S_2] \) is the root of \( \Phi_{f_1,S_1} - \Phi_{f_2,S_2} \). By Lemma 2.2.13, it has degree at most \( s - g/d \) or it is the zero polynomial. Thus the size of intersection is either \( s \) or is at most \( s - g/d \).

\[ \square \]

**Example 2.2.3** Let \( q = 11 \), \( f_1 = 3x^7 + 4x^3 \) and \( f_2 = 2x^7 + x \). Take the trivial subgroup of \( \mathbb{F}_q^* \), \( G = \{1\} \). Assume \( S_1 = \{2, 3, 5, 6\} \) and \( S_2 = \{1, 7, 9, 10\} \). Then we have the following values,

\[
\begin{align*}
  f_1(2) &= 9, & f_1(3) &= 3, & f_1(5) &= 3, & f_1(6) &= 8, \\
  f_2(1) &= 3, & f_2(7) &= 8, & f_2(9) &= 6, & f_2(10) &= 8.
\end{align*}
\]

Thus the size of intersection of \( f_1[S_1] \) and \( f_1[S_2] \) is \( 2 < 4 - 1/7 \).
2.3. On value sets of pairs of particular polynomials

As we described in the previous section, Aitken studies the size of the intersection of value sets of pairs of polynomials. In this section, we focus on polynomials $F(x) = f(x) + x$ and $G(x) = g(x) + x$, where $f, g$ are permutation polynomials of a given Carlitz rank. The behaviour of this type of polynomials have been studied in [13], in connection with complete mappings. More details of this work can be found in Chapter 3.

Since $F(x) = f(x) + x$, where $f(x)$ is a permutation polynomial in the form (1.3), we define the poles of $F$ as the roots of the denominators of

$$R_i(x) + x = \frac{\alpha_{i-1}x + \beta_{i-1}}{\alpha_i + \beta_i} = \frac{\alpha_{i-1}x^2 + \alpha_{i-1}x + \beta_i x + \beta_{i-1}}{\alpha_i x + \beta_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$ 

In other words, "the poles of $F(x)$" are the same as on the poles of $f(x)$. We note that $F(x) = R_n(x) + x$ for $x \notin O_n$.

We give conditions on $f, g$ so that $V_{f+x}$ and $V_{g+x}$ are actually identical. We first study monic permutation polynomials $f, g$ with Carlitz rank 3.

**Theorem 2.3.17** Let $q$ be odd, $b \in F^*_q$ with $b^2 + 1 \neq 0$, and $f_+(x) = ((x^{q-2} + b)^{q-2} + b)^{q-2}$ and $f_-(x) = ((x^{q-2} - b)^{q-2} - b)^{q-2}$ be permutation polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of Carlitz rank 3. Put $F_+(x) = f_+(x) + x$ and $F_-(x) = f_-(x) + x$, we have $V_{F_+} = V_{F_-}$.

**Proof:** First of all, we find the set of poles of $F_+(x)$ and $F_-(x)$, which we denote by $O_3^+, O_3^-$, respectively.

$$O_3^+ = \{0, \frac{-1}{b}, \frac{-b}{b^2 + 1}\}, \quad O_3^- = \{0, \frac{1}{b}, \frac{b}{b^2 + 1}\}.$$

We can obtain $F_+(O_3^+)$ and $F_-(O_3^-)$ as follows.

$$F_+(0) = \frac{b}{b^2 + 1}, \quad F_+\left(\frac{-1}{b}\right) = 0, \quad F_+\left(\frac{-b}{b^2 + 1}\right) = \frac{-b}{b^2 + 1},$$

$$F_-(0) = \frac{-b}{b^2 + 1}, \quad F_-\left(\frac{1}{b}\right) = 0, \quad F_-\left(\frac{b}{b^2 + 1}\right) = \frac{b}{b^2 + 1}.$$
Therefore \( F_+(O_3^+) = F_-(O_3^-) \). Moreover, using the definition of the 3rd convergents \( R_3^+ \) and \( R_3^- \) associated to \( f_+ \) and \( f_- \), we get

\[
F_+(x) = R_3^+(x) + x = \frac{bx + 1}{(b^2 + 1)x + b} + x
\]

for \( x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus O_3^+ \), and

\[
F_-(y) = R_3^-(y) + y = \frac{-by + 1}{(b^2 + 1)y - b} + y
\]

for \( y \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus O_3^- \).

We put \( V_+ = \{ u \in \mathbb{F}_q : u = F_+(x) \text{ for some } x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus O_3^+ \} \) and \( V_- = \{ u \in \mathbb{F}_q : u = F_-(y) \text{ for some } y \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus O_3^- \} \). We now prove that \( V_+ = V_- \).

Let \( u \in V_+ \), that is

\[
\frac{(b^2 + 1)x^2 + 2bx + 1}{(b^2 + 1)x + b} = u
\]

So, we have

\[
(b^2 + 1)x^2 + (2b - (u(b^2 + 1)))x + 1 - bu = 0
\]

In order that this equation has a solution, we need \( \Delta = u^2(b^2 + 1)^2 - 4 \) to be a square. Suppose \( \Delta = \gamma^2 \geq 0 \). Then we have the solutions,

\[
x_1 = \frac{-2b + u(b^2 + 1) - \gamma}{2(b^2 + 1)} , \quad x_2 = \frac{-2b + u(b^2 + 1) + \gamma}{2(b^2 + 1)} .
\]

Now, take \( y_1 = u - x_2 \) and \( y_2 = u - x_1 \), then one can easily check that \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) are the solutions of \( F_-(y) = u \).

Thus we get \( u \in V_- \). Hence we get \( V_+ \subset V_- \). One can similarly show that \( V_- \subset V_+ \).

It is proved in \[13\] that when \( b^2 + 1 \neq 0 \), then \( V_{F^+} \) and \( V_{F^-} \) satisfy

\[
|V_{F^+}| \leq min\{3 + \left\lfloor \frac{q + 1}{2} \right\rfloor, q\} \quad \text{and} \quad |V_{F^-}| \leq min\{3 + \left\lfloor \frac{q + 1}{2} \right\rfloor, q\} .
\]
Example 2.3.4  Let $q = 11$ and $b = 2$ in Theorem 2.3.17. Then consider the polynomials,

$$ F_+(x) = ((x^9 + 2)^9 + 2) + x, \quad F_-(x) = ((x^9 - 2)^9 - 2) + x. $$

Note that $b^2 + 1 = 5 \neq -1$. The poles are $O_2^+ = \{0, 5, 4\}$ and $O_2^- = \{0, 6, 7\}$.

