

ON THE EVOLUTION OF ANOMALOUS X-RAY PULSARS AND SOFT GAMMA-RAY REPEATERS WITH FALL BACK DISKS

Ü. ERTAN¹, K. Y. EKŞİ², M. H. ERKUT³, AND M. A. ALPAR¹

¹ Sabancı University, Orhanlı, Tuzla, 34956 İstanbul, Turkey

² İstanbul Technical University, Faculty of Science and Letters, Physics Engineering Department, FE2, Maslak, 34469 İstanbul, Turkey

³ İstanbul Kültür University, İstanbul, Turkey

Received 2009 January 15; accepted 2009 July 20; published 2009 August 20

ABSTRACT

We show that the period clustering of anomalous X-ray pulsars (AXPs) and soft gamma-ray repeaters (SGRs), their X-ray luminosities, ages, and statistics can be explained with fall back disks with large initial specific angular momentum. The disk evolution models are developed by comparison to self-similar analytical models. The initial disk mass and angular momentum set the viscous timescale. An efficient torque, with $(1 - \omega_*^2)$ dependence on the fastness parameter ω_* , leads to period clustering in the observed AXP–SGR period range under a wide range of initial conditions. The timescale t_0 for the early evolution of the fall back disk, and the final stages of fall back disk evolution, when the disk becomes passive, are the crucial determinants of the evolution. The disk becomes passive at temperatures around 100 K, which provides a natural cutoff for the X-ray luminosity and defines the end of evolution in the observable AXP and SGR phase. This low value for the minimum temperature for active disk turbulence indicates that the fall back disks are active up to a large radius, $\gtrsim 10^{12}$ cm. We find that transient AXPs and SGRs are likely to be older than their persistent cousins. A fall back disk with mass transfer rates corresponding to the low quiescent X-ray luminosities of the transient sources in early evolutionary phases would have a relatively lower initial mass, such that the mass-flow rate in the disk is not sufficient for the inner disk to penetrate into the light cylinder of the young neutron star, making mass accretion onto the neutron star impossible. The transient AXP phase therefore must start later. The model results imply that the transient AXP/SGRs, although older, are likely to be similar in number to persistent sources. This is because the X-ray luminosities of AXPs and SGRs are found to decrease faster at the end of their evolution, and the X-ray luminosities of transient AXP and SGRs in quiescence lie in the luminosity range of X-ray cutoff phase. Taking the range of quiescent X-ray luminosities of transient AXPs and SGRs $\sim 10^{33}$ – 10^{34} erg s^{−1}, our simulations imply that the duration of the cutoff phase is comparable to the lifetime in the persistent phase for a large range of initial conditions.

Key words: accretion, accretion disks – pulsars: individual (AXPs) – stars: neutron – X-rays: bursts

1. INTRODUCTION

Anomalous X-ray pulsars (AXPs) and soft gamma-ray repeaters (SGRs; see Woods & Thompson 2006; Mereghetti 2008, for a review) are rapidly spinning down young neutron stars with persistent X-ray luminosities $L_x \sim 10^{34}$ – 10^{36} erg s^{−1} in excess of their spin-down power. The spin periods of this small population with ~ 15 members is clustered between $P = 2$ – 12 s; placing them in the top right corner of the P – \dot{P} diagram, populated by only a few radio pulsars.

The recognition of AXPs and SGRs among the new classes of young objects, along with other young neutron star families which do not manifest themselves as radio pulsars, like the dim isolated neutron stars (DINs) and the compact central objects (CCOs), suggests alternative evolutionary paths for young neutron stars. The magnetar model (Duncan & Thompson 1992; Thompson & Duncan 1995) attributes SGR and AXP bursts and other properties to magnetic field stronger than quantum critical field, $B_c = 4.4 \times 10^{13}$ G. The presence of high magnetic field radio pulsars with supercritical inferred fields challenges this being the only criterion. An alternative (Alpar 2001; Chatterjee et al. 2000) or complementary (Ekşi & Alpar 2003; Ertan & Alpar 2003) view suggests the presence of remnant disks around young neutron stars left over from the supernova explosion as a necessary ingredient causing the diversity. The initial mass and angular momentum of the disk present a new set of parameters, in addition to the initial period and dipole magnetic moment of the neutron star, that

affect evolutionary paths and observational classes of neutron stars.

AXPs and SGRs show bursts with luminosities well above the Eddington limit. This cannot be explained by gas accretion onto the neutron star. The magnetar model explains these bursts as instabilities in the crust due to strong magnetic stresses and reconfiguration of the magnetosphere, while it accounts for the observed spin-down torques of AXP and SGRs by magnetic dipole radiation. In the magnetar model, it is hard to explain the period clustering of AXPs and SGRs within the framework that the persistent X-ray luminosity is a consequence of the magnetic field decay (Psaltis & Miller 2002).

The detection of a debris disk around the AXP 4U 0142+61 (Wang et al. 2006) could be the clue for what makes AXPs and SGRs different from radio pulsars in their persistent phases (Ertan & Çalışkan 2006; Ertan et al. 2007). The presence of fall back disks can address the period clustering of AXPs and SGRs as a result of the long-term interaction of the disk with the magnetic dipole field of the neutron star, converging to “equilibrium” periods. While the period clustering generated by the earlier models is in agreement with observations, there were difficulties in explaining the spin periods together with X-ray luminosities (Ekşi & Alpar 2003).

In this paper, we have identified three crucial aspects of fall back disk evolution: (1) the magnetic torque on a “mildly” fast neutron star in the regime of accretion with spin down, has the dependence $N \propto (1 - \omega_*^2)$ on the fastness parameter ω_* (see also Ertan & Erkut 2008); (2) the disk timescale t_0 that

determines the initial evolution of the system is not arbitrary, but instead set by the initial mass and angular momentum of the disk; (3) the outer regions of the disk become passive at temperatures $T < T_p \sim 100$ K. This results in the mass-inflow rate \dot{M} of the disk being turned off rather sharply at ages \gtrsim a few 10^4 – 10^5 yr. In Section 2, we present the disk equations and self-similar models for fall back disk evolution. The timescale t_0 , which is particularly important for early disk evolution is related to the initial disk mass and angular momentum. We also briefly discuss the assumptions and results of earlier analytical work on the long-term evolution of fall back disks. Comparison of analytical and numerical models is used to set the stage for the numerical calculations detailed in Section 3. This section also presents the torque model employed in our calculations and the justifications for this choice of torque on the basis of work on transient AXPs. The late evolution of the fall back disk is also addressed in Section 3. Fall back disk models used in evolutionary calculations so far (Menou et al. 2001; Ekşi & Alpar 2003) employ a gradual decrease of the mass-flow rate, \dot{M} , at late ages, leading to a population of old observable sources with periods extending to ~ 100 s contrary to the cutoff period $P \cong 15$ s inferred from an analysis of period statistics (Psaltis & Miller 2002). Physically, as a disk evolves to decreasing \dot{M} , it will cool starting from the outer disk. For a while, irradiation from the neutron star may keep the disk sufficiently hot. As \dot{M} decreases, even irradiation will not sustain high temperatures. One expects that eventually the ionization fraction in disk matter will be so low that the magneto-rotational instability (MRI) (Balbus & Hawley 1991), which is believed to provide the turbulent disk viscosity should fail to operate. The disk in late phases should make a transition to an effectively neutral, passive state starting from the outer disk and leading to a cutoff in \dot{M} and luminosity. We discuss the results of model calculations in Section 4 and summarize our conclusions in Section 5.

