

**UNIFORMIZATION OF ELLIPTIC CURVES**

by  
**ÖZGE ÜLKEM**

**Submitted to the Graduate School of Engineering and Natural  
Sciences**

**in partial fulfillment of  
the requirements for the degree of  
Master of Science**

**Sabancı University**

**August 2015**

TITLE OF THE THESIS/DISSERTATION

APPROVED BY:

Prof. Dr. Henning Stichtenoth  
(Thesis Supervisor)



Assoc. Prof. Dr. Alp Bassa (Thesis Co-advisor)



Prof. Dr. Alev Topuzođlu



Assoc. Prof. Dr. Cem Güneri



Assoc. Prof. Dr. Özgür Gürbüz



DATE OF APPROVAL: 04/08/2015

©Özge Ülkem 2015  
All Rights Reserved

Özge Ülkem

Mathematics, Master Thesis, 2015

Thesis Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Henning Stichtenoth

Thesis Co-supervisor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Alp Bassa

Keywords: Elliptic curve, uniformization, lattice, Tate curve

### **Abstract**

Every elliptic curve  $E$  defined over  $\mathbb{C}$  is analytically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Similarly, Tate has shown that if  $E$  is defined over a  $p$ -adic field  $K$ , then  $E$  is analytically isomorphic to  $K^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$  for some  $q \in K^*$ . Further the isomorphism  $E(\overline{K}) \cong \overline{K}^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$  respects the action of the Galois group  $G_{\overline{K}/K}$ , where  $\overline{K}$  is the algebraic closure of  $K$ . I will explain the construction of this isomorphism.

# ELLIPTİK EĞRİLERİN ÜNİFORMİZASYONU

Özge Ülkem

Matematik, Yüksek Lisans Tezi, 2015

Tez Danışmanı: Prof. Dr. Henning Stichtenoth

Tez Eş-Danışmanı: Asist. Prof. Dr. Alp Bassa

Anahtar Kelimeler: Eliptik eğri, ızgara, üniformizasyon, Tate eğrisi

## Özet

Kompleks sayılar üzerinde tanımlanan her eliptik eğrinin sıfır olmayan bir  $q$  kompleks sayısı için  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$  yapısına izomorfiktir. Benzer şekilde, Tate göstermiştir ki  $p$ -adic bir  $K$  cismi üzerinde tanımlanan bir  $E$  eliptik eğrisi de  $q \in K^*$  olmak üzere,  $K^*/q\mathbb{Z}$  yapısına izomorfiktir. Dahası,  $E(\bar{K}) \cong \bar{K}^*/q\mathbb{Z}$  izomorfizması  $\bar{K}$ ,  $K$ 'nin cebirsel kapanışı olmak üzere  $G_{\bar{K}/K}$  Galois grubunun etkisine saygı duyar. Bu tezde bu izomorfizmaları kuracağız.

# Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Özet</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Preliminaries</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1 Elliptic Curves . . . . .	2
2.2 Foundations of Valuation Theory . . . . .	4
2.2.1 Relation between non-Archimedean absolute value and Valuation . . . . .	7
<b>3 Uniformization of Elliptic Curves over <math>\mathbb{C}</math></b>	<b>11</b>
<b>4 Uniformization of Elliptic Curves over <math>\mathbb{Q}_p</math></b>	<b>18</b>

## CHAPTER 1

### Introduction

In this thesis, I will consider elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{C}$  and over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , which is the completion of the field  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers under a  $p$ -adic valuation.

In the Chapter I we will give some basic definitions and propositions that we will need.

In Chapter II, we will consider the set of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{C}$  as a whole. We will take the collection of  $\mathbb{C}$ -isomorphism class of elliptic curves and make it into an algebraic curve, which is an example of a modular curve. Then by studying functions on this modular curve we will construct a bijection between the isomorphism classes of elliptic curves and the homothety classes of lattices. This is called the uniformization of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

In Chapter III, we will consider elliptic curves defined over a  $p$ -adic field  $K$ , which is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . We will describe Tate's theory of these elliptic curves and we will derive a uniformization of elliptic curves over  $K$ .

## CHAPTER 2

# Preliminaries

## 2.1 Elliptic Curves

Let  $K$  be a field and  $\bar{K}$  be the algebraic closure of  $K$ . Consider a curve  $E$  over  $K$  given by the equation

$$y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6 \quad (1)$$

where  $a_1, \dots, a_6 \in \bar{K}$ .

If  $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ , we can simplify the equation above by completing squares. Replacing  $y$  by  $\frac{1}{2}(y - a_1x - a_3)$  gives an equation of the form

$$E : y^2 = 4x^3 + b_2x^2 + 2b_4x + b_6$$

where  $b_2 = a_1^2 + 4a_2$   
 $b_4 = 2a_4 + a_1a_3$   
 $b_6 = a_3^2 + 4a_6$ .

Also, define  $b_8 = a_1^2a_6 + 4a_2a_6 - a_1a_3a_4 + a_2a_3^2 - a_4^2$   
 $c_4 = b_2^2 - 24b_4$

**Definition 2.1** *The discriminant of this curve defined by the equation above is defined by the quantity:*

$$\Delta(E) = -b_2^2b_8 - 8b_4^3 - 27b_6^2 + 9b_2b_4b_6.$$

**Definition 2.2** *We call the curve given by an equation of the form (1) an elliptic curve if  $\Delta \neq 0$ .*

**Definition 2.3** *The quantity  $j = \frac{c_4^3}{\Delta}$  is called the  $j$ -invariant of the curve  $E$  defined above.*

As it is customary, we will consider the curve  $E$  as a projective curve with its points at infinity in the projective plane. It can be checked easily that a curve defined by equation as given above has a unique point at infinity with projective coordinates  $[0 : 1 : 0]$ . We will denote this point by  $O$  and call it base point of  $E$ . We will define a group operation on  $E$ . Take any  $P, Q \in E$ . Let  $L$  be the line connecting  $P$  and  $Q$  (tangent line to  $E$  if  $P = Q$ ). By Bézout theorem,  $L$  intersect the curve  $E$  at a third point. Denote this third point by  $R$ . Let  $L'$  be the line



connecting  $R$  and  $O$ . Then,  $P \oplus Q$  is the point such that  $L'$  intersects  $E$  at  $R, O$  and  $P \oplus Q$ .

**Proposition 2.4** *Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve with the base point  $O = [0, 1, 0]$ . Then,  $E$  is an abelian group under the operation  $\oplus$ , where the identity element of this group is  $O$ .*

**Proof:** [5, Chapter III, Section 2]

## Group Law Formula

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve given by

$$E : y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6.$$

(a) Let  $P_0 = (x_0, y_0) \in E$ . Denote by  $\ominus P_0$  the additive inverse of  $P_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ .

It is given by  $\ominus P_0 = (x_0, -y_0 - a_1x_0 - a_3)$ .

Let  $P_1 \oplus P_2 = P_3$  with  $P_i = (x_i, y_i) \in E$ .

(b) If  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $y_1 + y_2 + a_1x_2 + a_3 = 0$  then  $P_1 \oplus P_2 = O$ .

Otherwise, let

$$\lambda = \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}, \quad \nu = \frac{y_1x_2 - y_2x_1}{x_2 - x_1} \text{ if } x_1 \neq x_2$$

$$\lambda = \frac{3x_1^3 + 2a_2x_1 + a_4 - a_1y_1}{2y_1 + a_1x_1 + a_3}, \quad \nu = \frac{-x_1^3 + a_4x_1 + 2a_6 - a_3y_1}{2y_1 + a_1x_1 + a_3} \text{ if } x_1 = x_2$$

(Then,  $y = \lambda x + \nu$  is the line through  $P_1, P_2$ , or tangent to  $E$  if  $P_1 = P_2$ )

(c)  $P_3 = P_1 \oplus P_2$  is given by  $x_3 = \lambda^2 + a_1\lambda - a_2 - x_1 - x_2$

$$y_3 = -(\lambda + a_1)x_3 - \nu - a_3.$$

**Definition 2.5** *For projective curves  $E, E'$ , a morphism  $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$  is defined by a polynomial mapping*

$$\phi : [X : Y : Z] \mapsto [\phi_0(X, Y, Z) : \phi_1(X, Y, Z) : \phi_2(X, Y, Z)]$$

where  $\phi_i$  are homogeneous polynomials of equal degree such that  $[\phi_0(X, Y, Z) : \phi_1(X, Y, Z) : \phi_2(X, Y, Z)]$  satisfies the equation which defines  $E'$  for any  $[X : Y : Z] \in E$ .