Now we compute the value sets of these polynomials,

$$ F_+(0) = 7, \quad F_-(0) = 4, $$
$$ F_+(1) = 3, \quad F_-(1) = 8, $$
$$ F_+(2) = 7, \quad F_-(2) = 3, $$
$$ F_+(3) = 6, \quad F_-(3) = 6, $$
$$ F_+(4) = 4, \quad F_-(4) = 3, $$
$$ F_+(5) = 0, \quad F_-(5) = 7, $$
$$ F_+(6) = 4, \quad F_-(6) = 0, $$
$$ F_+(7) = 8, \quad F_-(7) = 7, $$
$$ F_+(8) = 5, \quad F_-(8) = 5, $$
$$ F_+(9) = 8, \quad F_-(9) = 4, $$
$$ F_+(10) = 4, \quad F_-(10) = 8. $$

Hence $F^+(O_2^+) = \{0, 4, 7\} = F^-(O_2^-)$, $V_+ = V_- = \{3, 5, 6, 8\}$. Therefore $V_{F_+} = V_{F_-} = \{0, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$, and $|V_{F_+}| = |V_{F_-}| = 7 \leq \min\{3 + 6, 11\}.$

The theorem below is a generalization of the Theorem 2.3.17 to polynomials $F_+(x) = f_+(x) + x$ and $F_-(x) = f_-(x) + x$, where $f_+$ and $f_-$ are permutation polynomials with representations,

$$ f_+(x) = P_n(1, b, \ldots, 0; x) \quad \text{and} \quad f_-(x) = P_n(1, -b, -b, \ldots, 0; x). \quad (2.3) $$

for $n \geq 2$.

We first calculate the values $\alpha_i, \beta_i$ in (1.4), $i = 1, \ldots, 6$ for the polynomials $f_+(x)$.

Since

$$ \alpha_0 = 0, \quad \beta_0 = 1, $$
$$ \alpha_1 = 1, \quad \beta_1 = 0 $$
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and
\[ \alpha_i = b\alpha_{i-1} + \alpha_{i-2}, \quad \beta_i = b\beta_{i-1} + \beta_{i-2}, \]

we have,
\[ \begin{align*}
\alpha_2 &= b, \quad \beta_2 = 1, \\
\alpha_3 &= b^2 + 1, \quad \beta_3 = b, \\
\alpha_4 &= b^3 + 2b, \quad \beta_4 = b^2 + 1, \\
\alpha_5 &= b^4 + 3b^2 + 1, \quad \beta_5 = b^3 + 2b, \\
\alpha_6 &= b^5 + 4b^3 + 3b, \quad \beta_6 = b^4 + 3b^2 + 1.
\end{align*} \]

Similarly, \(\bar{\alpha}_i, \bar{\beta}_i, i = 1, \ldots, 6\) for the polynomial \(f_-(x)\) are
\[ \begin{align*}
\bar{\alpha}_0 &= 0, \quad \bar{\beta}_0 = 1, \\
\bar{\alpha}_1 &= 1, \quad \bar{\beta}_1 = 0, \\
\bar{\alpha}_2 &= -b, \quad \bar{\beta}_2 = 1, \\
\bar{\alpha}_3 &= b^2 + 1, \quad \bar{\beta}_3 = -b, \\
\bar{\alpha}_4 &= -b^3 - 2b, \quad \bar{\beta}_4 = b^2 + 1, \\
\bar{\alpha}_5 &= b^4 + 3b^2 + 1, \quad \bar{\beta}_5 = -b^3 - 2b, \\
\bar{\alpha}_6 &= -b^5 - 4b^3 - 3b, \quad \bar{\beta}_6 = b^4 + 3b^2 + 1.
\end{align*} \]

These calculations motivate the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.18** Let \(\alpha_i, \beta_i\) and \(\bar{\alpha}_i, \bar{\beta}_i\) are as defined in (1.4), corresponding to the polynomials \(f_+\) and \(f_-\) in (2.3), respectively. Then \(\beta_i = \alpha_{i-1}\) and \(\bar{\beta}_i = \bar{\alpha}_{i-1}\) for \(i \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, n\}\) .

**Proof:**
It is sufficient to show that \(\beta_i = \alpha_{i-1}\). We use induction on \(i\).

Note that for \(i = 1\), we get \(\beta_1 = \alpha_0 = 0\).

Now assume that \(\beta_t = \alpha_{t-1}\) for \(t \leq i - 1\). By definition, \(\beta_i = b\beta_{i-1} + \beta_{i-2}\). Then we have,
Now it is clear that 
\[ \bar{\alpha}_i = -\alpha_i \text{ and } \bar{\beta}_i = \beta_i \text{ if } i \text{ is even and} \]
\[ \bar{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i \text{ and } \bar{\beta}_i = -\beta_i \text{ if } i \text{ is odd.} \]

Now, let \( R^+_n(x) \) be the \( n \text{th} \) convergent of \( f^+_n(x) \) and \( R^-_n(x) \) be the \( n \text{th} \) convergent of \( f^-(x) \). Suppose that \( \alpha_n \neq 0 \). Then we get
\[ R^+_n(x) = \frac{\alpha_{n-1} x + \beta_{n-1}}{\alpha_x + \beta_n}, \]
and
\[ R^-_n(x) = \frac{\alpha_{n-1} x - \beta_{n-1}}{-\alpha_x + \beta_n}. \]

By straightforward calculations, we get
\[ R^-_n(x) = -R^+_n(-x). \]

for \( x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{\frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n}\} \).

We also note that \( R^+_n \) is 1-1 on \( \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{-\frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n}\} \) and \( R^-_n \) is 1-1 on \( \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{\frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n}\} \). Moreover, we have
\[ R^-_n(R^+_n(x)) = \frac{\alpha_{n-1}(\frac{\alpha_{n-1} x + \beta_{n-1}}{\alpha_n x + \beta_n}) - \beta_{n-1}}{-\alpha_n(\frac{\alpha_{n-1} x + \beta_{n-1}}{\alpha_n x + \beta_n}) + \beta_n} \]
\[ = \frac{(\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n \beta_{n-1})x + \alpha_{n-1} \beta_{n-1} - \beta_{n-1} \beta_n}{(-\alpha_n \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n \beta_n)x + \beta_n^2 - \alpha_n \beta_{n-1}}. \]

By using Lemma 2.3.18 we have
\[ R^-_n(R^+_n(x)) = x \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{\frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n}, \frac{-\beta_n^2 + \alpha_n \beta_{n-1}}{2\beta_n \alpha_n}\} \quad (2.4) \]
We use the notation,

\[ O_n^+ = \{-x_1 = 0, -x_2, -x_3, \ldots, -x_n\} \]

for the set of poles of \( f_+ \) (or \( F_+ \)) and

\[ O_n^- = \{x_1 = 0, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n\} \]

for the set of poles of \( f_- \) (or \( F_- \)).