2. EVOLUTION OF VISCOUS THIN DISKS IN TERMS OF INITIAL MASS AND ANGULAR MOMENTUM

The evolution of the surface mass density Σ in a viscous thin disk (Pringle 1981) is described by the diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial t} = \frac{3}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[r^{1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (v \Sigma r^{1/2}) \right], \quad (1)$$

(Lüst 1952), where v is the turbulent viscosity. For opacities of the form $\kappa = \kappa_0 \rho^a T^b$, one can solve the disk structure equations to obtain viscosity in the form (see Cannizzo et al. 1990)

$$v = Cr^p \Sigma^q, \quad (2)$$

where C , p , and q are constants given by

$$C = \alpha^{\frac{2}{6-2b+a}+1} \left(\frac{27\kappa_0}{32\sigma_{SB}} \right)^{\frac{2}{6-2b+a}} \left(\frac{k_B}{\bar{\mu}m_p} \right)^{\frac{2-a}{6-2b+a}+1} (GM_*)^{\frac{a+1}{6-2b+a}-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3)$$

$$p = -3 \left(\frac{a+1}{6-2b+a} - \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad (4)$$

$$q = \frac{2(a+2)}{6-2b+a}. \quad (5)$$

Here, M_* is the mass of the neutron star, α is the viscosity parameter (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973), $\bar{\mu}$ is the mean molecular weight, k_B is Boltzmann constant, m_p is the mass of the proton, and σ_{SB} is the Stephan–Boltzmann constant.

In general, $q \neq 0$ and v depends on the surface mass density and the diffusion Equation (1) is nonlinear. Three self-similar solutions were given by Pringle (1974) corresponding to different boundary conditions. The solution of interest here is the one corresponding to a disk of constant total angular momentum and decreasing mass. This analytical model is a useful guide for the evolutionary calculation for the neutron star's spin, since the total angular momentum transfer from the neutron star to the disk is negligible compared to the angular momentum of the disk. The original solutions as given by Pringle (1974) diverge at $t = 0$. As the diffusion equation is symmetric with respect to translations in time, we can shift the origin of time by $t \rightarrow t + t_0$ for all occurrences of t in the solutions. With this modification the solution becomes

$$\frac{\Sigma}{\Sigma_0} = k \left(1 + \frac{t}{t_0} \right)^{-\frac{5}{5q-2p+4}} \left(\frac{r}{R_{out}(t)} \right)^{-\frac{p}{q+1}} \times \left[1 - \left(\frac{r}{R_{out}(t)} \right)^{2-\frac{p}{q+1}} \right]^{1/q}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$R_{out}(t) = r_0 \left(1 + \frac{t}{t_0} \right)^{\frac{2}{5q-2p+4}} \quad (7)$$

is the outer boundary of the disk and

$$k = \left(\frac{q}{(4q-2p+4)(5q-2p+4)} \right)^{1/q}. \quad (8)$$

In these equations, Σ_0 , t_0 and v_0 are constants introduced in the nondimensionalization procedure and will be defined below.

Using the solution in Equation (6), the total angular momentum of the disk is

$$J_d = \int r^2 \Omega_K \Sigma \cdot 2\pi r dr = \gamma_1 4\pi r_0^2 \Sigma_0 \sqrt{GM r_0}, \quad (9)$$

a constant. Here,

$$\gamma_1 = k \frac{q+1}{4q-2p+4} \beta \left(\frac{q+1}{q}, \frac{5q-2p+5}{4q-2p+4} \right) \quad (10)$$

is a constant where $\beta(k, l) = \Gamma(k)\Gamma(l)/\Gamma(k+l)$ is the beta function. The total mass of the disk

$$M_d = \int \Sigma \cdot 2\pi r dr = M_0 \left(1 + \frac{t}{t_0} \right)^{-\frac{1}{5q-2p+4}} \quad (11)$$

is a decreasing function where

$$M_0 = 4\pi r_0^2 \Sigma_0 \gamma_2 \quad (12)$$

and $\gamma_2 = kq/(4q+4-2p)$. Accordingly, the mass flow rate at the inner disk is

$$\dot{M}_d = \frac{(a-1)M_0}{t_0} \left(1 + \frac{t}{t_0} \right)^{-a}, \quad (13)$$




Figure 1. Comparison of numerical solution of the diffusion equation with the analytical solution given in Equation (6). The initial surface mass density distribution is a gaussian $\Sigma(r, 0) = \Sigma_{\max} \exp(-(r - r_{\max})^2/\sigma^2)$, where $r_{\max} = 2 \times 10^{10}$ cm, $\sigma = 4 \times 10^9$ cm and $\Sigma_{\max} = 2.23 \times 10^7$ g cm $^{-2}$ is chosen such that the initial mass of the disk is $M_0 = 10^{-5} M_{\odot}$. The corresponding initial angular momentum of the disk is $J_0 = 1.03 \times 10^{47}$ g cm 2 s $^{-1}$. The initial mass and angular momentum of the disk yield the following nondimensionalization scales: $\Sigma_0 = 7.35 \times 10^8$ cm $^{-2}$; $r_0 = 5.86 \times 10^{10}$ cm; and $t_0 = 5.74 \times 10^2$ yr. Left Panel: evolution of the surface mass density Σ . Right Panel: evolution of the mass flow rate \dot{M}_d at the inner radius.

Table 1
Values of Some Constants in Two Different Opacity Regimes

Opacity	C	p	q	α	γ_1	γ_2
$\kappa_{es} \gg \kappa_{bf}$	$\left(\frac{27\kappa_0}{GM\sigma}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{\alpha_{ss}k_B}{\mu m_p}\right)^{4/3}$	1	2/3	19/16	3.6851×10^{-4}	6.2626×10^{-4}
$\kappa_{bf} \gg \kappa_{es}$	$\alpha_{ss}^{8/7} \left(\frac{27\kappa_0}{\sigma}\right)^{1/7} \left(\frac{k_B}{\mu m_p}\right)^{15/14} (GM)^{-5/14}$	15/14	3/7	5/4	1.7030×10^{-5}	3.3558×10^{-5}

Notes. The values of the constants in two different opacity regimes. Here, κ_{es} is the electron scattering opacity and κ_{bf} is the bound-free opacity. α_{ss} is the Shakura Sunyaev viscosity parameter, μ is the mean molecular weight, M is the mass of the neutron star which we assume to be $1.4 M_{\odot}$.

where $a = 1 + 1/(5q - 2p + 4)$. For a disk of given initial mass M_0 and angular momentum J_0 the other scale factors can be found through the following sequence:

$$r_0 = \frac{(J_0/M_0)^2}{GM} \left(\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}\right)^2, \quad (14)$$

$$\Sigma_0 = \frac{M_0}{4\pi r_0^2 \gamma_2}, \quad (15)$$

$$v_0 = C r_0^p \Sigma_0^q, \quad (16)$$

$$t_0 = \frac{4r_0^2}{3v_0}. \quad (17)$$

Cannizzo et al. (1990) showed that the self-similar solutions of Pringle (1974) corresponds to the intermediate asymptotic (Barenblatt 1996) behavior of a disk with the no-viscous-torque boundary condition at the inner radius ensured by $\Sigma(R_{in}, t) = 0$. In Figure 1, we compare the analytical solution given in Equation (6) with the numerical solution of the diffusion equation with a Gaussian initial distribution discretized on 2000 grids over a numerical domain extending from 10^8 cm to 10^{13} cm. The values of constants are given in the Table 1. For comparison, we have taken the initial mass and angular

momentum of the disk to be the same in both solutions. We see that the numerical solution settles onto the analytical solution after the initial transient stage. The mismatch near the inner boundary, in the left panel, is a characteristic issue with the self-similar solutions which usually cannot accommodate boundary conditions. Nevertheless, this is not important for calculating the mass flow rate at the inner radius. The panel on the right shows the mass flow rate at the inner disk which agrees well with the numerical solution after the brief transient stage.

