

OnFallback Disks and Magnetars

M. Ali Alpar^a, Ünal Ertan^a, M. Hakan Erkut^a, Yavuz Ekş^b, Şirin Çalışkan^{a, c}

^aSabancı University, Orhanlı, Tuzla, İstanbul 34956, Turkey

^bIstanbul Technical University, Maslak, İstanbul 34469, Turkey

^cBoğaziçi University, Bebek, İstanbul 34342, Turkey

Abstract

The discovery of a disk around the anomalous X-ray pulsar 4U 0142+61, has rekindled the interest in fallback disks around magnetars. We briefly review the assumptions of fallback disk models and magnetar models. Earlier data in optical and near IR bands combined with new Spitzer data in the mid-IR range are compatible with a *gas* disk. Higher multipole fields with magnetar strengths together with a dipole field of 10^{12} - 10^{13} G on the neutron star surface are compatible with the presence of a disk around the neutron star. The possible presence and properties of a fallback disk after the supernova explosion is a likely initial condition to complement the initial rotation period and initial dipole field in determining the evolutionary paths and different types of isolated neutron stars.

1 Spindown Rates and Periods of Isolated Pulsars

The spindown rate of an isolated pulsar is determined by the strength of the *dipole* component of the magnetic field:

$$I\Omega\dot{\Omega} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{B_{dip,\perp}^2 R^6 \Omega^4}{c^3}, \quad (1)$$

where $B_{dip,\perp}$ denotes the component of the surface dipole field perpendicular to the rotation axis. All magnetars with measured period derivatives exhibit spindown at rates in the $\sim 10^{-12}$ rad s $^{-2}$ range, and have periods in the 3–12 s range corresponding to rotation rates Ω (rad / s) $\sim O(1)$. If the spindown mechanism is the dipole radiation of an isolated magnetized neutron star then the combination of such slow rotation rates with such large spindown rates implies a dipole magnetic field with magnetar range values on the neutron star surface (Kouveliotou et al., 1998). For some of the sources we have evidence for association with supernova remnants (SNR) indicating a young age, of some 10^4 yrs. If the neutron star's initial rotation period was in the sub-second range, as inferred for the isolated radio pulsars, a magnetar range dipole field is implied for the source to have spun down to the present rotation period within such a short time. These arguments for a magnetar strength *dipole* field apply if the neutron star is spinning down under the dipole radiation torque.

Why do all magnetar candidates, anomalous X-ray pulsars (AXPs), soft gamma-ray repeaters (SGRs) and dim isolated thermally emitting neutron stars (DINs) have rotation periods all in the same narrow range $P = 3$ –12 s, giving rotation rates $\Omega \sim O(1)$?

As Psaltis and Miller (2002) have shown, dipole spindown (braking index $n = 3$) or spindown with any other power law index in the range $n = 2$ –4, can produce the observed period clustering only if the observed periods are very close to final periods. Isolated rotation powered pulsars cease their activity when they reach final periods and spindown rates in the ranges corresponding to the “death valley” in the $P - \dot{P}$ plane. But the periods and spindown rates of AXPs and SGRs are not in the “death valley” neighbourhood. The possible way out for magnetar dipole spindown is that the neutron star's magnetic moment decays on a timescale shorter than the spindown timescale. Colpi, Geppert and Page (2000) showed that only Hall cascade models, among available models for magnetar field decay, yield the X-ray luminosity by magnetic energy dissipation as well as the period clustering. These models work if the field decay timescale is less than 10^4 yrs. The period clustering of all sources in the 3–12 s period range is difficult to explain with magnetar models.