We again put,

\[ V_n^+ = \{u \in \mathbb{F}_q : u = F_+(x) \text{ for some } x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus O_n^+\}, \]

\[ V_n^- = \{u \in \mathbb{F}_q : u = F_-(y) \text{ for some } y \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus O_n^-\}. \]

We now give the main theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.19** Let \( \mathbb{F}_q \) be of odd characteristic. Let \( f_+(x) = P_n(1, b, b, \ldots, 0; x) \) and \( f_-(x) = P_n(1, -b, -b, \ldots, 0; x) \) be permutations of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) with representations as in (2.3), for some \( b \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \) such that \( |O_n^+| = |O_n^-| = n \) and \( O_n^+ \subset \mathbb{F}_q \). Then \( V_{f_+(x)} + x = V_{f_-(x)} + x \).

**Proof:**

Since \( f_+(x) = R_n^+(x) \) for \( x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus O_n^+ \) and \( f_-(y) = R_n^-(y) \) for \( y \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus O_n^- \), we have;

\[ F_+(x) = R_n^+(x) + x = \frac{\alpha_n x^2 + (\alpha_{n-1} + \beta_n) x + \beta_{n-1}}{\alpha_n x + \beta_n} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus O_n^+, \]

\[ F_-(y) = R_n^-(y) + y = \frac{\alpha_n y^2 - (\alpha_{n-1} + \beta_n) y + \beta_{n-1}}{\alpha_n y - \beta_n} \quad \text{for } y \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus O_n^- \]

We first prove that \( V_+ = V_- \).

Let \( u \in V_+ \), i.e., \( F_+(x) = u \). Then we have

\[ \alpha_n x^2 + (\alpha_{n-1} + \beta_n - \alpha_n u)x - \beta_{n-1} - u\beta_n = 0. \quad (2.5) \]

We put \( \Delta = (\alpha_{n-1} + \beta_n - \alpha_n u)^2 - 4\alpha_n (\beta_{n-1} - u\beta_n) \).

Assuming that \( \Delta \) is a square in \( \mathbb{F}_q \), we have the solutions of the equation (2.5) as

\[ x_1 = \frac{-(\alpha_{n-1} + \beta_n - \alpha_n u) - \gamma}{2\alpha_n}, \quad x_2 = \frac{-(\alpha_{n-1} + \beta_n - \alpha_n u) + \gamma}{2\alpha_n}, \]

where \( \gamma^2 = \Delta \geq 0 \).
Let \( y_1 = u - x_2 \) and \( y_2 = u - x_1 \). Then one can check that \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) are solutions of \( F_-(y) = u \). Hence, \( u \in V_- \). Similarly one can prove that \( V_- \subset V_+ \), and hence we obtain \( V_+ = V_- \).

Now we check if \( 0 \) is in \( V_+ \) or \( V_- \).

Let \( x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus O_n^+ \), and hence \( F_+(x) = R_n^+(x) + x \). Suppose

\[
R_n^+(x) + x = \frac{\alpha_n x^2 + (\alpha_{n-1} + \beta_n)x + \beta_{n-1}}{\alpha_n x + \beta_n} = 0.
\]

We put \( \Delta = (\alpha_{n-1} + \beta_n)^2 - 4\alpha_n \beta_{n-1} \). We wish to solve \( \alpha_n x^2 + (\alpha_{n-1} + \beta_n)x + \beta_{n-1} = 0 \). We note that putting \( R_n^-(y) + y = 0 \), we obtain the same \( \Delta \).

Now if \( \Delta = \theta^2 \) for some \( \theta \in \mathbb{F}_q \), then

\[
x_1 = \frac{-(\alpha_{n-1} + \beta_n) - \theta}{2\alpha_n}, \quad x_2 = \frac{-(\alpha_{n-1} + \beta_n) + \theta}{2\alpha_n}
\]

are the solutions of \( R_n^+(x) + x = 0 \). Then \( y_1 = -x_1 \) and \( y_2 = -x_2 \) are the solutions of \( R_n^-(y) + y = 0 \).

If, on the other hand, \( \Delta \neq \theta^2 \) for any \( \theta \in \mathbb{F}_q \), then we cannot find \( x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus O_n^+ \) such that \( F_+(x) = 0 \). Also we cannot have \( y \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus O_n^- \) such that \( F_-(y) = 0 \). Therefore \( 0 \in V_+ \) if and only if \( 0 \in V_- \), as already shown above, and that \( 0 \in V_+ = V_- \) only when \( (\alpha_{n-1} + \beta_n)^2 - 4\alpha_n \beta_{n-1} \) is a square in \( \mathbb{F}_q \).

Now we turn our attention to the values \( F_+ \) and \( F_- \) take on \( O_n^+ \) and \( O_n^- \), respectively.

Recall that \( O_n^+ = \{0, -x_2, -x_3, \ldots, -x_n\} \) and \( O_n^- = \{0, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n\} \) are the sets of poles of \( f_+ \), \( f_- \), respectively. We claim that \( F_+(0) = -F_-(0) \) and \( F_+(-x_i) = -F_-(x_i) \) for \( i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, n\} \).

Clearly,

\[
F_+(-x_i) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} - x_i & \text{if } i = 1 \\
R_n^+(-x_{i-1}) - x_i & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq n
\end{cases}
\]

and
\[
F_-(x_i) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{-\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} + x_i & \text{if } i = 1 \\
R_n^-(x_{i-1}) + x_i & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq n.
\end{cases}
\]

Firstly, consider \(i = 1\), that is \(x_1 = 0\). Then we have,

\[
F_+(0) = \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n},
\]

\[
F_-(0) = -\frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n}.
\]

Hence \(F_+(0) = -F_-(0)\). Now consider \(x_i \in O_n^+\) with \(2 \leq i \leq n\). We have

\[
F_+(-x_i) = R_n^+(-x_{i-1}) - x_i = \frac{-\alpha_{n-1}x_{i-1} + \beta_{n-1}}{-\alpha_n x_{i-1} + \beta_n} - x_i,
\]

and

\[
F_-(x_i) = R_n^-(x_{i-1}) + x_i = \frac{\alpha_{n-1}x_{i-1} - \beta_{n-1}}{-\alpha_n x_{i-1} + \beta_n} + x_i.
\]

Thus, we get \(F_+(-x_i) = -F_-(x_i)\) for \(2 \leq i \leq n\), proving our claim.