The early papers studying fall back disks for AXPs (Chatterjee et al. 2000; Ekşi & Alpar 2003; Perna et al. 2000) employed the dynamical timescale (~ 1 ms on the surface of the neutron star) for t_0 in Equation (13). Numerical calculations of Pringle (1991) show that power-law evolution starts in a viscous timescale. Comparing analytical and numerical solutions, we find that the characteristic viscous timescale t_0 of the disk is determined by the initial mass *and* the total angular momentum of the disk. Equations (14)–(17) can be combined to give

$$t_0 = \frac{4(4\pi\gamma_2)^q (\gamma_2/\gamma_1)^{4q-2p+4} J_0^{4q-2p+4}}{3C(GM)^{2q-p+2} M_0^{5q-2p+4}}. \quad (18)$$

For an electron scattering dominated disk,

$$t_0 \simeq 10 \text{ yr} \left(\frac{j_0}{10^{19} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}}\right)^{7/3} \left(\frac{M_0}{10^{-4} M_{\odot}}\right)^{-2/3}, \quad (19)$$




Figure 2. X-ray luminosity of accretion from a fall back disk for a range of initial disk masses and initial specific angular momentum at $t = 10^3$ yr (left panel) and at $t = 10^4$ yr (right panel).

showing that the viscous timescale in the disk is very sensitive to the average value of specific angular momentum j_0 in the disk. Indeed, t_0 increases to ~ 2000 yr if $j_0 \sim 10^{20} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, a realistic range according to our calculations in Section 3.

For a given initial mass of the disk, setting t_0 corresponds to setting the initial angular momentum of the disk as the fourth parameter in addition to the initial mass of the disk together with the magnetic dipole moment and the initial period of the neutron star.

The analytical solution presented in this section does not address transient behavior due to thermal-viscous instabilities and/or the variation of the active disk radius. In Section 3, we will explain how these instabilities are introduced into the framework.

We use the analytical model as a guide to the time consuming numerical calculations, for the choice of initial conditions and to exhibit the resulting neutron star luminosities as shown in Figure 2. This Figure shows the iso-luminosity lines of fall back disks at the age of 10^3 yr and 10^4 yr, respectively, depending on the initial mass and initial specific angular momentum of the disk. It is seen that there is a wide band of this parameter space that can lead to a disk evolution with $L = 10^{-3}$ – $10^{-2} L_E$, the observed AXP luminosity range, at the age of 10^3 – 10^4 yr.

In the following section, for our numerical simulations, we will choose an initial disk density distribution corresponding to a specific angular momentum of $\sim 9.7 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ which leads to AXP luminosities with an initial disk mass of 10^{-4} – $10^{-5} M_\odot$, as can be seen from Figure 2. These values lead to viscous timescales of $t_0 \sim 2000$ yr and $t_0 \sim 9000$ yr, respectively. These values for t_0 are orders of magnitude greater than the dynamical timescale employed in earlier models (Ekşi & Alpar 2003; Menou et al. 2001), and lead to a slower decline of the disk mass and \dot{M} . The inner radius of the disk, determined by \dot{M} , will also be evolving on this long timescale in which the period of the neutron star evolves. This leads to an evolutionary picture very different from the earlier studies. In earlier work, with ad hoc choices of short t_0 , the inner radius of the disk was seen to move outward very rapidly as the disk evolved. The inner-disk radius could be kept inside the light cylinder only if the neutron star was subject to large torques, i.e., if it had large magnetic fields ($(0.5-1) \times 10^{13}$ G) so that the star spun down sufficiently fast and the light cylinder expanded rapidly enough. The torque equilibrium for such high magnetic fields requires high-mass

flow rates. This led to high luminosities in model calculations. To avoid this problem, a rather efficient propeller was required allowing only $\sim 10^{-2}$ of the mass inflow to accrete onto the neutron star. In the present model, the realistic slow evolution of the disk allows neutron stars with smaller magnetic fields to become AXP/SGRs.

3. MODEL CALCULATIONS

We solve the disk diffusion Equation (1) for the surface density Σ of the disk (see, e.g., Frank et al. 2002) to examine the evolution of the X-ray luminosity and the spin period of the neutron star together. We take the initial surface density distribution as $\Sigma \propto r^{-\lambda}$ with $\lambda = 3/4$, which is the expected surface-density profile of a thin, steady state accretion disk. Independently from the actual initial mass distribution of a fall back disk, the disk's subsequent evolution quickly leads to an extended thin disk.

Opacities depend on the details of the disk composition that are not well known for fall back disks. As in our earlier work on enhancement light curves of AXPs and SGRs, we use electron-scattering opacity in our calculations. Using bound-free opacities does not significantly affect our results. In any case, the uncertainty in opacity is folded in with the uncertainty inherent in the α parameter of the viscosity. We solve the disk diffusion equation by employing the α prescription of the kinematic viscosity $\nu = \alpha c_s h$ (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973), where c_s is the local sound speed and h is the pressure scale height of the disk.

What should be the value of the α parameter to be used in our numerical calculations? To answer this question, we pursue the results of our earlier work on the enhancement phases of persistent and transient AXP/SGRs. X-ray and infrared enhancement light curves of both persistent and transient AXP/SGRs can be explained by the evolution of the disk after the inner-disk matter has been swept outward by a soft gamma-ray flare (Ertan & Alpar 2003; Ertan, Göğüş & Alpar 2006; Ertan & Erkut 2008). There is an important difference between the X-ray outburst light curves of persistent and transient AXPs and SGRs. For the persistent AXP/SGRs, model fits are obtained by using a single viscosity parameter ($\alpha \simeq 0.1$) that is similar to those employed in modeling the hot states of soft X-ray transients (SXTs) and dwarf novae (DNe) in their outburst phases.