2 The Fallback Disk Model

The period clustering provided the hint for fallback disk models. A disk around the neutron star is an angular momentum store that can act as a “gyrostat”. The disk interacting with the neutron star can provide a natural explanation for period clustering, as representing the range of equilibrium periods that the neutron star approaches asymptotically. The equilibrium period is the Kepler period at the inner disk radius, roughly the Alfven radius,

$$r_A \approx \mu^{4/7} \dot{M}^{-2/7} (GM)^{-1/7} \quad (2)$$

$$P_{eq} = 2\pi r_A^{3/2} (GM)^{-1/2} \quad (3)$$

where \dot{M} is the mass inflow rate in the disk, μ is the dipole magnetic moment of the neutron star and M is the star’s mass. Since AXPs, SGRs and DINs are not in binaries the disk must be a *fallback disk* from the core collapse in the supernova that formed the neutron star. Such fallback disks may be formed in some supernovae (e.g. Heger et al. 2003).

Spindown rates provided by disk torques can be estimated with

$$I|\dot{\Omega}| \sim \mu^2 r_A^{-3} \sim \mu^{2/7} \dot{M}^{6/7} (GM)^{3/7} \quad (4)$$

The observed spindown rates can be obtained using equilibrium periods in the range of observed periods and mass inflow - mass accretion rates of the order of the rates implied by the X-ray luminosity, with *dipole* magnetic fields B of the order of a few 10^{12} to a few 10^{13} G on the neutron star surface ($\mu \sim 10^{30}$ G cm³). Disk torques can yield the observed spindown rates, *without invoking magnetar values for the dipole component* of the neutron star magnetic field, with the bonus of explaining the narrow range of observed periods from AXPs, DINs and SGRs.

Chatterjee, Hernquist and Narayan (2000) proposed the presence of a fallback disk to explain the period clustering of AXPs. Detailed comparison of fallback disks against the ages and present properties of AXPs depends on the joint mass and angular momentum evolution of the fallback disk and produces model dependent results (Chatterjee, Hernquist and Narayan 2000; Ekşî and Alpar 2003; Ekşî, Hernquist and Narayan 2005; Ertan et al. 2006).

Alpar (2001) independently proposed fallback disks, not only for AXPs, but as a third initial condition, in addition to the traditional initial conditions of the dipole magnetic moment and rotation rate, to explain different categories of isolated neutron stars. These classes of young neutron stars include the isolated radio pulsars (now possibly extending to the rotating radio transients - RRATs), AXPs, SGRs, DINs and the radio-quiet neutron stars (RQNS - called compact central objects, CCOs now).

3 The Search for Fallback Disks

The search for fallback disks around AXPs, in particular 4U 0142+61, in the optical and near infrared bands yielded data that were compared with available thin disk models. The conclusions drawn in earlier work were that there was no disk (Hulleman, van Kerkwijk and Kulkarni 2000, 2004), or that the inner disk was advection dominated (Perna, Hernquist and Narayan 2000). These conclusions were based on fitting the data with A_V from a wide range of plausible values, in conjunction with a particular model for disk irradiation by, and reprocessing of, X-rays from the neutron star.

Observation of 27% pulsed optical flux from 4U 0142+61 (Kern and Martin 2002) supported the inference that there was no disk because of the prevailing view that a pulsar magnetosphere cannot operate in the presence of a disk protruding inside the light cylinder. Disk-magnetosphere models were proposed for pulsar emission from the early days (Michel and Dessler 1981) and are not restricted to the specific early models. A magnetosphere with a disk in it can generate optical and higher energy radiation with high pulse amplitude at the pulsar rotation frequency (Cheng and Ruderman 1991). Ertan and Cheng (2004) showed that such a disk-magnetosphere model can produce the optical pulses of 4U 0142+61.

4 The Fallback Disk of 4U 0142+61: a Gas Disk

Wang, Chakrabarty and Kaplan (2006) detected 4U 0142+61 in the mid-IR band with Spitzer observations. These authors found that the mid-IR detections can be fit well with a disk model. Adopting the interpretation of the earlier optical and near IR data, that these were not compatible with disk models, and the suggestion that the strongly pulsed nature of the optical radiation rules out a disk intruding deep within the light cylinder, they concluded that the disk indicated by the mid-IR data is a passive dust disk situated beyond the light cylinder.