We observe that \(x_{i-1} = \frac{1}{x_i} - b\) for \(i \geq 2\). We also note that

\[
F_+(-x_1) = F_+(0) = \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_n} = \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n},
\]

and

\[
F_+(-x_n) = R_n^+(-x_{n-1}) - x_n = R_n^+\left(-\frac{\beta_{n-1}}{\alpha_{n-1}}\right) - \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n} = 0 - \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n}.
\]

Hence \(F_+(-x_1) = -F_+(-x_n)\).

In fact, such a relation holds for all \(i \geq 1\), namely \(F_+(-x_i) = -F_+(-x_{n+1-i})\) for \(i \geq 1\).
In order to prove that $F_+(-x_i) = -F_+(-x_{n+1-i})$ for $i \geq 2$, we need to show that the relation $F_+(-x_i) = x_{n+1-i} - x_1$ for $i \geq 2$.

We use induction on $i$. Firstly consider $i = 2$. Then

$$F_+(-x_2) = R^+_n(-x_1) - x_2 = R^+_n(0) - x_2 = \frac{\beta_{n-1}}{\alpha_{n-1}} - x_2 = x_{n-1} - x_2.$$ 

Now assume that $F_+(-x_i) = x_{n+1-i} - x_i$, i.e., $R^+_n(-x_{i-1}) = x_{n+1-i}$ for some $i$, $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$. We wish to show that $R^+_n(-x_i) = x_{n-i}$.

Since $x_{n-i} = \frac{1}{x_{n-i+1}} - b$, we have

$$x_{n-i} = \frac{1}{R^+_n(-x_{i-1})} - b = \frac{-\alpha_{n-1}x_{i-1} + \beta_n}{-\alpha_{n-1}x_{i-1} + \beta_n - b} = \frac{(-\alpha_n + \alpha_{n-1}b)x_{i-1} + \beta_n - \beta_{n-1}b}{-\alpha_{n-1}x_{i-1} + \beta_n} = \frac{-\alpha_{n-2}x_{i-1} + \beta_{n-2}}{-\alpha_{n-1}x_{i-1} + \beta_{n-1}}.$$

Using $x_{i-1} = \frac{1}{x_i} - b$, we get

$$= \frac{-\alpha_{n-2} + b\alpha_{n-2} + \beta_{n-2}}{-\alpha_{n-1} + b\alpha_{n-1} + \beta_{n-1}} = \frac{\beta_nx_i - \alpha_{n-2}}{\alpha_nx_i - \alpha_{n-1}} = \frac{\alpha_{n-1}x_i - \beta_{n-1}}{\alpha_nx_i - \beta_n} = R^+_n(-x_i).$$

We therefore have

$$R^+_n(-x_i) = x_{n-i}.$$
We use (2.4) to obtain $-x_i = R^-_n(x_{n-i})$. Adding $x_{n+1-i}$ to both sides, we get

$$R^-_n(x_{n-i}) + x_{n+1-i} = x_{n+1-i} - x_i,$$

which yields $F_-(x_{n+1-i}) = x_{n+1-i} - x_i$. We finally get

$$F_+(-x_i) = F_-(x_{n+1-i}) = -F_+(-x_{n+1-i}).$$

In other words, $F_+(O^+_n) = F_-(O^-_n)$, which completes the proof.

**Remark 2.3.1** If $n$ is odd, then $F_+(-x_{\frac{n+1}{2}}) = -F(-x_{\frac{n+1}{2}})$. Thus, $F_+(-x_{\frac{n+1}{2}}) = 0$.

We emphasize that the result of Aitken is on the size of the intersection of value sets of pairs of polynomials. For polynomials of the form $f + x$ and $g + x$, where $f, g$ are permutations of Carlitz rank 2 and 3, we have partial results on the intersection of the size of their value sets. We plan to obtain more results in this direction.
CHAPTER 3

Permutation behaviour of pairs of polynomials

In this chapter we focus on permutation behaviour of pairs of polynomials of the form \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) = f(x) + h(x) \) where \( f, g \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \) are monic polynomials of the same degree \( d \). Section 3.1 describes a result of Cohen, Mullen and Shiue [7], on the lower bound of the degree of \( h(x) \), given in terms of \( d \). In section 3.2, we outline a recent result of [13], on permutation behaviour of \( g(x) = f(x) + x \), where \( f \) is a permutation polynomial of Carlitz rank \( n \).

3.1. On differences

In this section we describe a result of Cohen, Mullen and Shiue, which shows that the degree of the difference \( h(x) \) of two permutation polynomials \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) = f(x) + h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \) of the same degree \( d \geq 3 \) satisfies \( \deg(h(x)) \geq \frac{3d}{5} \) when \( p > (d^2 - 3d + 4)^2 \).

We recall that a polynomial \( f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \) of degree \( d \) is called normalized if the coefficient of \( x^{d-1} \) is the zero.

The following lemmas are taken from [8].

**Lemma 3.1.1** Let \( f \) be a monic, normalized polynomial of \( \mathbb{F}_p[x] \) with \( \deg(f) = d \), and \( p > d \geq 2 \). Suppose that \( f \) decomposes as \( f = f_2(f_1) \) over \( \mathbb{F}_p \). If \( d_1 = \deg(f_1) \), \( d_2 = \deg(f_2) \) and \( d = d_1d_2 \), then \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) can be regarded as monic, normalized polynomials over \( \mathbb{F}_p \). Moreover if the coefficient of \( x^{d_1-r} \) in \( f_1 \) is \( \alpha \), then the coefficient of \( x^{d-r} \) in \( f \) is \( d_2\alpha \).