To every morphism of curves we can associate an integer called its *degree*.

**Definition 2.6** *The degree of  $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$  is the degree of the function field extension  $K(E')/K(E)$  induced by  $\phi$ .*

A **homomorphism of elliptic curves** is a morphism of elliptic curves that respects the group structure of the curves.

An **isomorphism of elliptic curves** is a morphism of degree 1.

Later on, we will see that there is a relation between "lattices" over  $\mathbb{C}$  and elliptic curves defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . This relation is given by "Weierstraß  $\wp$ -function".

**Definition 2.7** *A discrete subgroup of  $\mathbb{C}$  which contains an  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis for  $\mathbb{C}$  is called a lattice. And, the number of basis is called the rank of the lattice.*

**Definition 2.8** *Let  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$  be two lattices. We say  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are homothetic if there is a  $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$  with  $c\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$ .*

**Definition 2.9** An elliptic function (relative to the lattice  $\Lambda$ ) is a meromorphic function  $f(z)$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  which satisfies

$$f(z + w) = f(z)$$

for all  $w \in \Lambda, z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 2.10** Let  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a lattice.

(i) The function

$$\wp(z; \Lambda) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{w \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} \left( \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} - \frac{1}{w^2} \right)$$

is called the Weierstraß  $\wp$ -function associated to the lattice  $\Lambda$ .

(ii) The Eisenstein series of weight  $2k, k > 1$  (for  $\Lambda$ ) is the series

$$G_{2k}(\Lambda) = \sum_{w \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} w^{-2k}.$$

**Theorem 2.11** Let  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a lattice.

(i) The Eisenstein series  $G_{2k}(\Lambda)$  for  $\Lambda$  is absolutely convergent for all  $k > 1$ .

(ii) The series defining the Weierstraß  $\wp$ -function converges absolutely and uniformly on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{C} - \Lambda$ . It defines a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$  having a double pole with residue 0 at each lattice point, and no other poles.

(iii) The Weierstraß  $\wp$ -function is an even elliptic function.

**Proof:** [5, Chapter VI, Section 3]

## 2.2 Foundations of Valuation Theory

**Definition 2.12** Let  $A$  be a ring. A valuation  $v$  is a map

$$v : A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$$

such that

$$(i) \quad v(xy) = v(x) + v(y)$$

$$(ii) \quad v(x + y) \geq \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$$

with  $v(x) = \infty \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ . Here  $\infty$  is an abstract element added to  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $\infty + \infty = \alpha + \infty = \infty + \alpha = \infty$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

The following are immediate consequences of the definition:

1.  $v(1) = 0$ .

2.  $v(x^{-1}) = -v(x)$  for  $x \in A$ .
3.  $v(-x) = v(x)$  for  $x \in A$ .
4. Take any  $x, y \in A$ . If  $v(x) \neq v(y)$ ,  $v(x + y) = \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$ .

Let  $K$  be the field of fractions of the ring  $A$ , i.e,

$$K = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \mid a, b \in A, b \neq 0 \right\}.$$

**Proposition 2.13** *There exists a unique valuation on  $K$  which extend  $v$ . This valuation is defined as follows:*

$$v\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) = v(x) - v(y).$$

**Proof:** Follows directly from the definition of field of fractions and the identity  $a = \frac{a}{b} \cdot b$

By this proposition, without loss of generality, we will focus on valuations on the field  $K$ .

**Definition 2.14**

- (i) Let  $K$  be a ring with valuation  $v$ . The valuation  $v$  is called discrete if  $v(K^*) = s\mathbb{Z}$  for a real  $s > 1$ .
- (ii) A discrete valuation  $v$  is called normalized if  $s = 1$ .

**Definition 2.15** Let  $v$  be a discrete valuation on the field  $K$ .

- (i)  $\mathcal{O} := \{x \in K \mid v(x) \geq 0\}$ .  
The set  $\mathcal{O}$  is called the ring of integers of  $K$  with respect to the valuation  $v$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{P} := \{x \in K \mid v(x) > 0\}$ .  
The set  $\mathcal{P}$  is called the ideal of the valuation  $v$ .
- (iii) The set  $\mathcal{O}^* = \mathcal{O} \setminus \mathcal{P} = \{x \in K \mid v(x) = 0\}$  is the set of invertible elements of the ring  $\mathcal{O}$
- (iv) The field  $k = \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P}$  is called the residue field of the valuation  $v$ .

**Proposition 2.16** (i)  $\mathcal{P}$  is a principal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

- (ii)  $\mathcal{O}$  is a local ring and  $\mathcal{P}$  is its unique maximal ideal.

**Proof:**

- (i) Before we give the proof, we need

**Lemma:** Let  $v$  be a normalized valuation on  $K$ . Then, any nonzero element  $x \in K$  can be written as  $x = ut^n$ , where  $t \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $v(t) = 1$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{O}^*$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proof of Lemma:** Since  $v(K^*) = \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists an element  $t \in K$  with  $v(t) = 1$ . So,  $t \in \mathcal{P}$ . Take any  $0 \neq x \in K$ . Then,  $v(x) = m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence,  $v(xt^{-m}) = 0$  and so  $u := xt^{-m} \in \mathcal{O}^*$ .

Finally,  $x = ut^m$ .

Now, take any  $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $n := v(x) \leq v(y)$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{P}$ . By the lemma above,  $x = ut^n$  for the element  $t \in \mathcal{P}$  and for some  $u \in \mathcal{O}^*$ . Hence,  $t^n \mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{P}$ .

Conversely, take any  $y \in \mathcal{P}$ . Again by the lemma, we can write  $y = wt^m$ , where  $t \in \mathcal{P}$  and for some  $w \in \mathcal{O}^*$ . Since  $y \in \mathcal{P}$ , we have  $m := v(y) \geq v(x) = m$ , so we can write

$$y = (wt^{m-n})t^n \in t^n \mathcal{O},$$

hence  $\mathcal{P} \subset t^n \mathcal{O}$ .

(ii) One can easily show that  $\mathcal{P}$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

**Claim 1**  $\mathcal{P}$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

**Proof of Claim 1:** Assume  $A$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$  with  $\mathcal{P} \subsetneq A$ . So, there exists  $x \in \mathcal{O}^* \cap A$ . Then,  $1 \in A$  and hence  $A = \mathcal{O}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{P}$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

**Claim 2**  $\mathcal{P}$  is the unique maximal ideal.

**Proof of Claim 2:** Assume now there exists a maximal ideal  $B$  of  $\mathcal{O}$  such that  $B \neq \mathcal{P}$ . Then,  $B \cap \mathcal{O}^* = \{0\}$ . Hence, for any nonzero element  $x \in B$ , we have  $v(x) > 0$ , which implies that  $B \subset \mathcal{P}$ , contradiction.

By the previous proposition, we know that  $\mathcal{P}$  is generated by one element, say  $t$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{P} = \langle t \rangle$ . The element  $t$  is called a uniformizing parameter for the valuation  $v$ .

**Example 2.17** Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the field of rational numbers. Take any  $q \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then, we can express  $q$  as a product of powers of prime numbers:  $q = \pm p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_n^{\alpha_n}$  for some prime numbers  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $v$  is a valuation on  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then it is sufficient to know  $v$  on prime numbers since  $v(q) = \alpha_1 v(p_1) + \dots + \alpha_n v(p_n)$ .

If there is no prime number  $p$  with  $v(p) > 0$ , then  $v(q) = 0$ . Now assume there exists a prime number with positive valuation.

**Claim:** There exists at most one prime number  $p$  with  $v(p) > 0$ .

*Proof of the Claim:* Assume there exists two primes  $p_1, p_2$  such that  $v(p_1) > 0$  and  $v(p_2) > 0$ . Since  $\gcd(p_1, p_2) = 1$ , there are  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ap_1 + bp_2 = 1$ .

$$\implies 0 = v(1) = v(ap_1 + bp_2) \geq \min\{v(ap_1), v(bp_2)\} > 0$$

Let  $p$  be a prime number. Define,  $v(p) := 1$  and for  $m \in \mathbb{Q}$ , define  $v(m) := \alpha$  where  $\alpha$  is the biggest power of  $p$  dividing  $m$ . Then,  $v$  gives us a valuation on  $\mathbb{Q}$

And,  $p$  is a uniformizing element and the residue field of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with this valuation is  $\mathbb{Z}/\langle p \rangle$ .