We have evaluated all available data, from the earlier observations in the optical and near infrared bands, and from the recent Spitzer observations in the mid-IR with gas disk models (Ertan et al. 2006). We find that the combined data set can be fit by a conventional gaseous disk model with viscous energy dissipation and mass inflow together with irradiation reprocessing. The best fitting A_V value from our fits, $A_V = 3.5$, agrees well with the value $A_V = 3.5 \pm 0.4$ found in a detailed study of reddening in the directions of 4U 0142+61 and other AXPs (Durant and van Kerkwijk 2006).

With $A_V = 3.5$, dereddened optical data yield a disk inner radius $r_A = 10^9$ cm. Assuming the mass inflow through the disk is fully accreted, to give the observed X-ray luminosity, we obtain a surface dipole field $B = 2 \times 10^{12}$ G (on the poles). With torque models appropriate for the age estimate, about 85% of the mass inflow in the disk must be accreting, giving similar estimates for the surface fields. The corotation radius for AXP 4U 0142+61 is 7×10^8 cm. The disk inner radius is close to the corotation radius but somewhat larger. The star is a weak propeller, accreting while spinning down. Taking the unlikely extreme value of $A_V = 2.6$ leads to $B = 4 \times 10^{13}$ G (on the poles). We infer the value of the inner radius of the disk around 4U 0142+61 from the optical observations. If the disk emission extends into the UV range, the disk inner radius and the dipole field are actually smaller than the current estimates of Ertan et al. (2006).

While 4U 0142+61 is the AXP with the most extensive data set, there are observations of other AXPs in some bands. Using all available data, Ertan and Çalışkan (2006) found that gas disk models are compatible with the data from all AXPs. Interestingly, irradiation parameters derived from independent fits to data from all the individual sources agree, to order of magnitude, with the irradiation model we employed for 4U 0142+61. These fits all yield $r_A \sim 10^9$ cm for the disk inner radius and the irradiation parameter $C \sim 10^{-4}$ found in all sources. This is the same range of C as found from fits to the 4U 0142+61 data.

5 Magnetars andFallback Disks

The bursts in Soft Gamma-Ray burst sources (SGRs) and in AXPs are explained by magnetar models (Thompson and Duncan 1995, Woods and Thompson 2006). These models employ strong magnetic fields in the neutron star crust and near the star's surface to trigger and sustain the bursts. Magnetic field decay in the neutron star crust is the source of the X-ray luminosity. Surface magnetic field strengths of the order of $10^{14}\text{--}10^{15}$ G, above the quantum critical field $B_{\text{crit}} = 4.4 \times 10^{13}$ G are required. These strong fields are built up, dissipated and “released” in the crust of the neutron star by *local* processes.

The timing and evolutionary properties of the neutron star are determined by the long range dipole component of the magnetic field. If there is a disk around the neutron star, the dipole field stops the disk at an inner radius where magnetic stresses balance the material stresses in the disk. The Kepler rotation period at the inner edge of the disk sets the equilibrium period towards which the neutron star evolves asymptotically. Dipole magnetic fields of strength $10^{12}\text{--}10^{13}$ G on the neutron star surface, and mass inflow rates commensurate with the accretion rates can give the observed *period clustering as equilibrium periods of the star with the disk*.

Fallback disk models do not explain the bursts of the SGRs and AXPs. However, the post burst X-ray and IR luminosity enhancements observed from some sources can be explained well as due to the effect of the burst on the fallback disk and the subsequent relaxation of the disk (Ertan and Alpar 2003, Ertan, Göğüş and Alpar 2006).

The presence of a gas disk indicates a surface dipole field of $10^{12}\text{--}10^{13}$ G. The magnetar models require extra strength fields on the neutron star surface and crust. So what is the nature of the surface magnetar fields?