**Proof:** Suppose, \( \beta \neq 0 \) is the leading coefficient of \( f_1 \). We substitute \( f_1(x) \) and \( f_2(x) \) by \( \beta^{-1}f_1(x) \) and \( f_2(\beta x) \), respectively. Then we get that a monic polynomial \( f_1(x) \). Hence \( f_2 \) is a monic since \( f \) is a monic. Denote the coefficient of \( x^{d_2-1} \) in \( f_2 \) by \( \gamma \).
Then we replace \( f_1(x) \) by \( f_1(x) + d_2^{-1}\gamma \) and \( f_2(x) \) by \( f_2(x - d_2^{-1}\gamma) \). Thus we obtain that \( f_2 \) is normalized. This being so, the final claim of the lemma comes from a basic calculation. Therefore, \( f_1 \) must be a normalized polynomial.

\[ \]

**Lemma 3.1.2** Suppose that \( f \) is a monic, normalized permutation polynomial of \( \mathbb{F}_p \) of odd degree \( d \geq 3 \) and \( p > (d^2 - 3d + 4)^2 \). Then \( f = f_2(f_1) \) where \( f_1, f_2 \) are monic normalized polynomials of degrees \( d_1, d_2 \), respectively with \( d = d_1d_2 \) and polynomial \( f_1 \) can be expressed as,

\[
f_1(x) = D_{m_1}(a,x^{m_2}) + \alpha
\]

for some integers \( m_1, m_2 \) with \( m_1m_2 = d_1 \geq 3, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q, a \neq 0 \).

Moreover if \( m_1 = 1 \) in \( (2.1) \), i.e., \( f_1(x) = x^{d_1} + \alpha \), we can assume that \( \alpha \neq 0 \) unless \( f(x) = x^d \).

We now state and prove the main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 3.1.3** Suppose \( f \) and \( g \) are monic permutation polynomials of odd degree \( d \geq 3 \) over a finite field \( \mathbb{F}_p \), where \( p > (d^2 - 3d + 4)^2 \). Let \( h = f - g \) and \( t \) be the degree of \( h \). Assume \( t \geq 1 \). Then \( t \geq \frac{3d}{5} \).

Moreover, if \( d \geq 5 \) and \( t \leq d - 3 \), then \( \text{gcd}(t,d) > 1 \).

**Proof:** First of all we consider the case \( t = d - 1 \). Our assumption \( d \leq 3 \), i.e., \( d \leq \frac{5}{2} \) implies that \( d - 1 \geq \frac{3d}{5} \). Then we have \( t \geq \frac{3d}{5} \). So, we can assume \( t < d - 1 \).

We normalize \( f \) and \( g \) so that they are both monic. Now assume that \( f(x) = f_0(l(x)) \) and \( g(x) = g_0(l(x)) \) for some normalized permutation polynomial \( l(x) \). Also we can choose \( l(x) \) such that its degree \( e \) is maximal and we write \( \text{deg}(f_0) = \text{deg}(g_0) = d_0 \), where \( d = ed_0 \).

If \( e = d \), then \( d_0 = 1 \) i.e., \( f_0(x) = x + a \) and \( g_0(x) = x + b \) for some constants \( a, b \). But in this case, \( \text{deg}(f - g) = \text{deg}(a - b) = 0 = t \), a contradiction to our assumption \( t \geq 1 \).

So, we get \( e < d \).

Let \( h(x) = h_0(l(x)) \) where \( \text{deg}(h_0) = t_0 \) and \( t = et_0 \). Suppose \( e > 1 \). Then \( \text{gcd}(t,d) > 1 \).

Moreover, \( t < \frac{3d}{5} \) if and only if \( t_0 < \frac{3d}{5} \). We may replace \( f \) and \( g \) by \( f_0 \) and \( g_0 \). For the rest of this proof we may assume \( e = 1 \). In other words, we can assume \( f \) and \( g \) are monic, normalized polynomials.

Next, we consider the case \( t = d - 2 \), then \( d \) cannot be 3 otherwise \( t \geq \frac{3n}{5} \). Also in this case, if \( d \geq 5 \), then \( t \geq \frac{3d}{5} \) with equality only if \( d = 5, t = 3 \) as in the Example 3.1.5. Thus we may also assume \( t \leq d - 3 \) with \( d \geq 5 \).
From Lemma 3.1.2 we have that $f = f_2(f_1)$ and $g = g_2(g_1)$ are normalized and

$$f_1(x) = D_{m_1}(a, x^{m_2}) + \alpha, \; a \neq 0, \; \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p, \; m = m_1m_2 \geq 3, \tag{3.2}$$

$$g_1(x) = D_{k_1}(b, x^{k_2}) + \beta, \; b \neq 0, \; \beta \in \mathbb{F}_p, \; k = k_1k_2 \geq 3. \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover in (3.2) if $m_1 = 1$, then $\alpha \neq 0$ unless $f(x) = x^d$ and a similar statement holds for $g(x)$.

Recall the definition of Dickson polynomial in (1.1),

$$D_m(a, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{m}{d}]} \frac{m}{m-j} \binom{m-j}{j} (-a)^j x^{m-2j}.$$

Now, we prove the theorem considering the following three cases:

**Case 1:** $m_1 = 1, k_1 = 1$.

Then we have $f_1(x) = x^m + \alpha$ and $g_1(x) = x^k + \beta$ with $\alpha \beta \neq 0$. Since $e = 1$, we have $(m, k) = 1$. From Lemma 3.1.1 $t = \max\{d - m, d - k\}$. Since $k|n$ and $m|n$, $gcd(t, d) = k > 1$ or $gcd(t, d) = m > 1$. Also $k|d$ and $m|d$ and $gcd(k, m) = 1$ implies $km|n$. Then we have $3m \leq km \leq d$. So, $m < \frac{d}{3}$. Assume $t = d - m$, then

$$t > n - \frac{d}{3} = \frac{2d}{3} > \frac{3d}{5}.$$  

**Case 2:** $m_1 > 1$ and $k_1 = 1$.

Then we have $f_1(x) = D_{m_1}(a, x^{m_2}) + \alpha$ and $g_1(x) = x^k + \beta$. Since $e = 1, gcd(k, m_2) = 1$. By Lemma 3.1.1 we have $t = \max\{d - 2m_2, d - k\}$ where $m_2 > 1$ since $t \neq d - 2$. So, for each possible value of $t$, $gcd(t, d) > 1$.

Now there are 2 cases:

If $k < 2m_2$, then $t = d - k$. Since $k|d$, $m_2|d$ and $gcd(k, m_2) = 1$, $km_2|d$. So, we get $\frac{k}{d} < \frac{1}{m_2}$. Hence,

$$\frac{t}{d} = 1 - \frac{k}{d} > 1 - \frac{1}{m_2} > 1 - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3} > \frac{3}{5}.$$  

If $k \geq 2m_2$, then $t = d - 2m_2$. We can assume $m_1 \geq 5$, otherwise $f_1(x)$ is not a permutation polynomial. We also have $\frac{m_2}{n} < \frac{1}{m_1}$ since $m_1m_2 = m|d$. Thus,

$$\frac{t}{d} = 1 - \frac{2m_2}{d} > 1 - \frac{2}{m_1} > 1 - \frac{2}{5} = \frac{3}{5}.$$  

**Case 3:** $m_1 > 1$ and $k_1 > 1$.

In this case we have $f_1(x) = D_{m_1}(a, x^{m_2}) + \alpha, g_1(x) = D_{k_1}(b, x^{k_2}) + \beta$. Since $e = 1,$
\((m_2, k_2) = 1\). Lemma 3.1.1 implies that \(t = \max\{d - 2m_2, d - 2k_2\}\).