**Definition 2.18** An absolute value of  $K$  is a function

$$|\cdot| : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

satisfying for all  $x, y \in K$

- (i)  $|x| = 0 \iff x = 0$
- (ii)  $|x| \geq 0$
- (iii)  $|xy| = |x| \cdot |y|$
- (iv)  $|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|$

**Definition 2.19** An absolute value is called non-Archimedean if it satisfies  $|x + y| \leq \max\{|x|, |y|\}$  for all  $x, y \in K$ .

An absolute value gives a topological structure on  $K$  by the metric  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ . So, we can talk about notions as convergence of series and dense subsets.

## 2.2.1 Relation between non-Archimedean absolute value and Valuation

**Theorem 2.20** Let  $|\cdot|$  be an absolute value on  $K$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}, s > 0$ . Then the function

$$v_s : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$$

$$x \mapsto \begin{cases} -s \log|x| & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ \infty & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

is a non-archimedean valuation on  $K$ .

Conversely, if  $v$  is a valuation on  $K$  and  $q \in \mathbb{R}, q > 1$  the function

$$|\cdot|_q : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$x \mapsto \begin{cases} q^{-v(x)} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

is an absolute value on  $K$ .

**Definition 2.21** Let  $K$  be a field with an absolute value  $|\cdot|$ . A sequence  $(a_n)$  called a Cauchy sequence if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n, m > N$ ,

$$|a_n - a_m| < \epsilon.$$

**Definition 2.22** A field  $K$  with an absolute value  $|\cdot|$  is called complete if any Cauchy sequence  $(a_n)$  converges to an element  $a \in K$ .

**Theorem 2.23** Let  $K$  be a field with an absolute value  $|\cdot|$  on  $K$ . Then, there exists a complete field  $\widehat{K}$  with an absolute value  $|\cdot|_{\widehat{K}}$  such that  $K$  is embedded in  $\widehat{K}$  as a dense subfield and  $|x|_{\widehat{K}} = |x|$  if  $x \in K$ . The field  $\widehat{K}$  is unique up to continuous  $K$ -isomorphism and hence is called the completion of  $K$ .

**Proof** : [2, Chapter II, Section 4]

**Theorem 2.24** Let  $K$  be a valued field and  $\widehat{K}$  be its completion with respect to the valuation  $v$  on  $K$ . Denote by  $\widehat{v}$  the corresponding valuation on  $\widehat{K}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}$  (respectively  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ ) be the valuation ring of  $K$  (respectively,  $\widehat{K}$ )

$\mathcal{P}$  (respectively  $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ ) be the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$  (respectively  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ )  
and

$\mathcal{K}$  (respectively,  $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}$ ) be the residue field.

Then,

$$\mathcal{K} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{K}}$$

if  $v$  is discrete then  $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P}^n \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}/\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^n$ , where  $n \geq 1$ .

**Proof:** [2, Chapter I, Section 3]

**Theorem 2.25** Take the same assumptions as in the previous theorem. Assume also  $v$  is normalized. Let  $R \subset \mathcal{O}$  be a set of representatives of  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $0 \in R$  and let  $t \in \mathcal{P}$  be a uniformizing element. Then, we can represent all  $x \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}^*$  as a convergent series

$$x = t^m(a_0 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + \dots)$$

with  $a_i \in R, i \in \mathbb{N}, a_0 \neq 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proof:** Take any  $x \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}^*$ . Since  $t$  is a uniformizing element, we have  $x = ut^m$  where  $u \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^*$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}/\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$  by the previous theorem,  $u \bmod \widehat{\mathcal{P}}$  has a representative  $0 \neq a_0 \in R$  and hence we can write  $u = a_0 + tb_1$  with  $b_1 \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ .

By induction, there exists  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$  such that

$$u = a_0 + a_1t + \dots + a_{n-1}t^{n-1} + t^n b_n$$

with  $b_n \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ .

Similarly, there exists  $a_n \in R$  such that  $b_n = a_n + tb_{n+1}$  where  $b_{n+1} \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ .

Hence,

$$u = a_0 + a_1t + \dots + a_{n-1}t^{n-1} + a_n t^n + t^{n+1} b_{n+1}.$$

We can do this for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, we obtain a series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n$ .

**Claim:** This series converges to  $u$ .

*Proof of the Claim:* For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\widehat{v}(u - \sum_{i=1}^n a_n t^n) = \widehat{v}(t^{n+1} b_{n+1}) = \widehat{v}(t^{n+1}) + \widehat{v}(b_{n+1}) = n + 1 + \widehat{v}(b_{n+1}) \geq n + 1.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{v}(u - \sum_{i=0}^n a_i t^i) = \infty.$$

Hence, the series converges to  $u$  and so we are done.

**Example 2.26** Consider the valuation on  $\mathbb{Q}$  defined in the Example 1.17.

Denote by  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  the completion of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with respect to the valuation  $v_p$ .

We will use also  $v_p$  for the extension of  $v_p$  to  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{K}_p$  the residual field  $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P}$  where  $\mathcal{O}$  is the valuation ring and  $\mathcal{P}$  is its unique maximal ideal. Clearly,  $\mathcal{P}$  is generated by the prime number  $p$ .

**Claim:**  $\mathcal{K}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof of the Claim:* Follows from Theorem 1.24.

By the claim, we can take  $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$  as set of representatives of  $\mathcal{K}_p$ . According to the theorem, for any  $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ , we have

$$x = p^m(a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2 + \dots) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i p^{i+m}$$

with  $a_i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_0 \neq 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Also, by the construction of the same theorem, we know

$$u = a_0 + a_1p + \dots = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i p^i$$

is a unit, i.e.,  $v_p(u) = 0$ . Hence,  $v_p(x) = m$ .

Therefore, the valuation ring of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is

$$\mathbb{Z}_p = \left\{ \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} a_i p^i \mid a_i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}, m \geq 0 \right\},$$

with the unique maximal ideal  $p\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

$\mathbb{Z}_p$  is called the ring of  $p$ -adic integers

**Example 2.27** Let  $K$  be a field and  $K((x))$  be the field of formal power series over  $K$ .

Take any  $f(x) \in K((x))$ ,  $f(x) = \sum_{r=m}^{\infty} a_r x^r$ . Define a function  $v : K((x)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  as follows:

$v(f(x)) = t$ , where  $a_t$  is the first nonzero coefficient in  $f$ , if  $f$  is a nonzero element in  $K((x))$  and  $v(0) = \infty$ . It can be easily seen that  $v$  is a discrete valuation on  $K((x))$ .

The valuation ring of  $K((x))$  consists of formal power series with nonnegative exponent, with the unique maximal ideal

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ f(x) \in K((x)) \mid \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_r x^r \right\}.$$

So,  $x$  is a uniformizing element.

Now we will define a tool for understanding the behaviour of polynomials over a valued field, which is called *Newton Polygon*.

**Definition 2.28** Let  $K$  be a valued field with the valuation  $v$  defined on it. Take any  $f(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 \in K[x]$  of degree  $n$ . The Newton polygon of  $f(x)$  is the convex hull of the set of points

$$\{(j, v(a_j)) \mid j \geq 0\} \cup \{Y_{+\infty}\}$$

where  $Y_{+\infty}$  denotes the set of at infinity of the positive vertical axis (i.e, if  $a_j = 0$  then  $(j, v(a_j)) = Y_{+\infty}$ ).

We can define the Newton polygon of a power series or Laurent series in a similar way.

**Definition 2.29** Let  $K$  be a complete field with respect to valuation  $v$ . Let  $f(X) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n X^n$  with  $a_n \in K$ . The Newton polygon of  $f$  is defined to be the convex hull of the set

$$\{(j, v(a_j))\}_{j \geq 0} \cup \{Y_{+\infty}\}$$

where  $Y_{+\infty}$  defined as before.

**Theorem 2.30** Let  $K$  be a complete field and let  $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \in K[[X]]$ . Then, to each side of the Newton polygon of  $f$  there correspond  $l$  zeros (counting multiplicities) of  $f$  where  $l$  is the length of the horizontal projection of the side.