This probably indicates that for persistent AXP/SGRs, the inner part of the fall back disk within a sufficiently large radius remains in the same viscosity state during the enhancement. Fitting the X-ray and IR enhancement light curves from persistent AXP/SGRs does not give information about whether the disk is in a colder (lower) viscosity state at the outer regions of a fall back disk. Help comes from the transient AXP/SGRs, whose low luminosity quiescent phases and transient bright phases indicate transitions between different viscosity states of the active disk. The light curves of the transient sources can be reproduced if the disk undergoes a thermal-viscous disk instability at a critical temperature $T_{\text{crit}} \sim 1000\text{--}2000$ K (Ertan & Erkut 2008; S. Çalıřkan & Ü. Ertan 2009, in preparation). As temperatures drop below T_{crit} , the viscosity parameter changes from $\alpha_{\text{hot}} \simeq 0.1$ to $\alpha_{\text{cold}} \simeq 0.025\text{--}0.035$ in exactly the same way applied in the disk instability models of SXTs and DNe for which $T_{\text{crit}} \sim 10^4$ K corresponds to the ionization temperature of hydrogen (see, e.g., Lasota 2001 for a review of the disk instability model). This difference between transient and persistent AXPs and SGRs could be attributed to their different accretion rates prior to the enhancement. In the long term, it is the cold state viscosity that drives the mass-flow rate from the outer to the inner disk in quiescence. The viscosity parameter α_{hot} of the hot inner disk does not significantly change the long-term evolution of the disk. In all model calculations, we take $\alpha_{\text{cold}} = 0.03$, $\alpha_{\text{hot}} = 0.1$, and $T_{\text{crit}} = 1000$ K. We note that in both hot and cold states the disk is active, providing mass inflow with turbulent viscosities. The disk instabilities at $T_{\text{crit}} \sim 1000$ K do not affect the long-term evolution. Using only a single $\alpha = \alpha_{\text{cold}}$, without introducing a T_{crit} in the model, the same results obtained for the long-term evolution of the disk are almost the same as in the case of a two-state disk.

Our main concern here is on how the long-term evolutions of AXPs and SGRs are affected if the disk becomes passive below some temperature T_p , which is much lower than and should not be confused with T_{crit} . Termination of the disk's active lifetime by transition to a final passive phase at very low temperatures T_p seems to characterize the \dot{M} and P evolution of the AXPs and SGRs in the long term.

The outer disk of a neutron star in X-ray binaries are cut by tidal forces. There are no such forces that can constrain the extension of a fall back disk around AXP/SGRs. Where is the outer radius r_{out} of a fall back disk? By this r_{out} we mean the radius beyond which active viscous mass transport ceases. A strong possibility is that the outermost disk could be passive at very low temperatures. To put the question in other words, what is the minimum temperature below which disk regions enter a passive (nonturbulent) low viscosity regime? The work by Inutsuka & Sano (2005) shows that the viscosities are expected to be turbulent by the MRI (Balbus & Hawley 1991), even at temperatures ~ 300 K. In agreement with this result, in the present work, we show that a rather low minimum active disk temperature $T_p \sim 100$ K, below which the viscosities are not turbulent, can explain the period and X-ray luminosity evolution of AXPs and SGRs consistently with the observed period, period-derivative, and X-ray luminosity distributions of these sources.

The minimum active disk temperature, T_p , should not be confused with the critical temperature, T_{crit} , leading to a disk instability that was proposed by Ertan & Erkut (2008) as the mechanism responsible for the X-ray enhancement of the transient AXP XTE J1810–197. Unlike T_p , T_{crit} has no significant effect on the long-term evolution of a fall back disk. It

determines the transition between cold and hot state viscosities that governs the mass-flow rate, \dot{M} , in the active parts of the disk.

The position of r_{out} is not important in simulating the short-term events like enhancements lasting from a few months to a few years as long as r_{out} is taken sufficiently large in order to avoid numerical effects due to artificial cutoff of the disk. Numerically, the outer disk must be cut somewhere. A constant r_{out} is not realistic for a fall back disk and causes numerical effects on the model \dot{M} evolution in the long term. The natural transition to a passive disk, occurring at $T = T_p$, determines r_{out} as the radius beyond which $T < T_p$. Thus, r_{out} is a dynamical quantity, moving from the outer disk inward as the disk ages and cools.

From the model fits to the X-ray enhancement data of the transient AXPs and SGRs, we find that fall back disks are likely to be active even at temperatures about 1000 K. At much lower temperatures, it is plausible to expect that a fall back disk gradually becomes passive starting from the outer radius which has the lowest temperature along the disk. At radii larger than some transition radius r_p , at temperatures lower than a critical temperature T_p , the outermost disk becomes passive. As the mass accretion rate decreases with time, leading to decreasing X-ray irradiation strength, the passive outermost disk grows (r_p moves inward). The transition temperature T_p below which a fall back disk becomes locally passive is of crucial interest for the late evolution of the disk.

We keep the inner-disk radius r_{in} constant. In the numerical model, separation of the radial grid points decreases with decreasing radial distance r (see Ertan & Alpar 2003). Narrower radial grid separation requires smaller viscous time step. Therefore, time steps for the calculations along the radial grids become smaller with decreasing inner-disk radius. Due to these numerical reasons, we take $r_{\text{in}} = 10^{10}$ cm which is larger than the expected inner-disk radius of a fall back disk around an AXP with a conventional dipole magnetic field of strength $B_* \sim 10^{12}$ G on the surface of the neutron star. This simplification does not affect the mass-flow rate at the inner disk, \dot{M}_{in} . The realistic inner-disk radius of the disk is expected to be close to the Alfvén radius, $r_A = \dot{M}_{\text{in}}^{-2/7} (GM_*)^{-1/7} \mu_*^{4/7}$, where μ_* is the dipole magnetic moment and M_* is the mass of the neutron star. We calculate the disk torque acting on the neutron star through the interaction of the inner disk with the magnetic dipole field of the neutron star using $\mu_* = 10^{30}$ G together with the values of \dot{M}_{in} and r_A calculated at each time step (see Ertan & Erkut 2008 for details). Thus, the fastness parameter ω_* and the torque are calculated dynamically, using the current r_A value at each step. The fixed choice of r_{in} , for numerical reasons, therefore does not influence the torque evolution either.

The torque model is based on the angular momentum exchange between the stellar magnetosphere and the disk matter that penetrates through the effective magnetic coupling radius r_A in the fast rotator regime with $\omega_* > 1$, where $\omega_* = (r_A/r_{\text{co}})^{3/2}$ is the fastness parameter. We assume that the effective magnetic coupling radius is given by the Alfvén radius r_A . Here, the corotation radius, $r_{\text{co}} = (GM_*/\Omega_*^2)^{1/3}$, represents the critical radius where the disk matter rotates with the same speed as the neutron star. This is true if the rotation of the disk is purely Keplerian. In reality, the actual rotation rate of the disk matter slightly exceeds the local Keplerian value at each radius r between the effective corotation radius and the Alfvén radius. This is the result of the transfer of angular momentum from the neutron star to the inner portion of the disk threaded by the stellar magnetic field lines over the range $r_{\text{co}} \lesssim r \leq r_A$. In this regime of spin




Figure 3. Left panel: \dot{M} evolution with initial mass $M_0 = 1 \times 10^{-5} M_\odot$ of the extended disk and with the transition temperature between active and passive viscosity states $T_p = 100$ K. The models with the shown initial periods (in ms) start on the accretion phase of the same evolutionary track at the times indicated with dashed vertical lines. For this M_0 , sources with P_0 less than about ~ 70 ms are likely to evolve as radio pulsars (see the text for details). The two small spikes result from local viscous instabilities in the disk and are not numeric artifacts. Right panel: period evolution with the same parameters given in the left panel. Model curves with P_0 values of 80, 100, and 1000 ms (noted on the left panel) are seen to enter the AXP/SGR phase. The sources with initial periods 40, 50, and 60 ms do not reach the spin down with accretion regime.

down with accretion, the magnetic stresses gradually force the inner-disk matter to corotate with the neutron star within a transition region in the disk where the rotation rate Ω changes from nearly Keplerian values in the outer parts to corotation with the magnetosphere at the inner boundary of the disk.