Now there are four cases:
If \(m_2 \neq 0\) and \(k_2 \neq 0\), then \(t = d - 2m_2\) or \(t = d - 2k_2\). W.L.O.G, we can assume \(t = d - 2m_2\). Then we have,

\[
\frac{t}{d} = 1 - \frac{2m_2}{d} > 1 - \frac{2}{m_1} > \frac{3}{5}.
\]

If \(m_2 = 1, k_2 \neq 1\) or \(m_2 \neq 1, k_2 = 1\), then for each case \(t = d - 2\), and we get a contradiction to our assumption.

If \(m_2 = k_2 = 1\) and \(a \neq b\) then by using the definition of Dickson polynomial, we can observe that there is no cancellation, i.e., we have non-zero term with degree \(d - 2\). Hence we will obtain \(t = d - 2\). So, again we have a contradiction.

If \(m_2 = k_2 = 1\) and \(a = b\), then we will have \(\gcd(k, m) = 1\). In case \(\gcd(k, m) > 1\), we get \(f_1 = f_0(D_r(a, x))\) and \(g_1 = g_0(D_r(a, x))\) with \(r = \gcd(k, m)\). Since \(f_1\) is as in (3.2), this gives a contradiction to maximality of \(m\). By a similar argument \(k\) is maximal.

We also have,

\[
h = f - g = f_2(D_m(a, x) + \alpha) - g_2(D_k(a, x) + \beta).
\]

Applying the identity

\[
D_k(a, x + \frac{a}{x}) = x^k + \frac{a^k}{x^k}.
\]

see the formula \((1.2)\), we deduce that

\[
h(x + \frac{a}{x}) = f_2(D_m(a, x + \frac{a}{x} + \alpha) - g_2(D_k(a, x + \frac{a}{x}) + \beta)
\]

\[
= f_2(x^m + \frac{a^m}{x^m} + \alpha) - g_2(x^k + \frac{a^k}{x^k} + \beta)
\]

\[
= f_2(x^m + \alpha x^0 + a^m x^{-m}) - g_2(x^k + \beta x^0 + a^k x^k)
\]

\[
= \left(\frac{d}{m}\alpha x^{d-m} + \cdots + \frac{d}{m} a^m + A\right)x^{d-2m} + \cdots
\]

\[
- (\frac{d}{k}\beta x^{d-k} + \cdots + \frac{d}{m} a^k + B)x^{d-2k} + \cdots,
\]

where
\[ f_2(x) = x^N + Ax^{N-2} + \cdots \]
\[ g_2(x) = x^N + Bx^{N-2} + \cdots \]

with \( N = \frac{d}{m} \).

We note that \( d - m \) and \( d - k \) are even while \( d - 2m \) and \( d - 2k \) are odd so that no cancellation occurs among the displayed terms. Moreover by the maximality of \( m \), if \( \alpha = 0 \) the coefficient of \( x^{d-2m} \) is non-zero and similarly that of \( x^{d-2k} \) is non-zero if \( \beta = 0 \).

Also \( k \) and \( m \) both divide \( d \) with \( k \geq 5, m \geq 5 \). Depending on \( \alpha \) or \( \beta \) being zero, we have:

\[
t = \begin{cases} 
\max\{d - 2m, d - 2k\} & \text{if } \alpha = \beta = 0, \\
\max\{d - m, d - 2k\} & \text{if } \alpha \neq 0, \\
\max\{d - m, d - k\} & \text{if } \alpha \beta \neq 0 
\end{cases}
\]

We also note that if \( \alpha = 0, \beta \neq 0 \), then we have \( t = \max\{d - 2m, d - k\} \), and the proof is the same case as \( \alpha \neq 0, \beta = 0 \). Clearly in each case \( \gcd(t, d) = k \) or \( \gcd(t, d) = m \) so that \( \gcd(t, n) > 1 \).

Now, we have three cases concerning \( t \):

**Case 3.1:** Assume \( m < k \). Then \( t = d - 2m \). Since \( d \geq 5 \), we obtain

\[
\frac{t}{d} = 1 - \frac{2m}{d} \geq 3 \frac{5}{5}.
\]

Similar argument can be used for \( k < m \).

**Case 3.2:** We have two subcases:

First of all, assume \( m < 2k \). On the other hand \( d \geq 5m \) since \( d \geq km \) and \( k \geq 5 \). So in this case, we get

\[
\frac{t}{d} = 1 - \frac{m}{d} \geq \frac{4}{5} \geq 3 \frac{5}{5}.
\]

Secondly, assume \( m > 2k \). Since \( d \geq km \geq m \geq 5 \), we have \( d \geq 5k \). Then in this case, we get

\[
\frac{t}{d} = 1 - \frac{2k}{d} \geq 3 \frac{5}{5}.
\]
**Case 3.3:** Assume \( m < k \). Then \( t = d - m \). So, we get

\[
\frac{t}{d} = 1 - \frac{m}{d} \geq \frac{3}{5}.
\]

The case \( k < m \) follows similarly.

The following example shows that \( \deg(h(x)) \) may attain the lower bound.

**Example 3.1.5** Assume \( p \equiv 2 \mod 5 \). Let

\[
g(x) = D_5(a, x^m) = x^{5m} - 5ax^{3m} + 5a^2 x^m \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) = x^{5m}
\]

where \( D_5(a, x) = x^5 - 5ax^3 + 5a^2 x \) is the Dickson polynomial of degree 5 with \( \gcd(m, p-1) = 1 \).

Now \( f(x) \) is a permutation polynomial, see Theorem 1.2.2, since \( \gcd(m, p-1) = 1 \). Also \( g(x) \) is a permutation polynomial Theorem 1.2.3, since \( p \equiv 2 \mod 5 \), i.e., \( \gcd(5, p^2 - 1) = 1 \). Thus both \( f \) and \( g \) are permutations of \( \mathbb{F}_p \). Consider the difference

\[
h(x) = f(x) - g(x) = +5ax^{3m} - 5a^2 x^m
\]

So, \( \deg(h(x)) = t = 3m \). Note that \( 5m = d \). Thus we get \( t = 3d/5 \).