**Proof:** [3, Chapter II, Section 2]

**Theorem 2.31 (Schnirelmann)** Let  $f(X) = \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} c_i X^i$  be a formal Laurent series with coefficient  $c_i$  in a finite extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . We suppose that  $f(X)$  converges for all  $\bar{K}^*$ . Then,  $f(X)$  can be written in the form

$$f(X) = cX^k \prod_{|\alpha| < 1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{X}\right) \prod_{|\alpha| < 1} \left(1 - \frac{X}{\alpha}\right)$$

with finite non-empty sets of roots  $\alpha \in \bar{K}$  occurring on the critical spheres of  $f$ . Gathering these roots of given modulus together, we get a representation

$$f(X) = cX^k \prod_{i < 0} \hat{g}_i(X) \prod_{i \geq 0} g_i(X)$$

with polynomials  $g_i(X) \in K[X]$  or  $\hat{g}_i(X) \in K[X^{-1}]$  having the same roots as  $f$  on the critical spheres of radii  $r_i, c \in K, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proof:** [4, Chapter II, Section 4]



## CHAPTER 3

# Uniformization of Elliptic Curves over $\mathbb{C}$

It is known that each lattice  $\Lambda$  of rank 2 gives an elliptic curve  $E$  defined over  $\mathbb{C}$  via the complex analytic map given by the Weierstraß  $\wp$ -function and its derivative.

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the set of lattices of rank 2 in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then,  $\mathbb{C}^*$  acts on  $\mathcal{L}$  by multiplication where

$$c\Lambda = \{cw \mid w \in \Lambda\}$$

for any  $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . This action is called homothety. Since homothetic lattices give isomorphic elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{C}$ , we have an injection:

$$\mathcal{L}/\mathbb{C}^* \hookrightarrow \{\text{Elliptic curves over } \mathbb{C}\}/\mathbb{C} - \text{isomorphism}.$$

Actually, this map is a bijection. Our main aim in this section to prove that it is indeed a bijection.

This is called *Uniformization Theorem of Elliptic Curves over  $\mathbb{C}$* .

Let  $\Lambda$  be a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Choose a basis for  $\Lambda$ , say  $\omega_1, \omega_2$ . Then,

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2,$$

which is homothetic to  $\mathbb{Z}\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2} + \mathbb{Z}$ . We choose  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  such that the angle between  $\omega_2$  and  $\omega_1$  is between 0 and  $\pi$ . Since it is enough to consider the lattices up to homothety, let us normalize our lattice

$$\mathbb{Z}\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2} + \mathbb{Z}.$$

This lattice is homothetic to the lattice

$$\frac{1}{\omega_2}\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}.$$

Because of the choice of the angle between  $\omega_2$  and  $\omega_1$ , we have  $\text{im}\left(\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}\right) > 0$ , i.e.,  $\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2} \in \mathbb{H}$ , where

$$\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{im}(z) > 0\}.$$

Denote  $\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}$  by  $\tau$ . So, we can rewrite the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2} + \mathbb{Z}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}\tau + \mathbb{Z}$ . We will denote the latter lattice by  $\Lambda_\tau$ . Therefore, there is a natural map:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{L}/\mathbb{C}^* \\ \tau &\mapsto \Lambda_\tau \end{aligned}$$

This map is surjective.

So, each element  $\tau$  in the upper half plane gives us a lattice  $\Lambda_\tau$ . However, this is not a bijection. When do two elements in the upper plane give the homothetic lattice? The answer will follow from

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $ad - bc \neq 0$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ . Then,*

$$\operatorname{im}\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = \frac{(ad - bc)\operatorname{im}\tau}{|c\tau + d|^2}.$$

**Proof:** [6, Chapter I, Section 1]

The complication here is choosing a basis for the lattice  $\Lambda_\tau$  corresponding to  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  and  $\omega'_1, \omega'_2$  be two bases for the lattice  $\Lambda_\tau$ . Then, there are  $a, b, c, d, a', b', c', d' \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega'_1 &= a\omega_1 + b\omega_2 & \omega_1 &= a'\omega'_1 + b'\omega'_2 \\ \omega'_2 &= c\omega_1 + d\omega_2 & \omega_2 &= c'\omega'_1 + d'\omega'_2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by substituting  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  in the expression of  $\omega'_1$  and  $\omega'_2$ , we get:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega'_1 \\ \omega'_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

And hence,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

Now, as  $\operatorname{im}\left(\frac{\omega'_1}{\omega'_2}\right) > 0$ , by defining  $\tau := \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}$  and using the previous lemma we get:  $0 < \operatorname{im}\left(\frac{\omega'_1}{\omega'_2}\right) = \operatorname{im}\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = \frac{(ad - bc)\operatorname{im}\tau}{|c\tau + d|^2}$ . Hence,  $ad - bc > 0$ . Moreover, from (1) we have,

$$(ad - bc)(a'd' - b'c') = 1.$$

Since,  $a, b, c, d, a', b', c', d' \in \mathbb{Z}$ , either  $ad - bc = 1 \wedge a'd' - b'c' = 1$  or  $ad - bc = -1 \wedge a'd' - b'c' = -1$ . As  $ad - bc > 0$ , we have  $ad - bc = 1$ ; which means  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Lemma 3.2** (a) *Let  $\Lambda$  be a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}$ , say  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2 = \mathbb{Z}\omega'_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega'_2$ . Then,  $\omega'_1 = a\omega_1 + b\omega_2$  and  $\omega'_2 = c\omega_1 + d\omega_2$  for some  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .*

(b) *Take any  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathbf{H}$ . Then,  $\Lambda_{\tau_1}$  is homothetic to  $\Lambda_{\tau_2}$  if and only if there exists  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\tau_2 = \frac{a\tau_1 + b}{c\tau_1 + d}$ .*

(c) *Let  $\Lambda$  be a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then, there exists an element  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\Lambda$  is homothetic to  $\Lambda_\tau = \mathbb{Z}\tau + \mathbb{Z}$ .*

**Proof:** (a) is proved above.

For the proof of (b) and (c), please see [6, Chapter I, Section 1].

By Lemma 2.1, we can define an action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on the set  $\mathbf{H}$  as follows:

$$SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbf{H} \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}$$

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \tau \right) \mapsto \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$$

By this action we have an equivalence relation on  $\mathbf{H}$ :

We say  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are equivalent if there exists a  $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\tau_1 = \gamma\tau_2$  and by Lemma 2.2(b) equivalence classes of  $\mathbf{H}$  corresponds to the set of homothetic lattices. Therefore, we have a one-to-one correspondence

$$\mathbf{H}/SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{L}/\mathbb{C}^*$$

We will denote the elements  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  by simply 1 and  $-1$  respectively. Obviously, these elements act on  $\mathbf{H}$  trivially. Moreover, these are the only elements in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  which fix  $\mathbf{H}$ .

**Definition 3.3** *The modular group,  $\Gamma(1)$ , is the quotient group  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})/\{-1, +1\}$ .*

Consider two special elements in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ :  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Name them

$S$  and  $T$ , respectively.

Take any  $\tau \in \mathbf{H}$ . Then,  $S(\tau) = \frac{-1}{\tau}$  and  $T(\tau) = \tau + 1$ .

Later we will prove that the modular group  $\Gamma(1)$  is generated by  $S$  and  $T$ .

In this section we will be working with the modular group  $\Gamma(1)$  and the action of it on the upper half plane  $\mathbf{H}$ . First, we will give a description of the modular space  $\mathbf{H}/\Gamma(1)$ .

**Proposition 3.4** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbf{H}$  be the set*

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \tau \in \mathbf{H} : |\tau| \geq 1 \wedge |Re(\tau)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

*Then;*

*(a) For any  $\tau \in \mathbf{H}$  there exists  $\gamma \in \Gamma(1)$  such that  $\gamma.\tau \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

*(b) Suppose that both  $\tau$  and  $\gamma.\tau$  are in  $\mathcal{F}$  for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma(1)$ ,  $\gamma \neq 1$ . Then one of the following holds:*

- $Re(\tau) = -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\gamma.\tau = \tau + 1$ ;
- $Re(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\gamma.\tau = \tau - 1$ ;
- $|\tau| = 1$  and  $\gamma.\tau = \frac{-1}{\tau}$ .