The mechanisms of winding up the field and breaking the crust by magnetic stresses are all *local* processes. There is no reason to expect that production of magnetar strength fields should take place on the global scale of the surface dipole field. Thus the bursts could be triggered by surface fields with magnetar strengths in the *higher multipoles*, while disks like the one observed in 4U 0142+61 provide the spindown torques on the neutron star, in interaction with its dipole magnetic field. The possibility of such a hybrid situation, with higher multipole fields present on the neutron star surface, bears on several issues.

The suppression of radio emission in magnetars by shorting the inner gap can be achieved by the presence of higher multipole fields near the surface, having super-quantum-critical values in the near range of the multipole fields, prevailing through the inner gap. Radio pulsars with $> 10^{13}$ G dipole fields (Camilo et al. 2006) do function as radio pulsars in spite of the strength of the surface dipole field. The transient AXP XTE J 1810–197, a magnetar candidate, also shows up as a radio pulsar (Camilo et al. 2006). All this suggest that the complex, smaller scale structure of a many multipole magnetar field has the possibilities of allowing or suppressing radio pulsar emission, depending on the options of evolutionary and/or geometrical non-interference or overlap of the magnetar strength multipoles with the inner gap. A similar discussion of the role of multipole components may be relevant also for the RRATS (McLaughlin et al. 2006), some of which have positions in the $P - \dot{P}$ diagram not far from the positions of the magnetar candidates.

INTEGRAL observations of AXPs, detecting strongly pulsed hard X-rays (Kuiper et al. 2006) provide a new prospect for magnetar, fallback disk and hybrid models to explore, as such behaviour is not expected with either standard isolated pulsar/magnetar magnetospheric models, or with magnetospheric models with disks. INTEGRAL observations of persistent unpulsed hard X-rays from the SGR 1900+14 add to this new prospect (Götz et al. 2006).

References

- Alpar, M.A., On young neutron stars as propellers and accretors with conventional magnetic fields, ApJ, 554, 1245-1254, 2001.
- Camilo, F., Ransom, S.M., Halpern, J.P. et al., Transient pulsed radio emission from a magnetar, Nature, 442, 892-895, 2006.
- Chatterjee, B., Hernquist, L., & Narayan, R., An accretion model for anomalous X-ray pulsars, ApJ, 534, 373-379, 2000.
- Cheng, K.S. & Ruderman, M., Stationary accelerators around keplerian disks of aligned magnetized collapsed objects - Pair production and gamma-ray emission, M.A., ApJ, 373, 187-197, 1991.
- Colpi, M., Geppert, U., & Page, D., Period clustering of the anomalous X-ray pulsars and magnetic field decay in magnetars, ApJ, 529, L29-L32, 2000.
- Durant, M. & van Kerkwijk, M.H., Extinction columns and intrinsic X-ray spectra of the anomalous X-ray pulsars, ApJ, 650, 1082-1090, 2006.
- Ekşi, K.Y. & Alpar, M.A., Can thin disks produce anomalous X-ray pulsars?, ApJ, 599, 450-456, 2003.
- Ekşi, K.Y., Hernquist, L., & Narayan, R., Where are all the fallback disks? Constraints on propeller systems, ApJ, 623, L41-L44, 2005.
- Ertan, Ü. & Alpar, M.A., On the enhanced X-ray emission from SGR 1900+14 after the August 27 giant flare, ApJ, 593, L93-L96, 2003.
- Ertan, Ü. & Çalışkan, Ş., Optical and infrared emission from the anomalous X-ray pulsars and soft gamma-ray repeaters, ApJ, 649, L87-L90, 2006.
- Ertan, Ü. & Cheng, K.S., On the infrared, optical, and high-energy emission from the anomalous X-ray pulsar 4U 0142+61, ApJ, 605, 840-845, 2004.
- Ertan, Ü., Erkut, M.H., Ekşi, K.Y., & Alpar, M.A., The anomalous X-ray pulsar 4U 0142+61: A neutron star with a gaseous fallback disk , in press, ApJ, 2006 (astro-ph 0606259)
- Ertan, Ü., Göğüş, E., & Alpar, M.A., X-ray and infrared enhancement of anomalous X-ray pulsar 1E 2259+586, ApJ, 640, 435-440, 2006.
- Götz, D., Mereghetti, S., Tiengo, A., & Esposito, P., Magnetars as persistent hard X-ray sources: INTEGRAL discovery of a hard tail in SGR 1900+14, A&A, 449, L31-L34, 2006.
- Heger, A., Fryer, C. L., Woosley, S. E. et al., How massive single stars end their life, ApJ, 591, 288-300, 2003.
- Hulleman, F., van Kerkwijk, M.H., & Kulkarni, S.R., An optical counterpart to the anomalous X-ray pulsar 4U 0142+61, Nature, 408, 689-692, 2000.