The theorem that we have just proved yields a proof of the well-known Chowla-Zassenhaus conjecture as a special case. The first proof of the conjecture was given by Cohen in (1990), see [8]. We state it below.

**Theorem 3.1.4** Let \( p \) be a prime satisfying \( p > (d^2 - 3d + 4)^2 \) and \( f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \) be a permutation polynomial of degree \( d \geq 2 \). Then, there is no integer \( c \) with \( 1 \leq c < p \) such that \( f(x) + cx \) is also a permutation polynomial of \( \mathbb{F}_p \).

**Proof:** Let \( c \) be an arbitrary constant with \( 1 < c < p \) and take \( g(x) = f(x) + cx \). Assume \( g(x) \) is a permutation polynomial. Then \( h(x) = f(x) - g(x) = cx \) so that \( \deg(h) = t = 1 < 5d/3 \) with \( d \geq 2 \). Hence we get a contradiction to Theorem 3.1.3.

\[\square\]
3.2. A special case

As mentioned in the previous section, Chowla- Zassenhaus conjecture (the Theorem of Cohen \[8\]) states that if \( p \) is sufficiently large, when compared with the degree \( d \) of polynomials \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) + x \), then \( f + x \) is not a permutation of \( \mathbb{F}_p \) while \( f \) is. We recall that a permutation polynomial \( f \) is called a complete mapping if \( f + x \) is also a permutation polynomial. This work of I¸ sık, Topuzo˘ glu, Winterhof [13], takes a different viewpoint and studies the similar problem of existence of complete maps when \( f \) is a polynomial in \( \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) of Carlitz rank \( n \).

**Theorem 3.2.5** [13] Let \( f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) be of Carlitz rank \( n \), with a representation \( f(x) = P_n(a_0,0,a_2,\ldots,0;x) \). Suppose \( \alpha_n \neq 0 \) where \( \alpha_n \) is as in (1.4). If \( q > 2n - 1 \), then \( f + x \) is not a complete mapping.

When \( q > 2n + 1 \) and hence \( f + x \) is not a permutation of \( \mathbb{F}_q \), the value set \( V_{f+x} \) is also studied in [13], see Theorem 3. When \( f \) is of Carlitz rank 2, the values of \( |V_{f+x}| \) were also given in [13], Proposition 5. We use the notation of [13], and put

\[
a_0 = c_0, \quad a_1 = 0, \quad a_2 = c_1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_3 = 0
\]

and give the details of the proof of Proposition 5, in [13].

We shall be concerned with the permutation polynomial \( f(x) = ((c_0 x)^{q-2} + c_1)^{q-2} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) for odd \( q \) with \( c_0, c_1 \neq 0 \) and \( c_0 \neq -1 \). Let \( F(x) = f(x) + x = ((c_0 x)^{q-2} + c_1)^{q-2} + x \).

Then by definition of poles, \( O_2 = \{0, -\frac{1}{c_0 c_1}\} \). We can also use the 2nd convergent \( R_2(x) \).

\[
F(x) = R_2(x) + x = \frac{x(c_0 c_1 x + (c_0 + 1))}{c_0 c_1 x + 1} \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus O_2.
\]

Moreover, we know the set \( F(O_2) \),

\[
F(0) = \frac{1}{c_1}, \quad F(-\frac{1}{c_0 c_1}) = -\frac{1}{c_0 c_1}.
\]

We note that \( F(x) = 0 \) for \( x = -\frac{(1+c_0)}{c_0 c_1} \). Since \( c_0 \neq -1 \), this is the only element \( c \) in \( \mathbb{F}_q \) with \( F(c) = 0 \).

We observe that \( F(x) = F(y) \) if and only if \( y = -\frac{c_0 c_1 x + (c_0 + 1)}{(c_0 c_1)^2 x + c_0 c_1} \). Since assuming \( F(x) = F(y) \), that is

\[
\frac{x(c_0 c_1 x + (c_0 + 1))}{c_0 c_1 x + 1} = \frac{y(c_0 c_1 y + (c_0 + 1))}{c_0 c_1 y + 1},
\]
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one gets \( y = -\frac{c_0 c_1 x + (c_0 + 1)}{(c_0 c_1)^2 x + c_0 c_1} \).

On the other hand assuming \( y = -\frac{c_0 c_1 x + (c_0 + 1)}{(c_0 c_1)^2 x + c_0 c_1} \). Then,

\[
F(y) = F\left(-\frac{c_0 c_1 x + (c_0 + 1)}{(c_0 c_1)^2 x + c_0 c_1}\right) = x\frac{c_0 c_1 x + c_0 + 1}{c_0 c_1 x + 1} = F(x).
\]

Note that in this case \( F \) is not 1-1 on \( \mathbb{F}_q \setminus O_2 \). Thus if we consider the case \( x = y \), i.e.,

\[
x = -\frac{c_0 c_1 x + (c_0 + 1)}{(c_0 c_1)^2 x + c_0 c_1},
\]

then we obtain \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) such that \( F(x_1) = F(x_2) \). If we assume (3.4), then we have

\[
(c_0 c_1)^2 x^2 + 2c_0 c_1 x + c_0 + 1 = 0.
\]

To solve the equation (3.5), we need \( \Delta = 4(c_0 c_1)^2(-c_0) \geq 0 \) to be a square. But this holds only if \( -c_0 \) is a square.

Moreover, if \( -c_0 = \lambda^2 \) with \( \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \), then we get

\[
x_1 = \frac{-1 + \lambda}{c_0 c_1}, \quad x_2 = \frac{-1 - \lambda}{c_0 c_1}.
\]

Finally, in case \( -c_0 = \lambda^2 \), we have \( F(x_1) = F(x_2) \) with \( x_1 \neq x_2 \).

Next, we determine when \( F(0) = \frac{1}{c_1} \) and \( F\left(\frac{1}{c_0 c_1}\right) = \frac{-1}{c_0 c_1} \) have pre-images in \( \mathbb{F}_q \setminus O_2 \).

**Lemma 3.2.6** Let \( F(x) = ((c_0 x)^{q-2} + c_1)^{q-2} + x \). Then

(a) If \( 1 + 4c_0 \) is a square, then \( F(0) = F\left(\frac{1}{c_0 c_1}\right) \) for some \( c \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \).

(b) If \( c_0(c_0 + 4) \) is a square, then \( F\left(\frac{1}{c_0 c_1}\right) = F(c) \) for some \( c \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus O_2 \).