For a magnetically coupled disk–neutron star system, the integration of magnetic stresses over the magnetically threaded region of the disk yields the effective magnetospheric torque on the neutron star (see Erkut & Alpar 2004). In the mildly fast rotator regime ($\omega_* \gtrsim 1$), assuming most of the incoming disk matter, instead of being propelled out of the system, accretes onto the neutron star, the effective magnetospheric torque can be estimated as

$$N_* \simeq - \int_{r_{\text{co}}}^{r_A} r^2 B_\phi^+ B_z dr = \frac{\gamma_\phi}{3} \dot{M} \sqrt{GM r_A} (1 - \omega_*^2), \quad (20)$$

where $B_\phi^+ = \gamma_\phi B_z$ is the azimuthal component of the magnetic field on the surface of the disk, $\gamma_\phi > 0$ is the azimuthal pitch of order unity for $r \gtrsim r_{\text{co}}$, and $B_z \simeq -\mu_*/r^3$ is the vertical component of the stellar dipole field threading the inner disk over the range $r_{\text{co}} \lesssim r \leq r_A$ (Ertan & Erkut 2008).

The disk torque in Equation (20) has been recently used by Ertan & Erkut (2008) to explain the spin-frequency evolution of the transient AXP XTE J1810–197 during the long-term post-burst fading of its X-ray flux. The disk model has also accounted for the X-ray light curve of the AXP XTE J1810–197 during the same period (see Ertan & Erkut 2008). The model fits to both the X-ray light curve and spin-frequency data are consistent with the regime in which the neutron star spins down while accreting most of the matter flowing in through the disk. When the inner-disk radius is outside the light cylinder, we assume in the torque calculations that the inner disk extends down to the light cylinder and that all the mass is propelled out of the system. In the model calculations, this happens during the early evolutionary phases, and these assumptions do not change the subsequent evolution curves of the model sources.

As in the case of the AXP XTE J1810–197, X-ray luminosity changes in the transient AXPs and SGRs cover a broad range from $\sim 10^{35}$ erg s $^{-1}$ down to $\sim 10^{33}$ erg s $^{-1}$. Such a wide range of X-ray luminosities, in a given transient source, encompasses both the relatively high accretion regime ($\sim 10^{14}$ – 10^{16} g s $^{-1}$) of the persistent AXPs and SGRs and the low accretion regime ($\lesssim 10^{13}$ g s $^{-1}$) in the quiescent phase of their transient

cousins. As shown by Ertan & Erkut (2008), the disk torque in Equation (20) describes well the spin evolution of the neutron star during the long-term X-ray enhancement of the transient AXP XTE J1810–197 while the X-ray flux changes by up to 2 orders of magnitude. The dependence of the torque on the fastness parameter, that is, $N_* \propto 1 - \omega_*^2$, accounts for the spin-frequency evolution of the relatively fast rotating ($\omega_* > 1$) neutron stars for which the long-term decay of the X-ray luminosities can be explained by a decrease in the mass-inflow rate in the inner disk (see, e.g., Ertan & Erkut 2008). The same torque, on the other hand, behaves as $N_* \propto 1 - \omega_*$ for the neutron stars that are close to rotational equilibrium with the disk, i.e., for $\omega_* \simeq 1$ (see, e.g., Ertan et al. 2007). Whether they are close to rotational equilibrium or not, the torque in Equation (20) is applicable to both the persistent and transient sources and thus will be employed in our calculations for the evolution of the spin-period and X-ray luminosities of the AXPs and SGRs.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In our calculations, we see that for a given initial disk mass, M_0 , and a minimum active disk temperature T_p , there is a minimum initial period $P_{0,\text{min}}$ such that sources with initial period $P_0 < P_{0,\text{min}}$ cannot evolve into the AXP phase. For the sources with $P_0 > P_{0,\text{min}}$, time for the onset of accretion depends on P_0 . The neutron stars having longer P_0 start accreting matter earlier. For a large range of M_0 , all the model sources that can enter the regime of accretion with spin-down converge into the AXP/SGR phase. When the initial mass of the disk is too large, above a certain M_0 , X-ray luminosities remain higher than 10^{36} erg s $^{-1}$ (or equivalently $\dot{M} > 10^{16}$ g s $^{-1}$) for sufficiently long time intervals, which would make sources with $L_x > 10^{36}$ erg s $^{-1}$. Since, such luminous sources are not observed, there is probably an upper limit to M_0 values.

In the first set of simulations (Figures 3–6), we set $T_p = 100$ K. For different values of initial total disk mass M_0 , we obtain \dot{M} and period evolution curves. In the figures, we have indicated the lines for $\dot{M} = 10^{14}$ g s $^{-1}$ and $\dot{M} = 10^{16}$ g s $^{-1}$ to delineate the \dot{M} interval corresponding to the observed AXP/SGRs. In Figure 3, it is seen that for $M_0 = 1 \times 10^{-5} M_\odot$ only the sources with $P_0 > 70$ s can evolve to the AXP phase, and those with lower P_0 are likely to become, and remain as radio pulsars throughout their lifetimes. Model sources with initial




Figure 4. Left panel: same model as given in Figure 3 with $M_0 = 4 \times 10^{-5} M_\odot$, and initial periods 30, 40, and 50 ms. Right panel: period evolution for the same model with \dot{M} curves seen in the left panel. With this initial disk mass, the model sources having initial periods 10 and 15 ms cannot become AXP/SGR.




Figure 5. Left panel: the same model as given in Figure 3, with $M_0 = 1 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$. Initial periods are 15, 20, 30, and 40 ms. Right panel: period evolution corresponding to the \dot{M} curves given in the left panel. The source having initial period of 10 ms cannot become an AXP for this initial mass, while all the other sources, comparing with left panel, are seen to evolve into AXP phase.




Figure 6. Left panel: the same model as given in Figure 3 except $M_0 = 3.5 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$. Initial periods are 10, 20 and 30 ms. This initial mass seems to be unlikely for fall back disks unless a large fraction of the inflowing disk matter is propelled out of the system for an initial evolutionary phase of a few $\times 10^4$ yr. Otherwise, we would expect to see sources with luminosities above the observed AXP luminosities and with periods around or less than the AXP periods (see the right panel). Right panel: period evolution corresponding to the \dot{M} curves given in the left panel.

periods 80, 100, and 1000 ms start accretion at different times, while all of them evolve into the AXP phase. The minimum initial period required for the source to eventually enter the AXP phase, $P_{0,\min}$, is correlated with the initial mass of the fall back disk; $P_{0,\min}$ decreases with increasing M_0 . For M_0 values of 4×10^{-5} , 1.0×10^{-4} , and $3.5 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$ we obtain minimum initial periods around 30, 15, and less than 10 ms, respectively (Figures 4–6). For a large range of M_0 values, Figures 3–6 clearly show that the sources with $P_0 > P_{0,\min}$ converge to the AXP/SGR phase and more significantly, their period evolution converges to, and remains in the period range of AXPs/SGRs as

their luminosities decrease below minimum AXP luminosities. Given the observed range of X-ray luminosities, the model curves seen in Figure 6 are likely to represent the evolution with an extremely high M_0 that is probably either unrealistic or not common. It is remarkable that, even in this case, \dot{M} and P curves tend to meet in the AXP/SGR phase.