*(c) Take any  $\tau \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $I(\tau) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma(1) : \gamma.\tau = \tau\}$  be the stabilizer of  $\tau$ . Then,*

$$I(\tau) = \begin{cases} \{1, S\} & \text{if } \tau = i \\ \{1, ST, (ST)^2\} & \text{if } \tau = \rho = e^{\frac{2i\pi}{3}} \\ \{1, TS, (TS)^2\} & \text{if } \tau = -\bar{\rho} = e^{\frac{2i\pi}{6}} \\ \{1\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Proof:** [6, Chapter I]

**Definition 3.5** *The extended upper half plane  $\mathbf{H}^*$  is the union of the upper half plane  $\mathbf{H}$  and the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rational points of the projective line.*

$$\mathbf{H}^* = \mathbf{H} \cup \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$$

We have seen that  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts on the upper half plane  $\mathbf{H}$ . We can extend this action to  $\mathbf{H}^*$  as follows:

Take any  $(x : y) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$  in homogeneous coordinates and let  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ .

Then.

$$\gamma.(x : y) = (ax + by : cx + dy)$$

Now, define  $X(1) := \mathbf{H}^*/\Gamma(1)$  and  $Y(1) := \mathbf{H}/\Gamma(1)$ . The points in  $X(1) \setminus Y(1)$  are called the cusps of  $X(1)$ .

**Lemma 3.6** (a)  $X(1) \setminus Y(1) = \{\infty\}$ .

(b) *The stabilizer of  $\infty \in \mathbf{H}^*$  in  $\Gamma(1)$  is*

$$I(\infty) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(1) \right\} = \langle T \rangle \leq \Gamma(1)$$

We will investigate the structure of  $X(1)$ .

**Definition 3.7** *Let  $X$  be a topological space. A complex structure on  $X$  is an open covering  $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $X$  and homeomorphisms*

$$\psi_i : \mathcal{U}_i \longrightarrow \psi_i(\mathcal{U}_i) \subset \mathbb{C}$$

*such that each  $\psi_i(\mathcal{U}_i)$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  and such that  $\forall i, j \in I$  with  $\mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j \neq \emptyset$ , the map*

$$\psi_j \circ \psi_i^{-1} : \psi_i(\mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j) \longrightarrow \psi_j(\mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j)$$

*is holomorphic.*

*The map  $\psi_i$  is called a local parameter for the points in  $\mathcal{U}_i$ .*

**Definition 3.8** *A Riemann surface is a connected Hausdorff space which has a complex structure defined on it.*

**Theorem 3.9** *The following defines a complex structure on  $X(1)$  which gives it the structure of a compact Riemann surface:*

*For  $x \in X(1)$ , choose  $\tau_x \in \mathbf{H}^*$  with  $\phi(\tau_x) = x$  and let  $\mathcal{U}_x \subset \mathbf{H}^*$  be a neighborhood of  $\tau_x$  satisfying*

$$I(\mathcal{U}_x, \mathcal{U}_x) = I(\tau_x).$$

Then,  $I(\tau_x) \setminus \mathcal{U}_x \subset X(1)$  is a neighborhood of  $x$ , so  $\{I(\tau_x) \setminus \mathcal{U}_x\}_{x \in X(1)}$  is an open cover of  $X(1)$ .

$x \neq \infty$  : Let  $r$  be the cardinality of  $I(\tau_x)$  and let  $g_x$  be the holomorphic isomorphism

$$g_x : \mathbf{H} \longrightarrow \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$$

defined by  $g_x(\tau) = \frac{\tau - \tau_x}{\tau - \bar{\tau}_x}$

Then, the map  $\psi_x : I(\tau_x) \setminus \mathcal{U}_x \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\psi_x(\phi(\tau)) = g_x(\tau)^r$  is well defined and gives a local parameter at  $x$ .

$x = \infty$  : We may take  $\tau_x = \infty$ , so  $I(\tau_x) = \{T^k\}$ .

$$\text{Then, } \psi_x : I(\tau_x) \setminus \mathcal{U}_x \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \psi_x(\phi(\tau)) = \begin{cases} e^{2i\pi\tau} & \text{if } \phi(\tau) \neq \infty \\ 0 & \text{if } \phi(\tau) = \infty \end{cases}$$

is well defined and gives a local parameter at  $x$ .

**Proof:** [6, Chapter I, Section 2]

After defining complex structure on  $X(1)$ , we can talk about holomorphic and meromorphic functions.

**Definition 3.10** Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $f(\tau)$  be a function on  $\mathbf{H}$ . We say that  $f$  is weakly modular of weight  $2k$  (for  $\Gamma(1)$ ) if

(i)  $f$  is meromorphic on  $\mathbf{H}$ ,

$$(ii) f(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^{2k} f(\tau) \text{ for all } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (i), we can express  $f$  as a function of  $q = e^{2i\pi\tau}$  and  $f$  will be meromorphic in the punctured disc  $\{q : 0 < |q| < 1\}$ . Then,  $f$  has a Laurent series expression  $\tilde{f}$  in the variable  $q$  as

$$\tilde{f}(q) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_n q^n.$$

**Definition 3.11** With the notation above  $f$  is said to be

meromorphic at  $\infty$  if  $\tilde{f} = \sum_{-n_0}^{\infty} a_n q^n$  for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

holomorphic at  $\infty$  if  $\tilde{f} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n$ .

If  $f$  is meromorphic at  $\infty$ , say  $\tilde{f} = a_{-n_0} q^{-n_0} + \dots$  with  $a_{-n_0} \neq 0$  then

$$\text{ord}_{\infty}(f) = \text{ord}_{q=0}(\tilde{f}) = -n_0.$$

If  $f$  is holomorphic at  $\infty$ , its value at  $\infty$  is defined to be  $f(\infty) = \tilde{f}(0) = a_0$ .

**Definition 3.12** (i) A weakly modular function that is meromorphic at  $\infty$  is called modular function.

(ii) A modular function that is everywhere holomorphic is called a modular form.

**Definition 3.13** The modular  $j$ -invariant  $j(\tau)$  is the function

$$j(\tau) = 1728 \frac{g_2(\tau)^3}{\Delta(\tau)},$$

with  $g_2(\tau) = 60G_4(\tau)$  where  $G_4(\tau)$  is the *Eisenstein series of weight 4*.

Therefore,  $j(\tau)$  is the  $j$ -invariant of the elliptic curve

$$E_{\Lambda_\tau} : y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2(\tau)x - g_3(\tau)$$

and  $E_{\Lambda_\tau}(\mathbb{C})$  has a parametrization using the Weierstraß  $\wp$ -function:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_\tau &\longrightarrow E_{\Lambda_\tau}(\mathbb{C}) \\ z &\mapsto (\wp(z; \Lambda_\tau), \wp'(z; \Lambda_\tau)) \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.14**  $j(\tau)$  is a modular function of weight 0. It induces a (complex analytic) isomorphism  $j : X(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Proof:** [6, Chapter I, Section 4]

**Theorem 3.15 (Uniformization Theorem for Elliptic Curves over  $\mathbb{C}$ )** Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $4A^3 + 27B^2 \neq 0$ . Then, there exists a unique lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$g_2(\Lambda) = 60G_4(\Lambda) = -4A$$

and

$$g_3(\Lambda) = 140G_6(\Lambda) = -4B.$$

The map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}/\Lambda &\longrightarrow E : y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B \\ z &\mapsto (\wp(z; \Lambda), \frac{1}{2}\wp'(z; \Lambda)) \end{aligned}$$

is a complex analytic isomorphism.

**Proof:** By the previous theorem, there exists  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  such that

$$j(\tau) = 1728 \frac{4A^3}{4A^3 + 27B^2}.$$

(i) First assume  $\underline{AB \neq 0}$ . By definition of  $j(\tau)$ , we get

$$\frac{27B^2}{4A^3} = \frac{1728}{j(\tau)} - 1 = \frac{27g_3(\tau)^2}{g_2(\tau)^3}.$$

So,

$$\left( \frac{B}{g_3(\tau)} \right)^2 \cdot \left( \frac{g_2(\tau)}{A} \right)^3 = -4.$$

Let

$$\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{Ag_3(\tau)}{Bg_2(\tau)}}$$

and  $\Lambda = \alpha \cdot \Lambda_\tau = \mathbb{Z}\alpha\tau + \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ .

Then,

$$g_2(\Lambda) = \alpha^{-4}g_2(\Lambda_\tau) = \frac{B^2g_2(\tau)^3}{A^2g_3(\tau)^2} = -4A,$$

$$g_3(\Lambda) = \alpha^{-6}g_3(\Lambda_\tau) = \frac{B^3g_2(\tau)^3}{A^3g_3(\tau)^2} = -4B.$$

(ii)  $\underline{A=0}$ : Then,  $j(\tau) = 0$  and  $g_2(\tau) = 0$ . It is enough to take  $\Lambda = \alpha\Lambda_\tau$  with

$$\alpha = \sqrt[6]{\frac{g_3(\tau)}{-4B}}.$$

(iii)  $\underline{B=0}$ : Then,  $j(\tau) = 1728$  and  $g_3(\tau) = 0$ . Similar with case (ii), it is enough to take  $\Lambda = \alpha\Lambda_\tau$  where

$$\alpha = \sqrt[4]{\frac{g_2(\tau)}{-4A}}.$$

## q-Expansions of Some Modular Functions

As we have seen in the Chapter I, the Eisenstein series  $G_{2k}(\tau)$  is a modular function of weight  $k$ . It satisfies  $G_{2k}(\tau + 1) = G_{2k}(\tau)$ , so it has a Fourier expansion in terms of  $q = e^{2i\pi\tau}$ . Now, we will compute this Fourier series and use it to get Fourier expansions of  $\Delta(\tau)$  and  $j(\tau)$ .

**Proposition 3.16** *Let  $k \geq 2$ . Then*

$$G_{2k}(\tau) = 2\zeta(2k) + 2\frac{(2i\pi)^{2k}}{(2k-1)!} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{2k-1}(n)q^n,$$

where  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s}$  is the Riemann  $\zeta$ -function and  $\sigma_k(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^k$  is the  $k^{\text{th}}$ -power divisor function.

**Proof:** [6, Chapter I, Section 7]

**Proposition 3.17** *The modular  $j$ -function has the Fourier expansion*

$$j(\tau) = \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{n \geq 0} c(n)q^n,$$

where  $c(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $n$ .

**Proof:** [6, Chapter I, Section 7]

**Theorem 3.18 (Jacobi)**

$$\Delta(\tau) = (2\pi)^{12}q \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)^{24}.$$

**Proof:** [6, Chapter I, Section 8]

## CHAPTER 4

# Uniformization of Elliptic Curves over $\mathbb{Q}_p$

In the previous chapter we saw that each elliptic curve  $\mathbb{C}$  comes from a lattice over  $\mathbb{C}$ . In this chapter we will answer the question what happens if we change the base field. We will be considering the  $p$ -adic field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and finite extensions  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . The same question arises: Is there any relation between the set of lattices in  $K$  and the set of elliptic curves defined over  $K$ ?

The first approach would be to use the same argument that we have used for elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{C}$ . However this directly fails since  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  has no nontrivial lattices. Indeed, let  $\Lambda$  be a subgroup in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Take any  $t \in \Lambda$ . Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p^n t = 0.$$

So, each nontrivial element of  $\Lambda$  would cause 0 to be an accumulation point. Hence,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  has no nontrivial discrete subgroup.

Tate's idea to avoid this situation is to exponentiate first and then consider lattices. This approach works since  $\mathbb{Q}_p^*$  has nontrivial lattices.

More generally, we will be working in a finite extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , which we call a  $p$ -adic field.

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $K$  be a  $p$ -adic field with absolute value  $|\cdot|$  and let  $\bar{K}$  be the algebraic closure of  $K$ . Let  $q \in K^*$  with  $|q| < 1$  and for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  let*

$$s_k(q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{n^k q^n}{1 - q^n},$$

$$a_4(q) = -5s_3(q),$$

$$a_6(q) = -\frac{5s_3(q) + 7s_5(q)}{12}.$$

(a) *The series  $s_k(q)$ ,  $a_4(q)$  and  $a_6(q)$  converge in  $K$ .*

*Define the Tate curve  $E_q$  by*

$$E_q : y^2 + xy = x^3 + a_4(q)x + a_6(q).$$



(b) *The Tate curve is an elliptic curve over  $K$  with discriminant*

$$\Delta = q \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)^{24}$$

*and  $j$ -invariant*

$$j(E_q) = \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{n \geq 1} c(n)q^n$$

*with  $c(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

(c) *The series*

$$X(u, q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{q^n u}{(1 - q^n u)^2} - 2s_1(q)$$

$$Y(u, q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(q^n u)^2}{(1 - q^n u)^3} + s_1(q)$$

*converge for all  $u \in \overline{K}, u \notin q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . They define a surjective homomorphism*

$$\phi : \overline{K}^* \longrightarrow E_q(\overline{K})$$

$$u \mapsto \begin{cases} (X(u, q), Y(u, q)) & \text{if } u \notin q^{\mathbb{Z}} \\ 0 & \text{if } u \in q^{\mathbb{Z}} \end{cases}$$

*with  $\ker \phi = q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , where 0 is the base point of the elliptic curve.*

(d)  *$\phi$  is compatible with the action of the Galois group  $G_{\overline{K}/K}$  in the sense that*

$$\phi(u^\sigma) = \phi(u)^\sigma \text{ for all } u \in \overline{K}^*, \sigma \in G_{\overline{K}^*/K}.$$

*In particular, for any algebraic extension  $L/K$ ,  $\phi$  induces an isomorphism:*

$$\phi : L^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow E_q(L).$$

**Proof:**

(a) *The proof of the convergence of the series  $s_k(q)$  follows immediately from the fact:*

*Let  $K$  be a valued field with valuation  $v$ . A series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n$  with  $a_n \in K$  is convergent if and only if  $v(a_n) \rightarrow \infty$  whenever  $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\text{Write } a_4(q) = -5s_3(q) = -5 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{n^3 q^n}{1 - q^n}$$

*Denote the valuation corresponding to the absolute value  $|\cdot|$  by  $v_p$ . Then,*

$$v_p\left(n^3 \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n}\right) = v_p(n^3) + v_p\left(\frac{q^n}{1 - q^n}\right) = 3v_p(n) + nv_p(q) - v_p(1 - q^n).$$

*We know that  $v_p(1 - q^n) \leq \inf\{v_p(1), v_p(-q^n)\}$  where  $v_p(1) = 0$ .*

As  $|q| < 1$ , we have  $v_p(q) > 1$ . Therefore,  $v_p(1)$  and  $v_p(-q^n)$  have different values. Hence,

$$v_p(1 - q^n) = \inf\{v_p(1), v_p(-q^n)\} = 0.$$

Therefore, we get:

$$v_p\left(n^3 \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n}\right) = 3v_p(n) + nv_p(q) - v_p(1 - q^n) = 3v_p(n) + nv_p(q).$$

If we let  $n$  tend to infinity, we see that  $v_p\left(n^3 \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n}\right)$  also tends to infinity, which means the series  $a_4(q)$  is convergent.

To see that the series  $a_6(q)$  is convergent, first we will show that the coefficients of  $a_6(q)$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , when  $a_6(q)$  considered as a power series in  $q$ :

$$a_6(q) = -\frac{5s_3(q) + 7s_5(q)}{12} = -\frac{5 \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_3(q)q^n + 7 \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_5(q)q^n}{12} = -\frac{\sum_{n \geq 1} [5\sigma_3(q) + 7\sigma_5(q)]}{12}$$

**Claim:**  $5\sigma_3(q) + 7\sigma_5(q) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$

*Proof of the Claim:*

$$5\sigma_3(q) + 7\sigma_5(q) = 5 \sum_{d|q} d^3 + 7 \sum_{d|q} d^5 = \sum_{d|q} [5d^3 + 7d^5].$$

Therefore to prove our claim, it is enough to prove that  $5d^3 + 7d^5 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$  where  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . As  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $d$  can be congruent one of  $0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11$  modulo 12. After doing some computation, one can see easily that our claim is true.