**Proof:**

(a) If

\[
F(0) = \frac{1}{c_1} = \frac{x(c_0 c_1 x + (c_0 + 1))}{c_0 c_1 x + 1} = F(x),
\]
then we have \(c_0c_1^2x^2 + c_1x - 1 = 0\) and to solve this equation, we need

\[c_1^2(1 + 4c_0) \geq 0\]

which implies that \(1 + 4c_0\) is a square.

(b) If

\[F\left(\frac{-1}{c_0c_1}\right) = \frac{-1}{c_0c_1} = \frac{x(c_0c_1x + (c_0 + 1))}{c_0c_1x + 1} = F(x),\]

then we obtain the equation \((c_0c_1)^2x^2 + (c_0c_1)(c_0 + 2)x + 1 = 0\) and again to solve it, we need

\[(c_0c_1)^2c_0(c_0 + 4) \geq 0\]

Hence, \(c_0(c_0 + 4)\) must be a square.

With the observation above we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.2.7** Let \(q\) be an odd prime power and \(f(x) = ((c_0x)^q - 2 + c_1)^q - 2\) be a permutation polynomial over \(\mathbb{F}_q\), with \(c_0, c_1 \neq 0\) and \(c_0 \neq -1\). If \(F(x) = f(x) + x\), then \(|V_F|\) depends on \(-c_0, (1 + 4c_0)\), and \(c_0(4 + c_0)\), as follows.

(i) If \(-c_0\) is a square and \((1 + 4c_0), c_0(4 + c_0)\) are non-squares, then \(|V_F| = \frac{q+5}{2}\).

(ii) If \(-c_0\) is a square and one of \((1 + 4c_0)\) and \(c_0(4 + c_0)\) is a non-square, then \(|V_F| = \frac{q+3}{2}\).

(iii) If \(-c_0, (1 + 4c_0)\) and \(c_0(4 + c_0)\) are all non-squares, then \(|V_F| = \frac{q+3}{2}\).

(iv) If \(-c_0, (1 + 4c_0), c_0(4 + c_0)\) are all squares, then \(|V_F| = \frac{q+1}{2}\).

(v) If \(-c_0\) is a non-square, one of \((1 + 4c_0)\) and \(c_0(4 + c_0)\) is a non-square, then \(|V_F| = \frac{q+1}{2}\).

(vi) If \(-c_0\) is a non-square, \((1 + 4c_0)\) and \(c_0(4 + c_0)\) are both squares, then \(|V_F| = \frac{q-1}{2}\).
Proof:

(i) If $-c_0$ is a square, $(1 + 4c_0)$ and $c_0(4 + c_0)$ are non-squares, then this gives the maximum value for $|V_F|$ since there exist distinct elements $x_1, x_2$ such that $F(x_1) = F(x_2)$ and we have $x_0 = \frac{-1\pm\sqrt{1-c_0}}{c_0}$ with $F(x_0) = 0$ and $F(F_q \setminus O_2) \cap F(O_2) = \emptyset$. Thus we obtain,

$$|V_F| = 2 + 2 + 1 + \frac{q - 2 - 2 - 1}{2} = \frac{q + 5}{2}.$$

(ii) If $-c_0$ is a square, one of $(1 + 4c_0)$ and $c_0(4 + c_0)$ is a non-square, then

$$|V_F| = 2 + 1 + 1 + \frac{q - 2 - 1 - 2}{2} = \frac{q + 3}{2}.$$

(iii) If $-c_0$ is a non-square, $(1 + 4c_0)$ and $c_0(4 + c_0)$ are non-squares, then

$$|V_F| = 1 + 2 + \frac{q - 2 - 1}{2} = \frac{q + 3}{2}.$$

(iv) If $-c_0$ is a square, $(1 + 4c_0)$ and $c_0(4 + c_0)$ are squares, then

$$|V_F| = 2 + 1 + \frac{q - 2 - 1 - 2}{2} = \frac{q + 1}{2}.$$

(v) If $-c_0$ is a non-square, one of $(1 + 4c_0)$ and $c_0(4 + c_0)$ is a non-square, then

$$|V_F| = 1 + 1 + \frac{q - 2 - 1}{2} = \frac{q + 1}{2}.$$

(vi) If $-c_0$ is a non-square, $(1 + 4c_0)$ and $c_0(4 + c_0)$ are squares, then there do not exist distinct elements $x_1, x_2$ such that $F(x_1) = F(x_2)$. However there exists $x_0 = \frac{-1\pm\sqrt{1-c_0}}{c_0}$ such that $F(x_0) = 0$ and for each pole $x, y \in O_2$ we have $x, y$ such that $F(x_1) = F(x), F(x_2) = F(y)$. Therefore,
Example 3.2.6 Let $q = 7$ and $F(x) = ((c_0x)^5 + c_1)^5 + x$. In $\mathbb{F}_7$, the elements $0, 1, 2, 4$ are squares and $3, 5, 6$ are non-squares. Hence we can obtain some examples for some of the cases in Theorem 3.2.7.

If we take $c_0 = 5$, then $-c_0 = 2$ is a square. Also we have $1 + 4c_0 = 0$ is a square, $c_0(4 + c_0) = 3$ is a non-square. So, we are in Case (iii).

Now take any $c_1 \in \mathbb{F}_7$, say $c_1 = 4$. Then the values of $F$ over $\mathbb{F}_7$ are follows:

$$F(0) = 2, \ F(1) = 1, \ F(2) = 6, \ F(3) = 6, \ F(4) = 2, \ F(5) = 4, \ F(6) = 0$$

Hence, we obtain $V_F = \{0, 1, 2, 4, 6\}$, i.e.,

$$|V_F| = 5 = \frac{q + 3}{2}.$$ 

If we take $c_0 = 2$, then $-c_0 = 5$ is not a square. Also we have $1 + 4c_0 = 2$ is a square, $c_0(4 + c_0) = 5$ is not a square. So we are in Case (vi).

Now take any $c_1 \in \mathbb{F}_7$, say $c_1 = 6$. Then the polynomial $F$ takes the following values over $\mathbb{F}_7$:

$$F(0) = 6, \ F(1) = 6, \ F(2) = 3, \ F(3) = 6, \ F(4) = 4, \ F(5) = 0, \ F(6) = 1$$

Thus, we obtain $V_F = \{0, 1, 3, 6\}$ i.e.,

$$|V_F| = 4 = \frac{q + 1}{2}.$$ 

\[\square\]
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