How do these results change if we set $T_p = 0$, that is, if the disk remains active for all temperatures? This is actually an implicit assumption in all earlier analytical work using self-similar evolution of fall back disks (Menou et al. 2001; Ekşi & Alpar 2003). For this test, we set $M_0 = 1 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$ (same as for




Figure 7. Left panel: the only difference from the model given in Figure 5 is $T_p = 0$, that is, the entire disk is taken to be active throughout the calculations. The model \dot{M} curves shown in the figure are obtained for different initial spin periods: 10, 15, 20, 30, and 40 ms. Right panel: period evolution for the same model model given in the left panel.




Figure 8. Left panel: \dot{M} evolution for $T_p = 0$ with different M_0 values: $1 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$ (1), $5.8 \times 10^{-5} M_\odot$ (2), $2.5 \times 10^{-5} M_\odot$ (3), $3.5 \times 10^{-6} M_\odot$ (4), $1.6 \times 10^{-6} M_\odot$ (5), and $7.5 \times 10^{-7} M_\odot$ (6). For a comparison of the model curves we take $P_0 = 300$ ms for all the models (see the text). Right panel: period evolutions accompanying the \dot{M} evolutions given in the left panel. Period clustering is also expected for $T_p = 0$. There is an important difference between the \dot{M} evolution curves of the models with $T_p = 0$ and $T_p = 100$ K (see Figure 9). For $T_p = 0$, we would expect to see many AXPs and SGRs with ages greater than 10^5 yr and with periods even larger than observed AXP and SGR periods (see the text for discussion).

the models given in Figure 5) and $T_p = 0$ without changing the other parameters. We repeat the calculations for different initial periods. The resultant model curves are presented in Figure 7. It is seen in these figures that model sources starting with different neutron star initial periods do settle into the AXP/SGR period and luminosity range. As expected, there is a crucial difference between the models with $T_p = 0$ K and $T_p = 100$ K in that the cutoffs in \dot{M} curves for $T_p = 100$ K are not seen in \dot{M} evolutions with $T_p = 0$. This means that the mass-inflow rate remains large, above or slightly below 10^{16} g s^{-1} , for 10^5 years or more. Thus, without a cutoff in disk activity, agreement with the observed AXP/SGR luminosity range would require an explanation. Ekşi & Alpar (2003) suggested that a large fraction of mass flowing in through the disk is not actually accreted onto the neutron star, requiring rather efficient propeller activity.

We have calculated more models for a better comparison of evolution with and without the disk becoming eventually passive. First, we take $P_0 = 300$ ms, $T_p = 0$ and repeat the calculations for different M_0 values (Figure 8). (We note that the model sources with low M_0 values (curves 5 and 6 in Figure 8) that are seen to evolve toward the AXP phase with $P_0 = 300$ ms, would become radio pulsars for initial periods $P_0 \lesssim 100$ s). This shows that sources with a large range of initial periods evolve into the AXP range once they have started to accrete matter. The model curves in Figure 8 correspond to different initial disk masses ranging from 7.5×10^{-7} to $1 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$. All

these illustrative models remain within the range of AXP/SGR luminosities for more than 10^5 yr. During most of this epoch, the sources lie in the AXP/SGR period range as well. Nevertheless, if this model were a correct representation of these systems we would expect to see many more, mostly older, AXPs and SGRs with similar luminosities and with periods larger than the observed range of period clustering. This is a serious difficulty for fall back disk models without an eventual cutoff for \dot{M} .

Next, we do the same exercise with the same P_0 and the same set of M_0 values, but for $T_p = 100$ K (see Figure 9). The model sources that enter the period range of AXP/SGRs follow almost the same period-evolution curve. They enter the AXP phase and remain there for a longtime interval lasting from a few $\times 10^3$ yr to a few $\times 10^4$ yr depending on the initial mass of the fall back disk. Subsequently, periods of the sources tend to increase with about the same rate to beyond the maximum observed AXP periods. By comparing panels (a) and (b) in Figure 9, we see that the sudden turn down in X-ray luminosity renders the sources unobservable at an age of about a few 10^4 yr. This terminates the observable period evolution in an epoch when the periods are clustered in the 2–12 s range, in agreement with observations.

For given initial disk mass M_0 , how does the evolution depend on T_p ? To illustrate, we compare the \dot{M} and period evolution with T_p values of 0, 60, 80, 100, and 200 K for $M_0 = 1 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$ and $P_0 = 30$ ms. For this M_0 , the model sources having T_p




Figure 9. Left panel: the same models as given in Figure 8, with $T_p = 100$ K here. It is clearly seen that there is a natural cutoff for \dot{M} and thus for X-ray luminosity. We see by comparing to right panel that statistical expectation of this model seems to be in good agreement with observations. Right panel: period evolution for the model curves given in the left panel. Model (6) with $M_0 = 7.5 \times 10^{-7} M_\odot$ is not present in the left panel, since its inner-disk radius cannot penetrate into the light cylinder and the source evolves as a radio pulsar. It is striking that all the other sources which enter the spin-down with accretion phase also remain in a long lasting AXP/SGR phase. Furthermore, the model predicts that there will be an upper limit to the observed periods of AXP/SGRs in their present luminosity range because of the luminosity cutoff at the end of the evolution.




Figure 10. Left panel: the same model as presented in Figure 5, but with different T_p values which are seen on the curves in Kelvins. The initial period is 30 ms for all the model sources. With this initial period, the model sources having T_p greater than about 250 K cannot become an AXP, since higher T_p values lead to lower mass-flow rate from the outer to the inner disk. Right panel: period evolution of the same model sources given on the left panel.

greater than about 250 K cannot evolve into the AXP phase. This is because higher T_p means earlier decrease in mass-flow rate from the outer to the inner disk, and thus the inner disk cannot penetrate into the light cylinder (see Figure 10). Taking also the earlier model fits with different disk masses into account, the results obtained with $T_p \sim 100$ K are in good agreement with observations of AXPs and SGRs.

In our model calculations, we take $B = 10^{12}$ G. For larger dipole magnetic fields of the neutron star the eventual, approximately equilibrium periods reached in the late evolutionary epochs would be longer in proportion to $B^{6/7}$. To maintain agreement with the observed period clustering requires earlier luminosity cutoff. In the model, for larger dipole magnetic fields of the neutron star up to about 1×10^{13} G, it is still possible to reproduce AXPs by increasing T_p and M_0 values accordingly. Increasing B results in a narrower initial M_0 range that can give AXPs. This is because increasing field strength requires higher M_0 for the inner disk to be able to penetrate the light cylinder. For the field strengths above $\sim 10^{13}$ G, model sources cannot enter the AXP phase, both the luminosity and the period derivative remain well above the observed values while the neutron star is tracing the AXP period range. Note that the B field discussed here is the neutron star surface value of the *dipole*

component, as this is the long range component of the magnetic field that determines the torques and interactions with the disk. The magnetar fields of AXPs and SGRs are likely to be in higher multipoles in view of the crustal field amplification mechanisms responsible for magnetar strength fields. It is the dipole fields that must have magnitudes less than about 10^{13} G. Recent work on AXP surface spectra (Güver et al. 2007, 2008) has produced estimates of the total surface magnetic field strengths, in AXPs XTE 1810–197 and 4U 0142+61. The total surface fields inferred were $\sim 3 \times 10^{14}$ G and $\sim 5 \times 10^{14}$ G respectively, larger than the $B \lesssim 10^{13}$ G requirement of our model for the dipole component alone. Our result also implies that some of the young neutron stars that have high dipole fields $B > 10^{13}$ G are not likely to evolve into the AXP phase even if there are fall back disks around them.