- Hulleman, F., van Kerkwijk, M.H., & Kulkarni, S.R., The anomalous X-ray pulsar 4U 0142+61: Variability in the infrared and a spectral break in the optical, *A&A*, 416, 1037-1045, 2004.
- Kern, B. & Martin, C., Optical pulsations from the anomalous X-ray pulsar 4U0142+61, *Nature*, 417, 527-529, 2002.
- Kouveliotou, C., Dieters, S., Strohmayer, T. et al., An X-ray pulsar with a superstrong magnetic field in the soft gamma-ray repeater SGR 1806-20, *Nature*, 393, 235-237, 1998.
- Kuiper, L., Hermsen, W., den Hartog, P. R., & Collmar, W., Discovery of luminous pulsed hard X-ray emission from anomalous X-ray pulsars 1RXS J1708-4009, 4U 0142+61, and 1E 2259+586 by INTEGRAL and RXTE, *ApJ*, 645, 556-575, 2006.
- McLaughlin, M.A., Lyne, A. G., Lorimer, D. R. et al., Transient radio bursts from rotating neutron stars, *Nature*, 439, 817-820, 2006.
- Michel, F.C. & Dessler, A.J., Pulsar disk systems, *ApJ*, 251, 654-664, 1981.
- Perna, R., Hernquist, L., & Narayan, R., Emission spectra of fallback disks around young neutron stars, *ApJ*, 541, 344-350, 2000.
- Psaltis, D. & Miller, M.C., Implications of the narrow period distribution of anomalous X-ray pulsars and soft gamma-ray repeaters, *ApJ*, 578, 325-329, 2002.
- Thompson, C. & Duncan, R.C., The soft gamma repeaters as very strongly magnetized neutron stars - I. Radiative mechanism for outbursts, *MNRAS*, 275, 255-300, 1995.
- Wang, Z., Chakrabarty, D., & Kaplan, D.L., A debris disk around an isolated young neutron star, *Nature*, 440, 772-775, 2006.
- Woods, P.M. & Thompson, C., Soft gamma repeaters and anomalous X-ray pulsars: magnetar candidates, W.H.G. Lewin & M. van der Klis (Ed.), *Compact Stellar X-ray Sources*, Cambridge University Press, 2006.

5. Copyright

Advances in Space Research is published by Elsevier Science Ltd. on behalf of COSPAR and is a copyrighted publication. If, in writing your manuscript, you use excerpts or figures from other copyrighted works (including some web sites) the author(s) **must** obtain written permission from the copyright owner(s) and credit the source(s) in the article. You can do this by contacting the original author or copyright holder directly, following the procedures given in the original publication, or by using the Elsevier preprinted forms for this same purpose. It is the author's responsibility to obtain all necessary copyright releases. If you want to use the Elsevier form contact Elsevier Global Rights Department, P.O. Box 800, Oxford, OX5 1DX, UK; phone: (+44) 1865 843830, fax: (+44) 1865 853333, e-mail: permissions@elsevier.com

Upon acceptance of an article, the corresponding author will be asked to complete a copyright form transferring the copyright to COSPAR. By signing this form the author confirms the receipt of all necessary permissions regarding the use of previously copyrighted material.