(b) Follows by an analogous idea as Jacobi identity. For the complete proof please see [6, Chapter 5, Section 3]

(c) (i) To prove the series  $X(u, q)$  is convergent, we need:

**Claim:** The series  $s_1(q)$  is equal to  $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{(1 - q^n)^2}$ .

*Proof of the Claim:* To see this equality, first note that

$$\frac{t}{(1 - t)^2} = T \cdot \frac{d}{dT} \left( \frac{1}{1 - T} \right) = T \cdot \frac{d}{dT} \sum_{m \geq 0} T^m = \sum_{m \geq 1} mT^m.$$

Now, substitute  $T = q^n$  and sum over  $n \geq 1$ , and we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{(1 - q^n)^2} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{m \geq 1} mq^{nm} = \sum_{m \geq 1} m \sum_{n \geq 1} q^{nm} = \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{mq^m}{1 - q^m},$$

which proves our claim.

Therefore,

$$X(u, q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{q^n u}{(1 - q^n u)^2} - 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{(1 - q^n)^2}.$$

Let us consider the first series in the sum:  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{q^n u}{(1 - q^n u)^2}$  (\*)

For  $n = 0$ , the series (\*) is  $\frac{u}{(1-u)^2}$ . Then, we can rewrite the series as

$$\frac{u}{(1-u)^2} + \sum_{n \leq -1} \frac{q^n u}{(1 - q^n u)^2} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left[ \frac{q^n u}{(1 - q^n u)^2} - 2 \frac{q^n}{(1 - q^n)^2} \right].$$

Denote by  $A$  the second series  $\sum_{n \leq -1} \frac{q^n u}{(1 - q^n u)^2}$  in the new sum. By rewriting the index, we can write  $A$  as  $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{-n} u}{(1 - q^{-n} u)^2}$ . Then,

$$X(u, q) = \frac{u}{(1-u)^2} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left[ \frac{q^n u}{(1 - q^n u)^2} + \frac{q^{-n} u}{(1 - q^{-n} u)^2} - 2 \frac{q^n}{(1 - q^n)^2} \right]$$

Now, multiply the numerator and the denominator of the term of series  $A$  by  $\frac{q^{2n}}{u^2}$ :

$$\frac{q^{-nu}}{(1 - q^{-nu})^2} \cdot \frac{\frac{q^{2n}}{u^2}}{\frac{q^{2n}}{u^2}} = \frac{q^{-n} u \cdot \frac{q^{2n}}{u^2}}{(1 - q^{-n} u)^2 \cdot \frac{q^{2n}}{u^2}} = \frac{q^n u^{-1}}{((1 - q^{-n} u) \cdot \frac{q^n}{u})^2} = \frac{q^n u^{-1}}{(\frac{q^n}{u} - 1)^2} = \frac{q^n u^{-1}}{(1 - q^n u^{-1})^2}.$$

Consider the first term in the sum:  $\frac{u}{(1-u)^2}$ . Dividing the numerator and denominator of this term by  $u$ , we get:  $\frac{1}{u + u^{-1} - 2}$ .

Therefore, the series  $X(u, q)$  becomes:

$$\frac{1}{u + u^{-1} - 2} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left[ \frac{q^n u}{(1 - q^n u)^2} + \frac{q^n u^{-1}}{(1 - q^n u^{-1})^2} - 2 \frac{q^n}{(1 - q^n)^2} \right].$$

To see that this series is convergent we will use the fact that a series  $\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} a_n x^n$  is convergent if and only if  $v_p(a_n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now,

$$v_p \left( \frac{q^n u}{(1 - q^n)^2} + \frac{q^n u^{-1}}{(1 - q^n u^{-1})^2} - 2 \frac{q^n}{(1 - q^n)^2} \right) \geq \min \left\{ v_p \left( \frac{q^n u}{(1 - q^n)^2} \right), v_p \left( \frac{q^n u^{-1}}{(1 - q^n u^{-1})^2} \right), v_p \left( -2 \frac{q^n}{(1 - q^n)^2} \right) \right\}.$$

Let us consider the valuations separately.

$$v_p \left( \frac{q^n u}{(1 - q^n u)^2} \right) = v_p(q^n) + v_p(u) - 2v_p(1 - q^n u).$$

Here since  $v_p(1) \neq v_p(q^n u)$ , we have  $v_p(1 - q^n u) = \min\{v_p(1), v_p(q^n u)\} = v_p(1)$ , which is 0. Hence,

$$v_p \left( \frac{q^n u}{(1 - q^n u)^2} \right) = v_p(q^n) + v_p(u) = nv_p(q) + v_p(u).$$

And,

$$v_p\left(\frac{q^n u^{-1}}{(1 - q^n u^{-1})^2}\right) = nv_p(q) - v_p(u) - 2v_p(1 - q^n u^{-1}).$$

By a similar argument as above, here  $v_p(1 - q^n u^{-1}) = 0$ . Hence,

$$v_p\left(\frac{q^n u^{-1}}{(1 - q^n u^{-1})^2}\right) = nv_p(q) - v_p(u).$$

Similarly,

$$v_p\left(\frac{q^n}{(1 - q^n)^2}\right) = v_p(q^n) - 2v_p(1 - q^n)^2.$$

The latter one is equal to 0 by the same argument. So,

$$v_p\left(\frac{q^n}{(1 - q^n)^2}\right) = v_p(q^n) = nv_p(q).$$

Therefore,

$$v_p\left(\frac{q^n u}{(1 - q^n)^2} + \frac{q^n u^{-1}}{(1 - q^n u^{-1})^2} - 2\frac{q^n}{(1 - q^n)^2}\right) \geq \min\left\{v_p\left(\frac{q^n u}{(1 - q^n u)^2}\right), v_p\left(\frac{q^n u^{-1}}{(1 - q^n u^{-1})^2}\right), v_p\left(-2\frac{q^n}{(1 - q^n)^2}\right)\right\}.$$

By the calculations above, the latter one in the inequality is equal to

$$\min\{nv_p(q) + v_p(u), nv_p(q) - v_p(u), nv_p(q)\},$$

which tends to infinity as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Therefore, the series  $X(u, q)$  is convergent.

Now, let us consider the series  $Y(u, q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(q^n u)^2}{(1 - q^n u)^3}$ .

Similar to the above, we can write it as

$$Y(u, q) = \frac{u^2}{(1 - u)^3} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left[ \frac{(q^n u)^2}{(1 - q^n u)^3} + \frac{q^n}{(1 - q^n)^2} \right] + \sum_{n \leq -1} \frac{(q^n u)^2}{(1 - q^n u)^3}.$$

We can write the latter term in the sum as  $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(q^{-n} u)^2}{(1 - q^{-n} u)^3}$  by changing the index.

As we did for the series  $X(u, q)$ , we multiply the numerator and denominator for this series by  $\frac{q^{3n}}{u^3}$ . Hence we get:

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(q^{-n} u)^2}{(1 - q^{-n} u)^3} = \sum_{n \geq 1} -\frac{q^n u^{-1}}{(1 - q^n u^{-1})^3}.$$

Then, we can write the series  $Y(u, q)$  as follows:

$$\frac{u^2}{(1 - u)^3} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left[ \frac{(q^n u)^2}{(1 - q^n u)^3} - \frac{q^n u^{-1}}{(1 - q^n u^{-1})^3} + \frac{q^n}{(1 - q^n)^2} \right].$$

By a similar calculations as for the series  $X(u, q)$ , we get

$$v_p\left(\frac{(q^n u)^2}{(1 - q^n u)^3} - \frac{q^n u^{-1}}{(1 - q^n u^{-1})^3} + \frac{q^n}{(1 - q^n)^2}\right) \rightarrow \infty$$

as  $n$  tends to infinity.

Hence  $Y(u, q)$  is convergent.

Note that  $u \notin K$ , but  $u \in \overline{K}$ . But,  $K(u)$  is a finite extension of  $K$ , hence complete. All series are in  $K(u)[[q]]$ , and therefore the series converge to an element in  $K(u)$ , which is in  $\overline{K}$ .

Next we prove that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism.

Take any  $u_1, u_2 \in \overline{K}^*$ . Let  $u_3 = u_1 u_2$ . Denote by  $P_i$  the image of  $u_i$  under the map  $\phi$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , i.e.,

$$P_i = \phi(u_i) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Our aim is to show that  $P_3 = P_1 \oplus P_2$ . We prove it case by case.