This paper does not address the relative strengths of dipole and higher multipole components on the basis of neutron star physics. We do think, however, that dipole fields weaker than the higher multipole fields are plausible for magnetar models. The large fields in the star's crust are amplified, rearranged in crust breaking events and dissipated in the neutron star crust. Magnetic stresses are here in interaction with crustal crystal stresses, which have critical strains, dislocation, and domain




Figure 11. Left panel: the period-derivative evolution with $T_p = 0$ and $T_p = 100$ K. For both models, $M_0 = 1 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$ and $P_0 = 30$ ms. Right panel: evolution of the fastness parameter for the same model as given in the left panel.

wall distributions that introduce local scales to the problem. In the neutron star crust with very efficient screening, the crystal is close to a Coulomb lattice. So the relevant scales of microcrystalline alignment can be much longer than those in terrestrial crystals, but still they are small compared to the global size of the neutron star. Field generation and reconnection in coupling with discrete crust breaking effects will plausibly put energy into higher multipoles (Ruderman 1991).

In P , \dot{P} , and timing signatures, there is a continuity between AXPs, SGRs, and the radio pulsars. If the torque causing the spin down is the dipole spin-down torque for an isolated neutron star, then the dipole magnetic field is a function of P and \dot{P} (assuming all neutron stars in the sample have standard values of R_*^6/I ; possible effects of variations of this were discussed by Guseinov et al. 2005). Then the neutron stars with similar positions in the P – \dot{P} diagram would be continuous in $B_{*,\text{dipole}}$ also, leaving a puzzle as to why some are AXPs and SGRs and others not. Furthermore, if the dipole spin-down torque is operating on all AXPs, SGRs, and high $B_{*,\text{dipole}}$ pulsars, evolutionary connection between AXP/SGRs and high $B_{*,\text{dipole}}$ radio pulsars would require ongoing dipole field generation, as constant field evolutionary tracks for isolated neutron stars have slope -1 in the $\log \dot{P} - \log P$ diagram.

Presence or absence of fall back disks and their properties bring in a new parameter, not determined by P and \dot{P} alone, into play. The dipole fields inferred by using fall back disk model spin-down torques are somewhat lower than the dipole fields inferred using the isolated rotating dipole model. The transformation from \dot{P} and P to $B_{*,\text{dipole}}$ involves the mass inflow rate \dot{M} and fastness parameter, whose values may be constrained by observations of the X-ray luminosity for some sources. Discussion of the P – \dot{P} diagram in the light of this transformation will be the subject of our subsequent work. In any case, sources located close to each other in the P – \dot{P} diagram are not likely to have similar values of $B_{*,\text{dipole}}$ if their spin down is due to fall back disk torques. Inferred dipole fields of a radio pulsar and an AXP lying close to each other in the P – \dot{P} diagram will be different also in the case that the radio pulsar does not have a fall back disk, so it has a relatively higher $B_{*,\text{dipole}}$ inferred by the dipole spin-down torque, compared to the AXP which will have a relatively lower $B_{*,\text{dipole}}$ inferred by the disk spin-down torque.

If there are radio pulsars with fall back disks, their surface dipole magnetic fields, calculated correctly with the disk torques are likely to be in the $B_{*,\text{dipole}} \sim 10^{12}$ G range. These can

evolve to become AXPs. Our results show that pulsars with $B_{*,\text{dipole}} > 10^{13}$ G are not likely to become AXPs.

Why do the high $P\dot{P}$ radio pulsars (except one case) not show bursts (do not have higher multipoles? After the dipole fields are calculated with the appropriate disk torque for those neutron stars with fall back disks, there may remain still some radio pulsars with surface dipole fields comparable to those of AXPs. There is also the one example (at present) of a bursting radio pulsar PSR J1846-0258 in SNR Kes 57 (Gavriil et al. 2008). So the question arises as to what makes an exceptional bursting radio pulsar. If as we claim the burst behavior requires magnetar-strength fields in higher multipoles due to a history of crust breaking, than the usual, non bursting radio pulsars (in general) do not have strong higher multipoles even if some of them may have rather strong surface dipole fields. A likely explanation is that magnetar field evolution starts with the initial total magnetic moment of the neutron star, and is driven by the initial field in the neutron star core. Suppose a magnetar field in higher multipoles would obtain if the initial total field in the neutron star's core was higher than some critical value, enough to affect crust breaking (say by the magnetic flux lines extending through the superconducting core). The high dipole field radio pulsars started with fields near or just below the threshold for generating higher multipole magnetar fields: thus the dipole field in these pulsars, like in other radio pulsars is roughly the total field. The one exception, PSR J1846-0258, may be the one marginally above threshold in its initial neutron star core magnetic moment.

Finally, we compare the \dot{P} values of the model sources during their AXP/SGR phase with observations. For all models with different M_0 and P_0 reaching the AXP/SGR phase, we see that \dot{P} values remain in the range from a few $\times 10^{-13}$ to $\sim 10^{-11}$ s s^{-1} . This is consistent with the observed period derivatives of these sources. We present \dot{P} and fastness variations for the same illustrative model seen in Figure 10 with $T_p = 0$ and 100 K (Figure 11a). The corresponding evolution of the fastness parameter, ω_* , for the same model is given in Figure 11b. The maximum of ω_* at $t \sim 2000$ yr corresponds to the time at which the inner disk penetrates the light cylinder. Before $t \sim 2000$ yr, the inner disk remains outside the light cylinder. In this early phase of evolution, during which we take the inner-disk radius equal to the light cylinder radius, the strength of the disk torque increases with increasing light-cylinder radius caused by rapid spin down of the neutron star. For this example, after $t \sim 2000$ yr, the inner disk remains inside the light cylinder

and the model sources evolve first to the so-called tracking phase along which ω_* stays close to unity, that is, inner disk comes close to the corotation radius. In Figure 11b, it is seen that ω_* of the source with $T_p = 100$ K turns up from the tracking phase at about a few 10^4 yr and starts to increase, while the model source with $T_p = 0$ remains in the tracking phase. This is because the mass-flow rate for $T_p = 100$ K decreases faster than that for $T_p = 0$ K. The resultant rapid increase in the inner-disk radius for $T_p = 100$ K causes ω_* to increase to higher values. The source is likely to become a strong propeller. A fraction of the mass would be expelled from the system, instead of accreting onto the neutron star. Qualitatively, this would further decrease the observed luminosity and make the luminosity turnoff even sharper. Work on the luminosity changes of transient AXPs (Ertan & Erkut 2008) shows that mass expulsion does not yield a self-consistent model for luminosities down to $\sim 10^{33}$ erg s $^{-1}$, in conclusion in agreement with Rappaport et al. (2004). The effect needs to be investigated at lower \dot{M} (and higher ω_*).

There are several possibilities for the radio emission in AXPs with fall back disks. One of them is the conventional radio-pulsar emission mechanism. It is possible that this mechanism could work in the presence of accretion, with the strong magnetic field confining the accretion column. This could shield and allow the radio emission along the open field lines (J. E. Trümper et al. 2009, in preparation). The accretion column is likely to have a thin cylindrical-shell geometry enclosed by the closed field lines with a radius that depends on the inner-disk radius, where the inner-disk matter couples to the closed field lines. Accretion energy is injected into the neutron star through the base of the column and radiated from the entire surface of the neutron star in the soft X-ray band, while the column could act as the site of the beamed high-energy emission. In neutron stars with long spin-periods, like the AXPs, the radio emission is expected to be strongly beamed with a full beaming angle of several degrees along the open field lines. This indicates that the radio emission efficiency in these systems is much lower than that estimated by assuming isotropic radio emission. Small beaming angles could explain why the pulsed radio emission is observed from only two of the AXPs.