7. Submission of articles

7.1. Registration

COSPAR Assembly manuscripts are to be prepared in electronic form and submitted totally on-line. You must first register before you can use the Elsevier electronic submission system. Please review the submission checklist (Section 7.2) before proceeding.

Go to the web page for *Advances in Space Research* (<http://ees.elsevier.com/jasr2006/>). Click on "register". You must complete the registration in order to use the system. After you have completed the registration, you will be e-mailed a username and password that will allow you to access the system. You will need this information each time you wish to access the electronic submission system. If you forget your username and password, go to the log-in page and use the option "If you have forgotten your user name and/or password, please click here".

7.2. Submission checklist

The following is a checklist for submission of your manuscript.

- A separate file containing the abstract. Access to the authors names, keywords, and suggested referee list (with E-mail address) will also be useful.
- A complete manuscript file containing the title, authors, affiliations, abstract, text, appendices (if any), references and figure captions (if any).
- References in the correct format for *Advances in Space Research*.
- Figure captions at the end of the manuscript file before the tables. Alternatively, Tables can be submitted as separate files, in the same manner as figures.
- Tables listed at the end of the manuscript after figure captions.
- Color figures clearly marked as being intended for printed color reproduction or to be printed in black-and-white. This information should be specified into the “enter comments” box that is part of the drop-down menu.
- Each figure is a unique file and labeled as fig(n) where (n) corresponds to the figure number.

7.2.1 *Hints to expedite uploading manuscripts*

The title, authors, abstract and keywords should be available for “copy and paste” into the menu “boxes” which will appear in the menu guide requesting this information.

7.3. *On-line submission to ASR*

<http://ees.elsevier.com/jasr2006/>

You must be registered in order to use the EES system. Once you have registered and received your user name and password, go to (<http://ees.elsevier.com/jasr2006/>). Click on “Author log in”. Type in your user name and password. The author main menu will appear. Click on “Submit new manuscript” and follow the menu.

7.3.1. *Enter manuscript title*

Type (or paste) the manuscript title in the “box”. *Click on “Next”*.

7.3.2. *Select the article type*

Select the article type from the dropdown menu. *Click on “Next”*.

7.3.3. *Author(s)*

By beginning the manuscript submission process, you are automatically designated as the Corresponding Author and you do not have to insert your name again. It is done automatically for you. Insert the names of the other authors (if any) in the appropriate boxes. (You must fill in fields marked with a red asterisk*.) Click on “Add Author” until all authors are entered. Note that you can re-designate the corresponding author on the “Add Author” line; however, all correspondence will then go to that corresponding author. When all authors are entered, *click on “Next”*.

7.3.4. *Select section/category*

From the drop-down menu, select the COSPAR commission session appropriate for your paper. *Click on “Next”*.

7.3.5. *Submitting an abstract*

The guiding menu will ask you for the abstract.

(An easy method is to copy and paste the abstract in the dialogue box.) *Click on “Next”*.

7.3.6. *Keywords*

The guiding menu will ask you for keywords.

Enter 3 to 6 keywords descriptive of your article. *Click on “Next”.*

7.3.7. Additional required information

Insert the COSPAR paper number from the COSPAR Beijing Assembly program in the top box. This number should be the commission/session/paper number (e.g. A1.1-0034-06; F2.2-0003-06; PSB-0019-06) given in the program book. (Do NOT use the Copernicus abstract number.)

If the first author is under 31 years of age at the time of submission, enter “YES” in the lower box; if not, enter “NO”. This is for determining those papers eligible for a “best paper by a young scientist” award.

Click on “Next”.

7.3.8. Enter comments

Please enter the names and e-mail addresses of up to 5 potential referees into the comments box.