By the periodicity  $\phi(qu) = \phi(u)$ , it is enough to consider  $u_1, u_2$  in the ranges  $|q| < |u_1| \leq 1$  and  $1 \leq |u_2| \leq |q|^{-1}$ , which gives us  $|q| < |u_3| < |q|^{-1}$

(i) First assume that  $u_1 = 0$ . Then by definition of  $\phi$ ,  $P_1 = \phi(u_1) = 0$ . So,

$$P_3 = (X(u_2, q), Y(u_2, q)) = P_2 + 0 = P_2 + P_1.$$

Hence,  $\phi$  is a homomorphism if  $u_1 = 0$ . Since the situation is symmetric, same argument hold if  $u_2 = 0$ . So, we have proved our claim if  $u_1 = 0$  or  $u_2 = 0$ .

(ii) Now, let us assume  $u_1 u_2 = 1$ . Then,  $u_2 = u_1^{-1}$ . So,

$$P_3 = \phi(u_3) = (X(u_1 u_2, q), Y(u_1 u_2, q)) = \phi(1) = 0.$$

**Claim:**  $P_1 \oplus P_2 = 0$  if and only if  $X(u_1, q) = X(u_2, q)$  and  $Y(u_1, q) + Y(u_2, q) = -X(u_1, q)$

*Proof of the Claim:* Claim follows from the identities

$$X(u^{-1}, q) = X(u, q) \text{ and } Y(u^{-1}, q) = -Y(u, q) - X(u, q)$$

Now, as  $u_1 u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = u_1^{-1}$ . So, by using the identities for the series  $X(u, q)$  and  $Y(u, q)$ , we get directly  $P_1 \oplus P_2 = 0$ .

Therefore, we are in the case that  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  are all different from 0.

Write  $P_i = (x_i, y_i)$  where  $x_i = X(u_i, q)$ ,  $y_i = Y(u_i, q)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

(iii) Assume  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . By the group law on  $E_q$ , we have

$$x_3 = \lambda^2 + a_1 \lambda - a_2 - x_1 - x_2$$

where  $\lambda = \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}$ .

$$\Rightarrow x^3(x_2 - x_1)^2 = (y_2 - y_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)(x_2 - x_1) - (x_1 + x_2)(x_2 - x_1)^2$$

Similarly, we get

$$y_3 = -(\lambda + a_1)x_3 - \nu - a_3$$

where  $\nu = \frac{y_1 x_2 - y_2 x_1}{x_2 - x_1}$ .

$$\Rightarrow y_3(x_2 - x_1) = x_3(y_1 - y_2 + x_2 - x_1) - (y_1 x_2 - y_2 x_1).$$

We know that if we substitute for these any complex numbers  $u_1, u_2, q$  in the ranges that we considered at the beginning of the proof of part (c), these identities still hold. Hence they are identities in the ring  $\mathbb{Q}(u_1, u_2)[[q]]$ , the ring of formal power series in  $q$  with coefficients that are rational functions of  $u_1, u_2$ . So they are true for  $u_1, u_2, q \in \overline{K}$ .

(iv) Suppose that  $x_1 = x_2$ . Note that  $x_1 = x_2$  if and only if  $P_1 = \oplus P_2$

We need:

**Lemma 4.2** *Let  $\phi$  be a map of a multiplicative group into an additive group which takes on an infinite number of distinct values and satisfies*

$$\phi(u_1 u_2) = \phi(u_1) + \phi(u_2) \text{ whenever } \phi(u_1) \neq \pm \phi(u_2)$$

*Then,  $\phi$  is a homomorphism.*

**Proof:** [6, Chapter V, Section 3]

By the lemma, to finish the proof we need to show that  $\phi$  takes on infinitely many distinct values:

The series for  $X(u, q)$  shows that for any  $t \in K$  with  $|t| < 1$ , we have

$$|X(t + 1, q)| = |t|^{-1}.$$

Therefore, by lemma 3.2,  $\phi$  is a homomorphism.

The only thing remaining is to show that  $\phi$  is surjective.

Let  $f$  be a meromorphic elliptic function, i.e,  $f = \frac{g}{h}$  where  $g, h$  are holomorphic functions and  $f$  satisfies  $f(z) = f(qz)$ . Let us consider the zeros of the functions  $g$  and  $h$ . As  $f(z) = f(qz)$  and the zeros of  $g$  gives us the zeros of  $f$ , zeros of  $g$  are invariant under multiplication by  $q$ . The same is true for  $h$ , zeros of  $h$  are invariant under multiplication by  $q$ . Let us consider the functions  $g(t)$  and  $g(qt)$ . Since zeros of  $g$  are invariant under multiplication by  $q$ , zeros of these two functions are the same. By Schnirelmann,  $g$  satisfies  $g(t) = ct^n g(qt)$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  and for some constant  $c$ . By similar as above,  $h(t) = dt^m h(qt)$  where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $d$  constant. Since,  $f = \frac{g}{h}$  and  $f(t) = f(qt)$ , we get that  $c = d$  and  $n = m$ , otherwise  $\frac{g}{h}$  is not invariant under multiplication by  $q$ . Such functions are called *theta functions of type  $ct^n$*  and  $n$  is called *the order of the theta function*. So,  $g$  and  $h$  are theta functions of type  $ct^n$  of order  $n$ . Hence, we see that a meromorphic function can be written as a fraction of two theta functions of the same order. Consider the Laurent series of the function  $g$ . Since  $g(t) = ct^n g(qt)$ , the Newton polygon of  $g$  is invariant under the map  $(x, y) \mapsto (x + n, y - \log|c| - x \log|q|)$ . Hence, we see that  $g$  has  $n$  roots in the annulus  $r|q| < |t| < |r|$ . Similarly, as  $g$  and  $h$  are theta functions of the same type  $h$  also has  $n$  roots in the same annulus.

Now we are ready to prove that  $\phi$  is surjective.

Take any  $(x_0, y_0) \in E_q$ . Consider the map  $\psi : \overline{K}^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow E_q$  which is defined by  $u \mapsto \psi(u) = X(u, q) - x_0$ . By the definition of  $X(u, q)$ , the map  $\psi$  has a pole in  $q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Then, by the discussion above  $\psi$  also has a root, i.e,  $X(u_0, q) - x_0 = 0$  for some  $u_0 \in \overline{K}^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , which implies that there exists  $u_0 \in \overline{K}^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $x_0 = X(u_0, q)$ .

Now, if we consider  $Y(u_0, q)$ , then  $Y(u_0, q) = y_0$  or  $Y(u_0, q) = -y_0$ . If necessary, by taking  $\frac{1}{u_0}$ , we can say that  $Y(u_0, q) = y_0$ .

Therefore,  $\phi$  is surjective.

- (d) The series  $X(u, q)$  and  $Y(u, q)$  are convergent in the complete field  $K(u)$  as explained above. So, it suffices to prove the claim for  $\sigma \in G_{L/K}$ , where  $L$  is a finite Galois extension of  $K$  containing  $K(u)$ .

Take any  $\sigma \in G_{L/K}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{P}$ , the maximal ideal of the valuation ring of  $L$ . Then,  $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}$ . Hence,

$$|\alpha^\sigma| = |\alpha|$$

for all  $\sigma \in G_{L/K}$  and  $\alpha \in L$ .

**Claim:** If  $\sum \alpha_i$  is a convergent series with  $\alpha_i \in L$  and  $\sum \alpha_i = \alpha$ , then

$$\left(\sum \alpha_i\right)^\sigma = \sum (\alpha_i)^\sigma.$$

*Proof of the Claim:* As

$$|\alpha_1^\sigma + \cdots + \alpha_n^\sigma - \alpha^\sigma| = |(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n - \alpha)^\sigma| = |\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n - \alpha|,$$

we are done.

# Bibliography

- [1] F. Bruhat, *Lectures on Some Aspects of  $p$ -Adic Analysis*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, 1963
- [2] A.J. Engler, A. Prestel, *Valued Fields*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2005
- [3] B. Dwork, G. Gerotto, F.J. Sullivan, *An Introduction to  $G$ -Functions*, Annals of Math. Studies 133, Princeton University Press, 1994
- [4] A. Robert, *Elliptic Curves*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 326, Berlin, New York, Springer-Verlag, 1973
- [5] J.H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 106, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986
- [6] J.H. Silverman, *Advanced Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 151, Springer-Verlag, 1994
- [7] J.Tate, *A Review of Non-Archimedean Elliptic Functions*, in Coates, John; Yau, Shing-Tung, *Elliptic Curves, modular forms and Fermat's last theorem*(Hong Kong, 1993), Series in Number Theory I, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, pp 162-184.