A second alternative could be the radio emission through gaps provided by the disk–star radio emission mechanism (Cheng & Ruderman 1991; Ertan & Cheng 2004). In this picture, the accretion torque, rather than the magnetic dipole torque, powers the gap.

Another possibility for the radio emission could be related to charge acceleration by magnetar multipole fields close to the neutron star as suggested by Thompson (2008), if the site of the radio emission can be shielded from the accretion column.

Comparing the various radio emission models with the radio and X-ray behavior of the transient AXPs XTE J1810–197 and 1E 1547–54 will be the subject of our future work

5. CONCLUSIONS

By means of a series of numerical simulations of long-term evolution of the neutron stars interacting with fall back disks we have shown the following. (1) The torque model $N_* \propto (1 - \omega_*^2)$ leads to the observed period clustering for a wide range of initial conditions provided the neutron star gets into and remains in an epoch of accretion with spin down, which holds when the inner edge of the accretion disk penetrates the light cylinder. (2) The correct identification of t_0 yields long initial disk evolutionary timescale $t_0 \sim$ a few 10^3 yr, without requiring excessive values

of \dot{M} and the disk mass. A wide range of initial conditions do lead to the epoch of accretion with spin down. (3) The introduction of T_p , the physically expected criterion for the disk to become neutral and passive starting from its outer regions, leads to evolutionary models remaining in the AXP/SGR X-ray luminosity range at the right ages when the period evolution has converged into the observed range of period clustering. We find the minimum temperature T_p for an active disk to be $T_p \sim 100$ K. This value is in agreement with the results of Inutsuka & Sano (2005), who concluded that turbulence works down to temperatures as low as ~ 300 K. The low values of T_p indicates that the inner fall back disks of AXP and SGRs are active up to a large radius greater than about 10^{12} cm. (4) The period derivatives also concur with observed values. (5) For dipole field strength $\gtrsim 10^{13}$ G, we see that the model sources cannot evolve into the AXP phase consistently with observed luminosity, period and period derivatives. Based on this result, we expect that sources with dipole fields of $\gtrsim 10^{13}$ G are not likely to become AXPs, even if they are born with fall back disks. (6) The transient AXPs and SGRs with X-ray luminosities $L_x \sim 10^{33}$ erg s $^{-1}$ in quiescence are likely to be older than the persistent sources. This is because fall back disks that have accretion rates corresponding to these luminosities are unlikely to penetrate the light cylinders of young neutron stars with small initial spin periods. The number of observable transient AXP/SGRs are expected to be comparable to those of persistent sources, as the models indicate that the transient AXP/SGRs are in the cutoff phase of the X-ray luminosities for a wide range of initial conditions (see Figure 9).

These results could provide an opportunity to study the evolutionary connection between AXP/SGRs and other young neutron star populations that could also be evolving with active fall back disks, as proposed originally by Alpar (2001). This will be the subject of our future work, in particular on comparisons with the central compact objects (CCOs) and the DINs.

We acknowledge research support TÜBİTAK (The Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey) through grant 107T013. Ü.E. and M.A.A. acknowledge support from the Sabancı University Astrophysics and Space Forum. M.A.A. thanks The Turkish Academy of Sciences for research support. This work has been supported by the Marie Curie EC FPG Marie Curie Transfer of Knowledge Project ASTRONS, MKTD-CT-2006-042722. We thank Graham Wynn for useful discussions and comments on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Alpar, M. A. 2001, *ApJ*, 554, 1245
 Balbus, S. A., & Hawley, J. F. 1991, *ApJ*, 376, 214
 Barenblatt, G. I. 1996, *Scaling, Self-Similarity, and Intermediate Asymptotics* (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
 Cannizzo, J. K., Lee, H. M., & Goodman, J. 1990, *ApJ*, 351, 38
 Chatterjee, P., Hernquist, L., & Narayan, R. 2000, *ApJ*, 534, 373
 Cheng, K. S., & Ruderman, M. 1991, *ApJ*, 373, 187
 Duncan, R. C., & Thompson, C. 1992, *ApJ*, 392, L9
 Ekşi, K. Y., & Alpar, M. A. 2003, *ApJ*, 599, 450
 Erkut, M. H., & Alpar, M. A. 2004, *ApJ*, 617, 461
 Ertan, Ü., & Alpar, M. A. 2003, *ApJ*, 593, L93
 Ertan, Ü., & Cheng, K. S. 2004, *ApJ*, 605, 840
 Ertan, Ü., & Çalişkan, Ş. 2006, *ApJ*, 649, L87
 Ertan, Ü., & Erkut, M. H. 2008, *ApJ*, 673, 1062
 Ertan, Ü., Erkut, M. H., Ekşi, K. Y., & Alpar, M. A. 2007, *ApJ*, 657, 441
 Ertan, Ü., Göğüş, E., & Alpar, M. A. 2006, *ApJ*, 640, 435
 Frank, J., King, A., & Raine, D. 2002, *Accretion Power in Astrophysics* (3rd ed.; Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)

- Gavriil, F. P., Gonzalez, M. E., Gotthelf, E. V., Kaspi, V. M., Livingstone, M. A., & Woods, P. M. 2008, *Science*, **319**, 1802
- Guseinov, O. H., Anay, A., & Tagieva, S. O. 2005, *Ap&SS*, **298**, 553
- Güver, T., Özel, F., & Gögüş, E. 2007, *ApJ*, **667**, L73
- Güver, T., Özel, F., & Gögüş, E. 2008, *ApJ*, **675**, 1499
- Inutsuka, S., & Sano, T. 2005, *ApJ*, **628**, L155
- Lasota, J. P. 2001, *New Astron., Rev.*, **45**, 449
- Lüst, R. 1952, *Z. Naturforsch.*, **7**, 87
- Menou, K., Perna, R., & Hernquist, L. 2001, *ApJ*, **559**, 1032
- Mereghetti, S. 2008, *A&AR*, **15**, 225
- Perna, R., Hernquist, L., & Narayan, R. 2000, *ApJ*, **541**, 344
- Pringle, J. E. 1974, PhD thesis, Cambridge Univ.
- Pringle, J. E. 1981, *ARA&A*, **19**, 137
- Pringle, J. E. 1991, *MNRAS*, **248**, 754
- Psaltis, D., & Miller, M. C. 2002, *ApJ*, **578**, 325
- Rappaport, S. A., Fregau, J. M., & Spruit, H. 2004, *ApJ*, **606**, 436
- Ruderman, M. 1991, *ApJ*, **382**, 587
- Shakura, N. I., & Sunyaev, R. A. 1973, *A&A*, **24**, 337
- Thompson, C. 2008, *ApJ*, **688**, 499
- Thompson, C., & Duncan, R. C. 1995, *MNRAS*, **275**, 255
- Wang, Z., Chakrabarty, D., & Kaplan, D. 2006, *Nature*, **440**, 772
- Woods, P. M., & Thompson, C. 2006, in *Compact Stellar X-ray Sources*, ed. W. H. G. Lewin & M. van der Klis (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)