You may also use this menu “box” for any comments you wish to address to the editor or for specific instructions, such as specifying which figures are to be printed in color and which are to be printed in black and white. *Click on “Next”.*

7.3.9. Attach files (i.e. file uploads)

The drop-down menu will display several file types to be uploaded. Please upload in the following order: Cover letter (if any); manuscript; Tables and Figures.

For uploading the manuscript, attach the complete text of the manuscript including the title, authors and affiliation. (You may use the browser button to attach the file.) Then click on the “Attach This File” button.

The other file types are Tables and Figures.

Multiple figures can be consolidated into a ZIP file (or TAR file for UNIX users) and then uploaded.

When all files are uploaded, *click on “Next”*.

7.3.10. Checking the uploaded manuscript

The next menu to be displayed gives a summary of the number of items to be delivered online and offline. These numbers should match those files that you have uploaded. *Click on “Build PDF for my Approval” for converting your manuscript to pdf.*

7.3.11. Conversion to a pdf document

The Elsevier system will generate an electronic (PDF) proof for your approval. (It takes about 60 sec per MB of uploaded data to generate the proof.) It is possible for the author to edit the metadata file on-line. If corrections are necessary in the manuscript, tables or figures, you will have to make the changes on your computer file and then upload the revised sections. (The PDF proof must be recompiled after revision.)

Click on “Submissions Waiting for Author’s Approval”.

You must approve the PDF proof in order to complete the manuscript submission process.

7.3.12. Submissions Waiting for Approval by Author (Corresponding Author’s Name)

You may have to wait several minutes for the pdf version to be created. The status of your paper will appear in the “current status” box. When you see “Needs approval” in this box, click on “View Submission” and review your submission. If everything appears correct (i.e. in a form appropriate for the guest editor and referees), then click on “Approve Submission”.

Be certain you review the Ethics in Publishing document and accept the conditions stated in this document.

7.4. Communication between author and guest editor

All correspondence, including notification of the Editor's decision and requests for revisions, will be by e-mail; however, there may be some cases where the guest editor will contact the author directly regarding specific points in the manuscript. Once an article has been reviewed and accepted, the corresponding author will be sent notification of the final manuscript number maintained by Elsevier (starting with the letters JASR), and the copyright and offprint order forms. Approximately four weeks later the corresponding author should receive a PDF galley proof for approval and acceptance. Please answer any questions that the typesetters may have included and then return the corrected galley proof promptly.

Table 1. Information and notes on reference formats

1. Authorship: List authors by last name, then initials (e.g. Carrington, R.C., Zhou, H.)
You can list as many authors as you wish; however, you might want to consider item No. 2
2. Multi-authored papers:
List first three authors followed by et al. (Example: Rauer, H., Arpigny, C., Manfroid, J. et al.)
3. Titles of papers: First word capitalized; all others in lower-case unless normally capitalized.
4. Titles of books and reports: Major words capitalized.
5. Publishers: Name of publisher, publisher's city (and country, if not well known)
6. Editors: After article title followed by (Ed),
7. Journal names: Common abbreviations.
(Examples: J. Geophys. Res., Geophys. Res. Lett., Adv. Space Res., Astrophys. J., Sol. Phys.)
8. Volume number: After name of journal
9. Issue numbers (if appropriate): Within parenthesis after the volume number
10. Page numbers: Inclusive pages for journal articles; pp. x-xx for articles in books and reports.
11. Year published: At the end of the reference. Period at the end.
12. Published Abstracts: Title of abstract followed by the word (Abstract) in parenthesis
13. For submissions: Author, title, followed by "Submitted to journal name", year
14. For articles accepted for publication or in press: Author, title, followed by "in press, journal name, year"
15. Non-English language publications: The language of the publication after the year, within parenthesis
Example: Kosmicheskie issledovaniya, 38, 16-22, 2000. (In Russian).
English translations of all titles should be given.
16. For internet and www references:
<http://www> followed by the correct internet address.